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Dissertation Abstract

Pakistan is regarded widely today as a country in continuing turmoil, in which multiple centres of political and armed power compete with each other, using violence as much as due democratic processes to settle their differences. And yet, as this dissertation seeks to show, there is also a tradition of democracy that has been fought for and won in ongoing nonviolent movements.

For almost half its life since its creation in 1947, military dictators, of whom there have been four in all, have ruled Pakistan. Amongst these, General Zia-ul-Haq ruled the longest at more than eleven years from July 1977 to August 1988. He not only executed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, Pakistan’s former Prime Minister but he was also able to bring about fundamental changes in the legal, political, religious, social and cultural affairs of the country. His rule is often considered a ‘dark age’ in the history of Pakistan. Two movements – the campaign to save Bhutto 1977-79 and the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy (MRD) 1981-1988 – were launched and led by political parties, of which the Pakistan People’s Party (PPP) was the leading member, against Zia’s rule. Historians have generally considered both these movements to have been a failure. In this dissertation, it is argued that although MRD took much longer than the originally-envisaged three months to achieve its aim, it did not in the end fail. It should, rather, be seen as a gradualist democratic movement, which eventually brought the country back to democracy in 1988. The process took longer than expected for several reasons, the most important of which were a lack of unity amongst the leaders of its constituent political parties, particularly the PPP, the absence of an operational corps, and Zia-ul-Haq’s ruthless response to the nonviolent resistance to his rule. Additionally, Zia’s regime was supported for many years by international powers of the Western bloc, due to the war against Soviet Union in Afghanistan.
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Dissertation Introduction

Scope of the Research:
I solemnly affirm, in the presence of Almighty God, that I owe allegiance to the Constitution and Dominion of Pakistan and that I will as in duty bound honestly and faithfully serve in the Dominion of Pakistan Forces and go within the terms of my enrolment wherever I may be ordered by air, land or sea and that I will observe and obey all commands of any officer set over me...

(Quaid-i-Azam Muhammad Ali Jinnah quoting the oath taken by members of the Defence Forces of Pakistan in his address to the Forces in Quetta 14 June 1948. Emphasis added.)

This thesis examines civil resistance to the military rule of General Muhammad Zia-ul-Haq from 1977-88. This is commonly regarded as the most oppressive of the periods of such rule in the history of Pakistan. Politicians, and human rights activists have declared this period the 'Dark Ages' of Pakistan. Under the rule of General Zia, nine political leaders were murdered, thirteen committed suicide, fourteen were executed, and sentences were given of a total forty-five thousand punitive lashes. This research focuses on the courageous

---

1 For references see section 2, below.
4 Unpublished papers of Dr. Mehdi Hassan, Interview, 3 March 2013, Lahore; Israr Shah, 19 April 2012, Islamabad.
5 Israr Shah, Interview.
6 Al-Fatah, and Jahan; cited in unpublished work of Dr. Mehdi Hassan, Interview; few of my interviews
resistance of those who were ‘prisoners without names’, held in ‘cells without numbers’.  

Historians and political scientists have already noted that there was opposition to this regime by the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy, which was at its height between 1981 and 1984, but have generally judged it to have been a failure as the dictator repressed it ruthlessly. There was opposition from a wide range of civil society groups such as progressive students against Islami-Jamiat Tulba’s association to Zia’s Islamisation process; lawyers’ for the restoration of 1973 constitution as well as against Provisional Constitutional Orders; journalists against the Press and Publication Ordinance 1963, and women rights movement against Hudood Ordinances 1979. This thesis, however, will provide the first full-length study of political parties’ civil resistance to Zia’s rule throughout his time in control, up until his sudden death in an air crash in 1988. The opposition evolved and changed over time, as will be shown in the thesis. In this, it provides an original contribution to our knowledge of the history of modern Pakistan. Furthermore, and again originally, it will be argued that far from being a failure, civil resistance paved the way for a restoration of democracy after Zia’s demise. The resistance had above all forced

stated more numbers such as Shahid Sheikh said 75,900 lashes, 17 April 2012, Islamabad; Israr Shah, Interview, 10000 lashes

7 Prisoners in Shahi Qila did not have any identity or any existence for the outer world. Comment of one of the interviewees, Javed Iqbal Mo’azzam, 23 February 2012, Lahore.

Zia to hold an election, albeit non-party based, in 1985 in an attempt to legitimise his rule with a parliamentary veneer, and this provided the necessary leverage to bring a transition to democracy once Zia was removed from the scene.

Pakistan, and the area covered by Pakistan before 1947, has seen a number of nonviolent struggles during the course of the twentieth and twenty-first centuries. Before independence, there was that of the Khudai Khidmatgar of Khan Abdul Ghaffar Khan. After independence, there were strong students protest in 1968-69 that culminated in the removal of General Ayub Khan, and the successful show of people's power in the shape of the lawyers' movement against General Pervez Musharraf. Pakistan has seen many such struggles in its troubled life. While the resistance of the Khudai Khidmatgar was against British imperial rule, subsequent movements have often been directed against military rule. There have, in addition, been movements for women's rights, notably the Women Action Forum against discriminatory laws.

It can be argued that the precedence for ongoing periods of military rule in Pakistan were set by Sir Sikander Mirza when he invited General Muhammad Ayub Khan to impose martial law in 1958. Nonethelsss, following the hiatus caused by the loss of East Pakistan in 1971, the country had a chance to re-establish itself as a healthy democracy under Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto. He was in many respects the architect of present Pakistan implementing 'Islamic Socialist Democratic' policies, based on his slogan 'Islam is our religion, socialism is our economy and democracy is our politics'. This was all negated by the declaration of martial law by General Zia in 1977, and in the following eleven years – the

---

longest period under one ruler, military or civil, in the history of the country till today – democracy was suppressed, opponents crushed, and secular culture attacked. The damaging legacy of this period continues to the present day. Despite this, the principle of democracy was never eradicated, and a democratic polity was restored in 1988. This was in large part due to the ongoing resistance to Zia’s rule throughout his time in control, as I hope will be demonstrated in this thesis.

The study also investigates the philosophy of nonviolence and its application in Pakistan. Pakistan has inherited three types of civil resistance – that of Gandhi, Jinnah, and Baacha Khan. If the first invokes people for resistance through ‘direct action’, the second followed the Constitutional way, whereas the last started in bringing social change through education and then took up Gandhian methods. Qayum Nizami also defines the difference between Jinnah and Gandhi’s political philosophy by stating that the former struggled to remove the British in constitutional and democratic ways while the latter believed in forging a democratic system through agitation and civil disobedience.\textsuperscript{11} These three strands are visible in Pakistan’s civil resistance movements. For example, Nusrat Bhutto, the wife of Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto (ZAB) – whilst gathering people for the mass movement like Gandhi – filed various petitions time to time to challenge the Junta. And, the other towering personality Khan Abdul Ghaffar Khan, famously known Bacha Khan,\textsuperscript{12} was a deeply religious Muslim who spent

his life preaching what he saw as the message of Allah and his last Messenger, Prophet Muhammad. He held that the greatest weapon of the Prophet was patience and righteousness, and he established the first modern nonviolent peace force – the Khudai Khidmatgars (the Servants of God) – in 1929 to fight against imperialism through nonviolence. This body reached in its peak of more than 100,000 members in the 1940s, with offices all over the Northwest Frontier Province. However his political affiliation with the Indian National Congress made him an arch-opponent of the demand for Pakistan, and after Partition in 1947 he became marginalised in the new nation state. The history of the Khudai Khitmatgars and their nonviolent legacy were largely written out of the mainstream histories of Pakistan. Despite this, his brother went on to form a ministry in NWFP, which kept alive to some extent this tradition in this particular part of the country.

Studies of the politics and history of Pakistan have largely ignored the topic of nonviolent civil resistance. Pakistani political scholarship have tended to focus either on the Pakistan Movement and its inherited problems after independence or the strengthening of a strong bond between Islam and the ideology of the country. There are a number of political autobiographies,
biographies\textsuperscript{18}, and memoirs that define the country’s issues and remedies in terms of individual figures. Scholars have understood the truncation of democracy in Pakistan in terms of the imbalance between the civil and the military, the malign role of Pakistan army, and/or the venality of politicians, among other things. Pakistan has been portrayed as a ‘failed’ state between ‘Allah, Army and Terrorism’\textsuperscript{19} or a ‘corrupt medieval empire’ ruled by \textit{mansabdars} \textsuperscript{20}. In all this, very little attempt has been made to analyse the way in which people’s power has managed to restrict the continuation of military rule in the country.

\textbf{Research questions and methodology}

The thesis generally adopts a diachronic approach, with chapters that advance from one period to another of the Zia era. It is qualitative in nature, relying on the collection of whatever material I could access, and interviews with a wide range of actors who were prepared to meet and talk with me. There were inevitable lacunae in the material that I was able to collect, so it cannot be claimed to be exhaustive. It is however extensive, as I hope will be revealed in section 6 below on sources, and thus an adequate base for such a doctoral thesis.

One of the main research questions is that of the comparative efficacy of nonviolent and violent methods. This relates to the wider literature on civil


\textsuperscript{20} Ilhan Niaz, \textit{The Culture of Power and Governance of Pakistan 1947-2008} (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 2010).
resistance and nonviolent movements all over the world. More will be said about this literature in section 4 below. In this respect this research provides a case study that sheds light on the efficacy of nonviolent methods as against violent forms of struggle. In this particular case, the comparison is between the civil methods of MRD and the terrorism of Al-Zulfiqar.

The other main research questions relate to the characterisation of Pakistan’s politics and the vitality and viability of its democracy. In focusing on civil resistance in Pakistan, I challenge the widespread notion that the politics of Pakistan has almost since its foundation been characterised by violence. Also, that it is inherently unstable as a state, and in danger of collapse. Pakistan is tagged as a country ‘producing terrorism’ and/or of ‘safe havens for terrorists’, and the presence of Osama bin Laden in Abbotabad (Pakistan’s garrison town) has appeared to support this argument. Scholars associated with the American Intelligence community such as Stephen P Cohen and Bruce Riedle believe that the country is likely to disintegrate in the near future due to its chaos and complexity. It is the argument of this research project that both civil society and democracy have proved remarkably tenacious in Pakistan, and that this is in no small part due to an ongoing history of civil resistance by a range of social groups. Nonviolent people’s power has always existed in a climate of potential and actual violence, and indeed much of its vitality has come from this, as it has provided a potent demonstration that alternative methods could be used to resolve conflicts without the use of physical violence. The task is to investigate

---

the history of these strands, to see both how and when such nonviolence has succeeded, and also to understand the reasons why it has also failed in certain circumstances. How and in what circumstances, it may be asked, has nonviolence survived in the present world, particularly in a so-called “age of terrorism” in which military responses are generally seen as providing the only effective counter-strategy? In such a situation, the ongoing vitality of nonviolence requires us to address such convictions in a critical manner.

Main Arguments
Apart from a few exceptions,²² most observers have seen the Movement for Restoration of Democracy as a failure.²³ Against this, I shall argue that rather than being a failure, it was part of a process of ‘gradualist democratisation’.²⁴ Analysing the three major objectives of MRD – lifting of martial law immediately, holding of free and fair election within three months, restoration of 1973 constitution along with power of the four federating units – we find that all of these demands were eventually met but over a longer time-frame than envisaged initially. One immediate success was that on 12 August 1983, two days before civil disobedience was launched across the country, General Zia-ul-Haq announced a political roadmap. At the same time, the Pakistan army high command started considering a replacement of General Zia with another army leader so as to save their face before people’s power.²⁵ Chapter 3 and 6 will
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²² Asif Butt, Interview, 26 February 2012, Lahore; Shahida Jabeen, Interview 26 February 2012, Lahore; Aziz Shaikh, Interview, 12 March 2012, Karachi;
²⁴ The term is used by Stephen Zunes for those movements which failed to bring down a dictator immediately, but despite this paved the way for eventual democratization. Stephen Zones, presented in a seminar, attended via webinar on 31 March 2015 from Washington DC.
²⁵ Robert M. Gates (Deputy Director for Intelligence), ‘Pakistan: Scenario for Dramatic Political Change’, Directorate of Intelligence, 7 March 1984, CIA Record Research Tool at National Archives and
examine this in detail. According to the roadmap, there was to be an election in March 1985. The regime, which had withheld its promises of such a step seven times previously,\textsuperscript{26} did hold the election in 1985 as per the plan due to the ongoing pressure of MRD on the regime. Seeing his previous record of reneging on promises, Zia could easily have done the same in 1985 but for this pressure. Moreover, my research suggests that if Zia had not been killed in an air-crash, he would have had to resign from office after the dissolution of Junejo’s government,\textsuperscript{27} making a supposed “‘honourable’ exit from the political scene of Pakistan’.\textsuperscript{28} Chapter 6 further elucidates this argument.

Relevant to the first argument, my second argument is that the Government of the United States supported the rule of General Zia until his sudden demise in 1988. This goes against a common belief that the US administration was losing patience with Zia over his handling of the Afghan war and ‘dissimulations on the nuclear issue’.\textsuperscript{29} Rather than support the civil opposition to Zia, as is often argued, the Reagan government in Washington was suspicious of what they saw as allies of communists. Consulting the CIA’s archives in Maryland, my research uses confidential messages and various reports sent to the US State department, as well as interviews with Stephen...
Cohen and Aparna Pande. Following the ‘bleeders’ and the ‘defeaters’ school of thoughts in US administration both the sources suggests that the US government believed that it was easier to overcome the bitter legacy of the Vietnam war by dealing with a dictator in Pakistan. They distrusted the links that the civil opposition in Pakistan had with the USSR and China. CIA in its secret reports had discerned links between the MRD and the Soviet Union and India, and reported that both probably funded the party. They saw the Jama’at-i-Islami as the better option if the army was to be replaced by another group. The report concluded that the best option for the USA was that the rule of General Zia or another military commander should continue. As it was, as late as 1988 President Reagan certified the unavailability of nuclear devices in Pakistan. The weekly reports from the Pakistan embassy to the US State Department on human rights abuses were completely ignored. The role of international powers during the period in investigation is underscored in chapters 1-5 of the thesis.

The thesis will also shed new light on the relationship between the pro-democracy movement and the armed struggle of Al-Zulfiqar that was headed by Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto’s two sons Mir Murtaza Bhutto and Shah Nawaz Bhutto. The major published work on Al-Zulfiqar is by either ex-Al-Zulfiqar members such as...
Raja Anwer, Asif Butt, and Fakhanda Bukhari or by scholars such as B.M.Kutty, Ilyas Shakir, and K.M.Arif. None of this work has discerned the clandestine relationship that existed between Benazir Bhutto and Nusrat Bhutto over the launching of an armed struggle against Zia-ul-Haq. Even Benazir Bhutto completely avoided referring to Al-Zulfiqar in her autobiography covering the struggle years except stating ‘All hijacking is bad, whether it is a plane or a nation’, which does not categorically condemn the act either. The present research seeks to show that following the death sentence on ZAB delivered by the Lahore High Court in March 1978, PPP workers initiated the militant struggle parallel with nonviolent resistance after receiving the green signal from Benazir and Nusrat Bhutto. In this, they adhered to a common belief in the efficacy of armed struggle that was fashionable at that time at a global level. Salman Taseer also stated that this option was on the table in the meeting of senior leaders of the party with BB in Larkana on the fortieth day anniversary of ZAB’s death; but no firm decision was reached on the matter. Nusrat Bhutto claimed in support of such a struggle that she could contact ‘fourteen underground organisations, if we needed.’ Sardar Abdul Qayum, and Maulana Abdullah Darkhwasti actually quitted the MRD after the PIA plane hijacking due to his firm

39 B.M.Kutty, Sixty Years in Self-Exile: No Regrets A Political Biography (Karachi, Pakistan Study Center, University of Karachi, 2011).
40 Shakir, MRD.
42 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.169.
43 Mushtaq Lasharie, interview, 12 January 2013. He was the messenger between the armed group and BB due to his job in PIA.
opposition to the armed struggle, commenting that the PPP already knew about the hijacking.45

Maulana Kausar Shah,46 a PPP's worker from Lahore, approached Col. Muammar Qaddafi of Libya in 1978 – who had been a close ally with ZAB – and requested his support for armed resistance to General Zia-ul-Haq. Agreeing to the proposal, Col. Qaddafi asked him to bring ZAB's sons on board from London. The main purpose of establishing such an organisation was initially to save the life of ZAB, this was unsuccessful. PPP workers who had returned from a four-week trip to Libya were charged in the so-called Libya Conspiracy Case.47 Despite this, work on this project continued, and the People's Liberation Army (PLA) was formally established during the summer of 1979. Later on, it was renamed Al-Zulfiqar.48 The PIA hijacking49 was organised to put pressure on General Zia. One of my interviewees quoted Benazir Bhutto as referring to the militant struggle of her brothers as 'a guarantee of her life in Pakistan.'50 Likewise, another interviewee, Muhammad Agha Waseem,51 told me that the hijackers of the PIA aircraft had already been ordered to execute their plan at the same time as the MRD was being established as an alternative democratic platform to fight against the regime. Since it was winter, so to postpone the

46 Maulana Kausar Shah was already involved in sabotage of Train tracks. Agha Muhammad Waseem, interview, 1 December 2012, Stockholm. Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.
47 Kaneez Yousaf, Vice-Chancellor Quaid-i-Azam University, Kishwar Naheed, poetess and human rights activist, and Brig. Osman were the members who recruited for the training in Libya.18 men and women were sent to learn Green Book of Col. Qaddafi. See, for example Farkhanda Bukhari, Ye Bazi Ishq ki Bazi hai. interview, 27 February 2012, Lahore. Muhammad Agha Waseem, interview; Jahangir Badar, interview; Mushtaq Lasharie, interview;
48 The name has double meaning. In Arabic, it means sword, while it was also after the name of ZAB.
49 Many PPP workers and leaders still understand that it was the act of the army to crush the civil resistance of MRD. See, for example, Sardar Shaukat Ali, Pakistan: Issues of Government and Politics (Lahore: Fiction House, 2012), p.91.
50 Javed Iqbal Mo`azam, 27 February 2012, Lahore; Mushtaq Lasharie, Interview.
51 Interview, 22 February 2012, Lahore and 1 December 2012, Stockholm;
hijacking plan after seeing the outcome of MRD, Benazir Bhutto sent a code message to Murtaza Bhutto and said ‘Yahan sardi kum hu gai hai, kumbulon ki abhi zarurat nahi (cold wave had reduced here, we do not need blankets for now) which means do not act upon the plan at that juncture. The Bhutto family was no stranger to using violent means to achieve their targets, as seen in the illegal and extra-judicial killings of the Federal Security Force (FSF), a paramilitary state-run actor, established by the ZAB government in 1972 that became a terror force for both his opponents and members of his own party. This is discussed in detail in Chapter 3.

It has been often argued that the MRD failed because it was only really strong in Sindh province. Indeed, Sindhi nationalists have counted this as a case of betrayal by the people of Punjab to wider cause. It is true that the Zia regime did its best to divide-and-rule by setting Punjab against Sindh, holding that Sindhis were anti-Pakistan and secessionist. My research comes to a different conclusion in this respect. The argument for a divide focuses on the civil disobedience movement in August to December 1983. I argue that before this struggle to save ZAB from execution – Bhutto Bachao Tehreek (Save Bhutto Movement) – was in fact stronger in Punjab than much of Sindh. While there was a strong movement in Karachi City, other parts of Sindh hardly participated at all.
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54 Mushtaq Lasharie, interview, 12 January 2013, London.
regime before 1983. Reports of Amnesty International between 1977-1983 and in *Viewpoint* magazine estimated that during the four years before 1983 the number of political prisoners held at any one time was between several hundred and several thousand. In 1977-79 over four-fifths of the participants in protests against the regime were from Punjab. Of the seven who committed suicide between March 1978 and April 1979, all, all were from Punjab except Abdul Aziz from Sukher. Among nine political workers executed by the regime, four were Punjabi, while two were settlers in Karachi from KPK and the rest were from Sindh. All four of the journalists who were flogged were from Punjab. Of the total 192 sentences to floggings, all but 18 were from Punjab. Above all, of the seventy-three prisoners interned in *Shahi Qila* – the mother of all jails –ninety five per cent were from Punjab. My interview evidence suggests that out of 250 commanders of Al-Zulfiqar in Kabul, 186 were from Punjab. And, then from KPK and FATA, 40-50 and 30-35 from Sindh. Likewise, among the release of political prisoners in exchange for plane hostages, the majority were from Punjab. Additionally, the majority who went into exile were from Punjab the cities of Lahore, Rawalpindi, Sialkot, Gujranwala. Furthermore, despite Zia-ul-Haq's open opposition to PPP in the local elections of 1979, in which he stated "Yeh Mumlakate Khudad Hey- Roti, Kapra Makan mumlakat Nahi hai" (this is Allah's land, not the land of Bread, Cloth, and a house) PPP-Punjab under 'awam
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56 Asif Butt, Lahore, and Muhammad Agha Waseem, Lahore and Stockholm.

57 Interviews with Mushtaq Lasharie, Mian Muhammad Iqbal, Shahid Nadeem, Farkhanda Bukhari.

dost’ title won the election. 72 of the 100 seats in Lahore were won by PPP candidates.\textsuperscript{59} Chapter 3 examines this argument in detail.

Little has been written about the struggle of the PPP in exile at this time. The main source for information about this comes from Benazir Bhutto’s autobiography in her treatment of her period in exile. Recently, Farkhanda Bukhari narrated her struggle abroad in her autobiography \textit{Ye Bazi Ishq ki Bazi hai}. This research underscores the importance of this aspect of the struggle as well. For example, on the day of the sentence of death on 18 March 1978 the two Bhutto brothers — Mir Murtaza and Shah Nawaz Bhutto — sped up their struggle to mobilise international support to save their father’s life. From their base next to the Pakistani High Commission in London, they travelled around the world meeting government functionaries — Iran, USA, UAE and Libya — and requested them to put pressure on the Zia government.\textsuperscript{60} After the final decision of Supreme Court, to explain the flaws in ZAB case, Bhutto brothers planned to organise an international convention of constitutionalists on 7 April 1979, however, that turned into a posthumous convention.\textsuperscript{61} Post-burial funeral prayers were held in Hyde Park on 8 April, followed by a protest demonstration of 40,000 people organised and led by the two sons, Tariq Ali and other exiled PPP workers.\textsuperscript{62} Later, there was a convention of exiled/immigrant party workers in London, headed by Mir Murtaza Bhutto.\textsuperscript{63} The ‘Movement for the Restoration of Democracy-London Chapter’ was launched in 1982 under the

\textsuperscript{59} \textit{Viewpoint}, V (8), 30 September 1979. Mushtaq Lasharie, interview. Shahida Jabeen, interview

\textsuperscript{60} Asad Munir Bhatti, \textit{Bhutto Ka Beta} (unpublished), p.13-14.

\textsuperscript{61} Ibid., p.15.

\textsuperscript{62} \textit{Viewpoint}, 15 April 1979, p. 16. Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.

\textsuperscript{63} \textit{Musawaat} 2 August 1979.
presidency of Afzal Bangash. A ‘Release and Relief Committee’ also campaigned to free detainees with some success, as when Khalid Chaudhry was released. Most importantly, the permission of Nusrat Bhutto and Benazir Bhutto to go abroad on medical grounds was mainly brought about through the work of exiled activists in London, as described well by Farkhanda Bukhari. Magazines such as Azad (Freedom) (started in 1979 in London), Nusrat (started in London in 1982), and Baazgasht (Echo) (started in Berlin) provided pro-MRD publicity abroad. Chapter 4 articulates this aspect of the struggle.

Besides challenging the specialist literature on Pakistan, this thesis seeks to add to the scholarship on the civil resistance in general. Erica Chenoweth, and Maria J. Stephan argue that the percentage of a population of a country as a whole that is mobilised and the consistency of its participation has a marked impact on the success-rate of struggles. My research suggests that it is not so much the proportion of the population of the entire nation but the relative weight of particular populations that can be crucial. In the politics of Pakistan, it is above all necessary to mobilise Punjabi support. In particular the axis that
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64 Dr. Zafar Niazi, Justice Safdar Shah (General Secretaries), Shahid Nadeem (Secretary), Mushtaq Lasharie (office secretary), and Kalim Dil Khan provided a space for the office in his hotel in London. Brig Osman Khalid issued Inquilab paper, Hamraz Ahsan, Major Imtiaz, Zahir Hassan Dar, Nayyar Hassan Dar (brothers, in charge of People’s Guard), Rana Shamim Ahmed Khan (ex-Deputy Speaker-Punjab). Mian Iqbal (senator), Bashir Riaz. Dr. Nasir A. Shaikh.
65 The committee was set up by the exiled workers. Interviews with Shahid Nadeem, Fakhanda Bukhari, Asif Butt, Mushtaq Lasharie.
66 Khalid Chaudhry got married to a German girl during his studies in Germany, whose father was the Second highest authority in German Church. Such connection also helped in his release. His wife is the same person who smuggled ZAB’s jail diary along with her Swedish friend, which was later on published with the title of ‘If I am assassinated’. Khalid Chaudhry, interview.
67 Farkhanda Bukhari, Interview, A memorandum was handed in to the Indian High Commission London requesting Indira Gandhi to use her office for the release of Nusrat Bhutto on humanitarian grounds, cited in Farkhanda Bukhari, Ye Bazi Ishq Ki Bazi hai (Lahore, 2012), pp.147-49. Shahid Nadeem, Interview.
68 Shahid Nadeem, Interview.
69 Mushtaq Lasharie, Interview.
70 Found copies from the archive of South Asian Research and Resource Center (SARRC), Islamabad.
stretches in Punjab from Lahore to Rawalpindi along the major highway is vital in all this – it being often referred to as Grand Trunk Road politics. The province accounts for 56 per cent of Pakistan’s population, and an estimated 75 per cent of personnel in the army and the civil bureaucracy. Besides, it is the agricultural heartland of Pakistan, producing a high proportion of its wheat, cotton, and rice cash crops. People from other provinces have always struggled to achieve equal status in Pakistan’s national establishment. Sindh provides the main resistance to complete Punjabi dominance; however, according to a US intelligence report, ‘the Sindhis will have to come to terms with Punjabi dominance, perhaps surviving as the Welsh have in Great Britain as a culturally rich minority.’

This bias in the politics of Pakistan has to be borne in mind in any analysis.

My research also augments our knowledge about the sort of strategies that can be adopted in nonviolent struggles to circumvent oppression. Among other methods we shall examine are political meetings under the guise of birthday parties, marriages, mock funerals, mock graves, death anniversaries, and annual ceremonies at Sufi shrines.

While Chenoweth and Stephen highlight the frequent failure of the international community to support nonviolent movements against autocrats, showing that less than the ten per cent nonviolent movements that they have recorded over the past century have received material support from foreign governments in comparison to thirty-five per cent of violent movements, other leading authorities such as Sharp, Ackerman, and Kruegler have argued that international support is very important for a successful nonviolent movement. I
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72 Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne’, Near Eastern and South Asia Office, 21 November 1986, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, NARA, p.34.
73 Chenoweth and Stephan, Why Civil Resistance Works, p.54.
shall argue that the direction from which international support comes matters most of all. MRD enjoyed support from the political left, which included the Soviet Union, the International Transport Federation, and the International Confederation of Free Trade Unions – which funded the pro-socialist and PPP labour unions in Pakistan. This support did not translate into success for the movement. BB, by contrast, adopted a different tactic. She looked for support to the capitalist bloc. The problem with this strategy was that General Zia enjoyed firm support from the USA, Saudi Arabia and other important pro-capitalist powers. There will be a separate section in each chapter from 1 to 5 that deals with the issue of international support.

Furthermore, my research argues that civil resistance that is initiated and led by coalitions of opposition political parties has a much lower chance of success. The reason for this is that there is almost invariably mistrust between party leaders caused by their individual ambitions for power. This was not the case either in the Students’ Movement against General Ayub 1967-8, and Lawyers’ Movement against General Pervez Musharraf 2007-9, which were launched and led by civil society groups, with political parties joining and consolidating their success subsequently. Internationally, we find this is true also of movements such as that of the mothers of the ‘disappeared’ in the Plaza de Mayo in Argentina, the students movement against Pinochet in Chile, the Solidarity Movement in Poland, the Otpor movement against Milosevic in Serbia,
the Colour Revolutions in Eastern Europe, and the youth movement of the Arab
Spring in Egypt and Tunis. In all these cases, the protests started with civil
society groups, with political parties becoming involved only when the
movements were on the verge of success. In the case of Pakistan, movements
that were initiated by political parties, such as the Alliance for the Restoration of
Democracy (ARD) against General Musharraf, and the Combined Opposition
Parties against General Ayub were relatively unsuccessful. This was due to the
lust for power of the political leaders, leading to a lack of the unity.

My argument is informed by the literature that has burgeoned in recent
years on strategies of nonviolent resistance throughout the world over the
course of the past century. This field of study has been associated in particular
with Gene Sharp, who has been a prolific writer on the theme. Sharp, an
American, was a conscientious objector during the Korean War (for which he
was jailed) who went on to study Gandhi’s nonviolent movement for
independence in India. His first book, published in 1960, was titled *Gandhi
Wields the Weapon of Moral Power*.78 This provided an analysis of the strategy
that Gandhi adopted in India, with lessons being learnt for application in future
struggles. This emphasis on the strategy of protest is found particularly strongly
in the seminal book that Gene Sharp subsequently went on to write – a massive
study, 902 pages in length, on ‘the politics of nonviolent action’. This was
published first in 1973 and it is still in print.79 In these writings, Sharp depicts
Gandhi as devising an unconventional approach to politics that operates by
mobilising the power of the masses nonviolently, in contrast to the top-down

form of political power that ruling elites have in general sought to impose. Many Indian nationalists before Gandhi are seen to have had such an elitist approach.\textsuperscript{80} Sharp also brought out how Gandhi maintained discipline in nonviolent struggles. Sharp is interested primarily in ends, arguing that nonviolent resistance is preferable not out of principle but because it is more expedient in both taking on an opponent and ensuring a more lasting result subsequently. This is in contrast to Gandhi, who insisted that the means were what mattered above all, rather than the ends. For Sharp, nonviolent means are important because they get better results, not because they are intrinsically superior morally or spiritually.

Sharp only began to be taken up in a big way during the 1980s, which was a period that saw some dramatic examples of successful nonviolent protests that brought down highly oppressive regimes, as in the Philippines, Chile, and Eastern Europe. The Movement for the Restoration of Democracy in Pakistan occurred at the same time as this, but because it was seen as a failure, it was not studied in the way that these other movement were. A growing number of scholars began to take an interest in this field.\textsuperscript{81} The focus was on post-World War II campaigns.

Until now, this field and method of study has been a relatively unknown field amongst those who study the modern history and politics of South Asia.


Mainstream historians and political scientists who work on the region have in general shown little interest in nonviolence as an effective force for change. In the case of India, while liberal-nationalist and Nehruvian socialists tend to admire Gandhi as the 'father of the nation', they have not in general regarded his protest techniques as having a place in the modern parliamentary democracy of India. More radical thinkers have generally acknowledged that Gandhi was a brilliant mass mobiliser, but believe that his philosophy of nonviolence ensured that he was unable to push what he had started to its logical end in a violent revolutionary upheaval, so that in the end he let his supporters down. They have therefore focused in their research and writing on the gap between popular demands and what the Gandhian movement was prepared and able to actually deliver.\textsuperscript{82} They have not been interested in any serious analysis of Gandhi’s techniques as a guide to future action. In the case of Pakistan, Gandhi is widely regarded as the person who fought against the establishment of Pakistan, and thus an enemy. There has been and continues to be little political mileage to be gained in appealing to Gandhian values and methods in Pakistan. This is despite the existence of a particularly strong Gandhian-style movement in the North-West Frontier Province (KPK, hereafter) that was led by Abdul Ghaffar Khan in the period before independence. He became marginalised in post-independence politics, and indeed was at times persecuted and jailed. Civil resistance in Pakistan has therefore had to plough its own path in a situation in which more violent and militaristic forces have often been to the fore. Despite this, as I argue in this thesis, it has been at times a dynamic force that has brought real

\textsuperscript{82} E.g. Subaltern Studies Vols. 1-12.
political change. I intend to advance this argument through the lens of nonviolent resistance studies.

_Literature Review_

Despite the rich culture of civil resistance, both successful and unsuccessful, nonviolence and civil resistance scholars have largely ignored Pakistan, despite an ongoing history of such struggle, often against great odds. While a good deal has been written about the resistance led by Abdul Ghaffar Khan in the KPK in the pre-1947 period, probably the only such Pakistani resistance after 1947 that has caught the attention of the world in a major way has been that of the courageous struggle for girl’s education associated with Malala Yousafzai in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province. Yet, there has been an ongoing resistance by Pakistani women to various forms of patriarchal oppression that stretches back many years, and which has involved women from all parts of the country. Abdul Ghaffar Khan also continued his resistance after 1947, and there have been other preachers of nonviolence of his ilk, notably Abdus Samad Khan Achakzai, also known as the Baluchi Gandhi. Most scholarship on Pakistan has focussed on topics such as partition and the legacy of the two-nation theory, militarization-cum-military rule, and Islamisation-cum-extremism. In the past two decades the country has been tagged as a haven for Muslim extremists, jihadists, and
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85 See, for example, Peter Bergen with Katherine Tiedmann (ed.), _Talibanistan: Negotiating The Borders between Terror, Politics, and Religion_ (New York: Oxford University Press, 2013).
terrorists, and in consequence a security threat to the rest of the world.\footnote{See, for example, C. Christine Fair, Keith Crane, Christopher S. Chivvis, et al, \textit{Pakistan: Can the United States Secure an Insecure State?} (Santa Monica, CA: RAND, 2010); Maleeha Lodhi, \textit{Pakistan Beyond the 'Crisis State'} (New York: Columbia University Press, 2011).} While political scientists typically regard Pakistan as a country that has staggered from crisis-to-crisis and is in constant danger of a ‘descent into chaos’,\footnote{Ahmed Rashid, \textit{Descent into Chaos: How the War against Islamic Extremism is being lost in Pakistan, Afghanistan and Central Asia} (Allen Lane, London 2008).} a few scholars such as Ian Talbot and Anatol Lieven\footnote{Anatol Lieven, \textit{Pakistan: A Hard Country} (New York: Public Affairs, 2011).} believe that it is above all Pakistan’s vibrant civil society that has kept it alive through its many vicissitudes.

Broadly speaking, the historiography on Zia period can be divided into a number of categories. The first is that of histories written by his cronies in the military and politics. Unlike Ayub Khan,\footnote{Muhammad Ayub Khan, \textit{Friends Not Masters: A Political Biography} (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 1995).} and Pervez Musharraf,\footnote{Pervez Musharraf, \textit{In the Line of Fire: A Memoir} (London: Simon and Schuster, 2006).} General Zia did not himself write any autobiographical work. Instead, we have books by close associates such as K.M. Arif, Vice-Chief of the Army Staff and Chief of Staff under Zia,\footnote{K.M.Arif, \textit{Working with Zia: Pakistan Power Politics 1977-88} (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 1995).} and Faiz Ali Chishti, head of 111 Brigade – who implemented martial law’s order in 1977 and the Election Cell under Martial law.\footnote{Faiz Ali Chishti, \textit{Bhutto, Zia aur Main} (Lahore: Jang Publishers, 1991).} These two books seek to justify martial law and refer to the civil resisters as a mob. Chishti nonetheless resigned from the army in 1980 due to his personal grievances against General Zia, and criticised Zia for not holding elections and handing political power back to the politicians. He hardly mentions the political struggle, however. Ghafoor Ahmed of Jama‘at-i-Islami (JI) provides a vivid account of the Zia’s era through both a military and political perspective.\footnote{Ghafoor Ahmed, \textit{Aur Phir Martial Law agya} (Lahore: Jang Publishers, 1988) and –, \textit{Zia Ke Akhri Dus saal} (Lahore: Jang Publishers, 1993).} In his two books, he
provides many facts and much description, with a focus on the *real politik* of the country. He was the first author who revealed that the agreement between PNA and ZAB had been approved on the night of the imposition of the martial law. The books are however biased by the fact that the JI worked hand-in-hand with the regime, and they are completely silent on the way that education was bent in an Islamic direction against the opposition of progressive students’ groups. His main grumble against Zia is that he did not take Islamisation far enough. On the other hand, Golam W. Chaudhry – whose work was facilitated by the Zia regime – praised his Islamisation and his supposed moves towards democracy while ignoring the pro-democratic struggle of the MRD.\(^94\)

In the second main category are the memoirs of oppositional political leaders and workers. Of these, the most prominent was Benazir Bhutto (BB), whose autobiography *Daughter of the East* was widely read.\(^95\) Previously, she had in 1983 written *Pakistan: The Gathering Storm*, but this had much less impact. *Daughter of the East* provided a complete account of the struggle for justice and democracy in Pakistan against Zia. It highlighted human rights violations, the work of PPP’s *jyalas* (partisan), their imprisonment and execution, and the illegitimacy of Zia’s alteration of the constitution of 1973. Nonetheless, in its first edition, published when she had gained power in Pakistan, she avoided discussing some controversial issues. In her previous book in 1983, she condemned Pakistan Generals in general for the murder of her father, and she described her struggle for democracy as being against an unholy alliance


between American imperialism allied to the Pakistan military.\footnote{Bhutto, \textit{Pakistan}, pp.5-6, 60, 69.} In her later book she adopts a lenient approach towards America and the CIA, and blames General Zia primarily.\footnote{Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, pp. 85-90.} She is also critical about her ‘uncles’ – Mumtaz Bhutto, Mustafa Khar, Abdul Hafeez Pirzada – who had their own ambitions to take control of the party.\footnote{ibid., pp.223-224.} This thesis aims to fill some of the gaps in BB’s narrative; for example about her relationship with Al-Zulfiqar, the agreement that paved the way for her departure from Pakistan, and her return after an understanding or deal with the USA.

Books written by other PPP leaders and workers focus mainly on the internal differences within the party. Kausar Niazi, who broke with the PPP to forge the National People’s Party, writes about the clandestine nuclear operation of ZAB’s government, the rigging of the 1977 election, and the betrayal by Abdul Hafiz Pirzada of the PPP over the execution of ZAB.\footnote{Maulana Kausar Niazi, \textit{Aur line cut gai} (Lahore: Ahmad Publications, 2008).} Aslam Gordaspuri, besides providing comprehensive details of the workers’ opinions and their sacrifices in the anti-Zia movement, blames Niazi for his secret alliance with the army which led him to counter PPP with his newly established party.\footnote{Worker of PPP from Lahore who was imprisoned during Bhutto Bachao Tehreek and the MRD. Aslam Gordaspuri, \textit{Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto ki Dastan-i-hyat aur Pakistan People’s Party} (Lahore: Fiction House, 2010).} The recently published autobiographies of PPP workers Farkhanda Bukhari and Asif Butt provide useful account of, in the first instance, a struggle by a PPP woman and her exile, while the latter mainly describes his journey from nonviolent democratic activism to a violent armed struggle.\footnote{Bukhari was a woman activis who was imprisoned in the Shahi Qila, and the only woman set free...} Raja Anwer has written
perhaps the best book on Al-Zulfiqar; being one of its members who eventually fell out with Murtaza Bhutto and the PPP, and had to flee their Kabul camp to save his life. It exposes the bloated ambitions of Al-Zulfiqar, with its delusions about becoming a force on a par with Palestine’s Al-Fatah or the Irish Republic Army, and the constant fear of infiltration by Zia’s agents among Murtaza’s guerrillas. Some of Al-Zulfiqar’s members accused Anwer of being an agent for Zia and blamed him for its organisational leaks. In general, these various accounts by PPP workers provide some acerbic critique of the PPP leadership, but are very self-focussed.

The third main category is that of scholarly appraisals by national and international academics of the General Zia period and the resistance to his rule. Amongst Pakistanis, the works of Ilyas Shakir, Nadeem Akhtar and Azhar Abbas utilise oral histories of key players, mainly the MRD leaders. The interviews provide a rich contribution to our knowledge about the formation of MRD, the launching of civil disobedience, and the successful boycott of the Presidential referendum 1984 in a way that counters the distortions propagated by the Zia regime through its Press and Publication Ordinances of 1963. However, the authors do not analyse the events, leaving the judgement of their information largely to the reader. Moreover, none of the two books includes a history of the
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people's resistance to the regime. Azhar Sohail and Shabbir Ibn-i-Adil analyse the civil resistance as well as the regime's harsh tactics against it. Describing the PNA movement, and taking the circumstantial evidences, Sohail argues that the imposition of martial law was engineered by American intelligence to teach a lesson to ZAB, following Henry Kissinger's threat of 1976. Likewise, he holds that the execution of ZAB was the joint plan of the USA and Pakistan Army. My thesis examines these claims in chapter 1.

Besides books, there have been a range of scholarly articles that have observed this history. Aijaz Ahmad examines civil disobedience over the period August-December 1983, adding some historical background on the formation of the MRD, the strategies that it adopted, and its outcome. Ahmad, and also Omar Noman argue that Punjab played no significant role in the civil disobedience movement of 1981 and 1983 because the province benefitted economically from the Zia regime. Scholars have in this respect noted a forty-one percent increase in income in Pakistanis that was concentrated in the Punjab, as this was the major area receiving remittances from outside the country. As it was, except for a couple of initial years in the post-Afghanistan war period when the
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regime was supported by the temporary influx of petro-dollar\textsuperscript{111} and taxes were gradually reduced, gross rates of poverty increased for the mass of the people due to the lavish spending on the military.\textsuperscript{112} Amir Ahmed Khuro, and Imtiaz Ahmed Qureshi provide a description of the movement led by BB, but do not provide useful analysis or attempt to evaluate whether or not it succeeded.\textsuperscript{113}

Books by international scholars have largely focused on issues such as General’s Zia’s Islamisation, his firm control over domestic politics, and Pakistan’s role as a front-State ally.\textsuperscript{114} There has by contrast been little on popular anti-Zia movements. Ian Talbot has in his book \textit{Pakistan: A Modern History} looked at the Zia era in Chapter 9.\textsuperscript{115} There is a brief reference to civil resistance during this period, including the MRD and the Women Action Forum. Talbot argues that the movement failed because of Punjab’s non-participation. In a later book, however, Talbot gives credit to the firmness of the resistance movement, which Zia never managed to crush completely.\textsuperscript{116} Moreover, referring to Tehmina Durrani’s book \textit{My Feudal Lord}, Talbot claims that the hijacking of the PIA plane in 1981 was engineered by the Inter-Services Intelligence to defame the MRD.\textsuperscript{117} James Wynbrandt and Stephen P. Cohen hardly mention the movement in their writing on Zia.\textsuperscript{118} Cohen states

\textsuperscript{111} The term is used for the financial support to Pakistan with USA’s dollar, and the Saudi Arabia’s petrol during the Afghanistan war.
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erroneously that the MRD was created by the Jama`at-i-Islami. Bruce Reidle has a lot to say about the involvement of the CIA in jihad during the Zia era; he does not however look at the interference of the CIA in Pakistan’s domestic politics. Emma Duncan provides an anthropology of social change in respect to businessmen, politicians, army officers, and landlords during the period.

To a large extent, even the scholarship on nonviolent resistance has ignored Pakistan. The much-acclaimed recent book by Erica Chenoweth and Maria J. Stephan that has analysed 226 campaigns and movements against authoritarian rulers that have occurred all over the world between 1900 and 2006 has failed to mention the MRD in Pakistan. An exception to this rule is Stephen Zones, who has looked at the MRD but has described it as a failed Movement. He claims that it was limited to Sindh only, with no Punjab participation.

Theoretical Framework
Distinguishing between conventional warfare tactics such as guerrilla struggle and armed insurrection, Randle characterizes civil resistance as involving collective action which avoids violence. It can be reformist – seeking redress from particular injustice – or revolutionary where the antagonists seek to topple

an existing regime. It involves mass protest that has a clear political objective, and it is characterised by street protests, non-cooperation, strike action, boycott, symbolic acts of dissent, courting arrest, and the like. It is also popularly termed ‘non-violent action’, ‘non-violent resistance’, ‘civil disobedience’, ‘people power’ and ‘satyagraha’. ‘Mass civil resistance aimed at achieving a variety of political and social objectives’ has acted as a significant force for change in many parts of the world in modern times. The protests have been directed against a variety of opponents, ranging from colonial rulers, military-occupiers, dictators, the communist state apparatus, racial oppressors, and abusers of human and minority rights. They may be directed against governments that abuse their power, or be waged over specific issues, such as nuclear armaments, environmental degradation, or economic ‘liberalisation’ policies that adversely impact large numbers. They are social movements that aim to build and exercise a counter-power that either persuades
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or forces powerful individuals or ruling elites to relinquish their power or reform their ways. In the process, social movements affect the balance of power, give people a sense of their own power. Theorists of civil resistance have argued that power in society lies ultimately with the ‘people’ and that if the masses withdraw their support, rulers can no longer maintain their control.\footnote{On this, see Hannah Arendt, \textit{On Violence} (New York, San Diego: Harcourt Brace & Company, 1970), p.44, cited in Randle, \textit{Civil Resistance}, p.2 and Roberts and Garton Ash, \textit{Civil Resistance and Power Politics}, p.4.}

Civil resistance has become popular in the past century as it has been seen to work. In a comparative study of 285 violent and nonviolent campaigns during the twentieth century, Erica Chenoweth and Maria Stephen have concluded that fifty-five per cent of the nonviolent campaigns were successful, as against only twenty-eight per cent of the violent campaigns.\footnote{Chenoweth and Stephen, \textit{Why Civil Resistance Works}, cited in Jack DuVall, \textit{Civil Resistance and Alternatives to Violent Struggle}, p.2, available at http://www.nonviolent-conflict.org/images/stories/pdfs/civilresistanceandalternativestoviolentstruggle.pdf (15 June 2011).} Other studies have endorsed these findings. Adrian Karatnycky argues that ‘nonviolent civic force’ has been pivotal in last thirty-five years in replacing fifty authoritarian regimes with democratic regimes.\footnote{Adrian Karatnycky, \textit{How Freedom Is Won: From Civic Resistance to Durable Democracy} (Washington DC: online version, 2005). cited in ibid., p.3.} There has been a growing interest in the role of nonviolent civil protest and conflict resolution in the creation of strong democratic cultures. It is argued that a society that is able to express and resolve its differences in a controlled nonviolent manner is likely to be a more tolerant and better-functioning one.\footnote{Robert Benewick and Trevor Smith, (eds), \textit{Direct Action and Democratic Politics} (London: Benewick 1972); April Carter, \textit{Direct Action and Liberal Democracy} (London: Harper Collins Publishers, 1973); John Rawls, ‘The Justification of Civil Disobedience’ in Bedau H, \textit{Civil Disobedience. Theory and Practice} (Indianapolis & New York: McMillan Pub. Co., 1969); Howard Zinn, \textit{Disobedience & Democracy, Nine Fallacies on Law and Order} (New York: Vintage, 1968).} Many scholars have also directed their attention to the actual techniques and strategies deployed in such movements. The most
notable theorist has been Gene Sharp, who has argued that nonviolence deployed in a strategic manner has provided a powerful and practical means to overcome even the most autocratic of regimes and most entrenched forms of social injustice.\textsuperscript{138} Sharp’s insights have been reinforced and given greater credence in several subsequent books.\textsuperscript{139} Others have described the potential for nonviolent intervention between conflicting parties, and for mediation and conflict resolution.\textsuperscript{140} These various studies have all brought out the many positive and important successes achieved by nonviolent protests and interventions during the second half of the twentieth century.

While those within the Gandhian tradition of protest stress their ‘nonviolence’ as an ethic and way of life, political theorists generally maintain that its strength derives from other sources. John Rawls, for example, argues that it appeals to a common sense of justice:

Being an appeal to the moral basis of public life, civil disobedience is a political and not primarily a religious act. It addresses itself to the common principles of justice, which men can require one another to follow, and not to the aspirations of love which they cannot. Moreover by taking part in civilly


disobedient acts one does not foreswear indefinitely the idea of forcible resistance; for if the appeal against injustice is repeatedly denied, then the majority has declared its intention to invite submission or resistance and the latter may conceivably be justified even in a democratic regime.\textsuperscript{141}

Following such an approach, Gene Sharp argues that the majority of participants in nonviolent movements and actions do not have to be believers in nonviolence as an ethical principle, but merely agree to act under nonviolent discipline for the duration of the conflict.\textsuperscript{142} It is in these two senses that the concept of predominantly nonviolent civil resistance will be understood in this research project – that is, as a form of protest and conflict resolution that seeks tactically to avoid the use of physical force and which, moreover, appeals to a strongly democratic sense of political justice.

The literature points out the serious shortcomings with violent forms of resistance. Revenge attacks on individual oppressors, for example, merely invite counter-violence by the police and army, and they rarely provoke a successful wider revolt. In terrorism, violence is generally the method deployed by small cliques that lacks popular strength and depth. This principle has been well understood by some leading revolutionaries, even those with no commitment to nonviolence. Leon Trotsky, for example dismissed terrorism, distinguishing it pointedly from the mass action seen, for example, in worker’s strikes.\textsuperscript{143} In the case of mass revolt, it is argued by proponents of nonviolence that that unleashing violence is like letting a genie out of a bottle; once released, it is not
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easy to put back. Violent revolutions often have to be maintained by the continuing application of violence by the new rulers. Revolutionaries who have learned to settle matters using violence frequently find it hard to adapt to more peaceable means after a change of power has occurred. In this case, the means of resistance determine the eventual outcome.

Proponents of nonviolence also point out the far greater mobilisational power of the strategy. Violence is most suited to able-bodied males, with women, the elderly and very young unable, as a rule, to play much part. The need for arms and training similarly excludes many. Violence is either the method preferred by small and secretive terrorist cells that can ignore the need for mass mobilisation in its politics of terror, or it is the method of relatively isolated groups such as marginalised indigenous peoples, who may create liberated zones in their forest and mountain tracts, but have little or no capability of extending such a politics into the wider society beyond, except through terrorism – which tends to alienate that society. Nonviolence also encourages dialogue and negotiation, and does not alienate potential allies. It is thus held to be a far more effective force for building a future democracy.\footnote{For a detailed analysis of these issues, see Sharp, The Politics of Nonviolent Action, pp. 594-810}

Sources
I have used a variety of sources in writing this thesis, located over three continents – in Pakistan, the UK, and the USA. Pakistan yielded the largest chunk of my material. In Islamabad, I found information on the role of the Zia regime in the National Archives of Pakistan and the Cabinet Library. Files in the National Archives of Pakistan contained some copies of newspapers, such as the dailies Jang (Rawalpindi and Karachi editions), Nawai-Waqt, Musawat (Urdu),
Dawn (Islamabad), Muslim (Islamabad, in English), and a few copies of weekly magazines, such as Me’yar, Al-Fatah, and Viewpoint (Lahore). This press material was not however kept in any systematic order in the archives. I could not obtain much in the way of government records from the Zia material, except for a few reports on Sharia from the Ministry of Information and Broadcasting. The National Documentation Centre started declassifying its record of the first two years of Zia’s regime only last year. The employees of the library claimed in response to my queries that there were no declassified records available from the Zia era. Since I uncovered some appropriate material in the conventional archives, I collected government reports, conference proceedings, and newspaper files from different sources. I found useful government reports and legal documents in the library of the Establishment Division, Ministry of Information and Broadcasting and in the National Assembly Library. These materials helped me to build a better understanding of the government’s role. The library of the daily Jang in Rawalpindi, though in a dilapidated condition and affected severely by a flood of 2010, provided useful material. The libraries of political parties relevant for this period were generally disorganised, an exception being Jama’at-i-Islami’s library in Ichra Lahore. Taj Haider, PPP’s founding member, claimed that the regime was responsible for the chaos of its library. However, I was able to locate a private established archive the ‘South Asian Research and Resource Center (SARRC)’ run by Ahmed Salim in Islamabad, which has a huge collection of primary and rare secondary sources on the post-1947 period. I consulted the weekly Viewpoint magazine’s copies of 1977-88, the daily Musawat, and ‘Amal (published from London). The Centre for Peace and
Civil Society library in Hyderabad – run by Jami Chandio, a renowned human rights activist from the city – provided me a couple of rare sources in Sindhi and English. Being a Seraiki speaking, which is closer to Sindhi, and I understood them with the help of my Sindhi friends. I also consulted the Bacha Khan Library in Peshawar, but found little on the Zia period there.

The second major unofficial source was the collection of private papers from various stakeholders, such as ex-Army officers, members of Majlis-i-Shura, and opposition leaders and activists. Although many of interviewees revealed that they had to burn their private papers through fear of the regime, I was given access to the private papers of Dr. Aslam Naro of Rahim Yar Khan, a former prisoner of Shahi Qila-Lahore; Shahid S Sheikh of Lahore, a PPP member and prisoner of Shahi Qila-Lahore; Dr. Mehdi Hassan of Lahore, Professor at Beaconhouse University; Javed Iqbal Moazzam of Lahore, a member of Al-Zulfiqar; some pictures from Mairaj M.Khan’s collection in Karachi, a founding member of PPP; Begum Naseem Wali Khan of Peshawar, a member of ANP; Honey Begum of Peshawar, a member of ANP; and Tahira Abdullah of Islamabad, a human rights activist. However, despite my best efforts, I could not consult Fatehyab Ali’s personal archive, which is now managed by his wife, Dr. Masooma Hassan, in Karachi.

Since much of the information on the civil resistance of this period is classified or destroyed by the regime I found the best way to obtain information was through interviews with key pro- and anti-Zia figures from that period, many of whom are still alive. This presented its own set of methodological
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conundrums. I had to be selective in whom I interviewed due to time constraints in my time. So as to be objective, I tried to interview people representing a range of backgrounds – from different regions, sexes, professions (including administrators), political parties, and political persuasions. These are all listed in the bibliography, with brief notes on their backgrounds. In total, I managed to interview thirty-five political leaders and workers, eleven academics, three lawyers, six human rights activists, eight journalists, and one from the defence forces, one civil administrator, and an artist. Besides recording the interviews in an audio-recorder, I also made a point of observing their body language and facial expressions to see if it matched their anecdotes. Most of the political interviewees were enthusiastic about recalling what they regarded as their heroic struggle, and a few were nostalgic for the time of struggle. There were a range of people whom I would like to have interviewed but failed to respond to my telephone requests. These include Rao Irshad, Editor of Al-Fatah magazine, Dr. Jaffar Ahmed, Director Pakistan Study Centre-Karachi University; Prof. Amin Mughal, founding member of the exile committee in London, Pervez Rasheed, whom I was told had a role in the engineered self-immolation in Rawalpindi after the Lahore High Court had passed the death sentence on Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, and Raja Anwer who was an active member of Al-Zulfiqar and author of a book on the subject. I was unable to interview Majid Nizami, Chief Editor of the rightist Lahore newspaper Nawai-Waqt, Ghinwa Bhutto, widow of Murtaza Bhutto, and Rasool Bukhsh Paleejo, leader of Awami Tehreek, who were found to be not available on the previously-arranged days for various reasons.

In the UK, I made use of the Modern Records Centre (MRC) at the University of Warwick, which holds a full catalogue of Amnesty International’s
archive, copies of magazines such as *The Struggle* and *Hartal*, which were published by the exiled workers of Pakistan People’s Party. These provided a source of distinctive material covering the activities of Benazir Bhutto and exiled activists abroad, none of which was available in the Pakistani archives. The MRC also keeps the records of correspondence of the Labour Union of England, which funded labour unions in Pakistan, first against Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, and later on against General Zia. Moreover, Mushtaq Lasharie, PPP exiled to London, and Muhammad Agha Waseem, exiled to Denmark, member of Al-Zulfiqar provided original material to me on the relationship between Nusrat Bhutto and Benazir Bhutto with Al-Zulfiqar, which I look at in Chapter 3.

As I felt that international pressure, particularly from the US government, was a very important element in the politics of this period, I applied for and received a Trans-Atlantic Fellowship in 2013 to access the records of the Central Intelligence Agency for 1977-88 that are held in the National Archives and Records Administration Maryland, USA. I found a vast quantity of material in reports, confidential memoranda, and much more besides. The abundance of this information reveals the importance of Pakistan in US foreign affairs at that time. Without this excellent material that shed a light on a range of critical issues, my thesis would have been much poorer. The fellowship also gave me the opportunity to carry out interviews with some key figures residing in America, such as Professor Stephen P. Cohen – who had been in Pakistan in 1979-81 to collect information for his book on the Pakistan Army and who had praised General Zia for his statesmanship – Moeed Yousaf, who was responsible for Pakistani affairs at the United States Institute of Peace (USIP) – and Dr. Aparna Pande, who worked with Hussain Haqqani on Pakistan at the Hudson Institute in
Washington DC. They all disclosed various information not documented in any archives.

Lastly, I draw widely upon published sources. Such sources, mentioned earlier in section 4, were essential to evaluate the dominant discourses on the Zia regime.

**Roadmap**

In this final section of the introduction, I shall briefly set out the themes of the chapters.

- **Ch. 1. The Campaign to Save Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto.** On 5 July 1977, Pakistan witnessed its third declaration of martial law by the army (the first after the separation from East Pakistan) under the Chief Martial Law Administrator General Zia-ul-Haq. Amidst the political chaos that resulted from allegations of rigging in the General Election for the National Assembly of 7 March 1977 and the failure to come to any agreement between the winners – the Pakistan People’s Party under Zulfikar Ali Bhutto – and the losing Pakistan National Alliance under Mufti Mahmood, the army seized power with the stated objective of holding free and fair elections within ninety days as given by the Constitution of Pakistan 1973. However, the election was postponed, being replaced by a policy of accountability – *phele aihtesab phir itikhab* (first accountability, then election) – being then followed by the judicial killing of Zulfikar Ali Bhutto on 4 April 1979. This chapter analyses the resistance of the PPP to Martial Law and the efforts made to save the life of its founder.

- **Ch. 2. Formative Phase of Collective Action 1979-81.** The death of ZAB
was a great setback both for the PPP and for democracy in Pakistan in general. ZAB had helped restore the morale of the people after the defeat in war of 1971, and he had become known as Quaid-i-Awam, Fakhr-i-Asia through his reputation for working for the poor and depressed classes. ZAB’s family could have accepted the new regime and gone into exile, but chose instead to fight the dictator. To do this, they had to build and maintain a strong opposition movement, which involved in winning over those who had initially supported General Zia but who had become increasingly exasperated by his failure to restore democracy.

- Ch. 3. New Struggle 1981-84: Movement for Restoration of Democracy (MRD). The year 1981 saw the start of a new struggle for democracy in Pakistan. Opposition parties and like-minded political groups or parties created a joint strategy to get rid of the dictatorship and restore democratic norms in the country. In December 1980, the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy was planned by a cluster of opposition political parties to operate under one agenda for restoring democracy in the country. Announced publicly on 6 February 1981, the movement faced a ruthless reaction from the regime but it was able to inspire the citizens to struggle for their democratic rights. Most historians and political scientists have considered that this movement was ultimately a failure. This dissertation argues however that it was part of a continuum in a ‘gradualist democratic’ movement that eventually paved the way towards holding elections and ending martial law in 1985. This
chapter analyses the structure, strategies, and the results of the movement during the 1981-84 period, as well as, the dictator’s oppression of the predominantly unarmed resisters.

- **Ch. 4. Decline of Dictator’s Power 1984-86.** Despite the sudden calling off of the civil disobedience movement in December 1983 by Nusrat Bhutto and other MRD leaders without achieving its objectives – namely the holding of free and elections, the end of martial law, and the revival of the Constitution of 1973 – during the previous period, the grip on power of the Zia regime began to loosen during the period 1984-86. He held a controversial Presidential referendum on 19 December 1984, followed in February 1985 by a long-awaited general election in February 1985, and then the lifting of martial law in December 1985. It is argued that these developments were the consequences of the people’s struggle over the previous seven years. Zia’s own support within the army also began to waver. The chapter examines the struggle that was carried on in exile by some of the leading opponents of Zia, looking at its effect on the ground in Pakistan.

- **Ch. 5. Revival of Democracy 1986-88.** When martial law was lifted on 30 December 1985, Khan Abdul Wali Khan commented that “it is like facing a person who has not used his gun for some time but who continues to hold it in his hand and can wield it any moment”.¹⁴⁶ As it was, this ‘gun’ was turned on the handpicked Prime Minister of
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General Zia, Muhammad Khan Junejo, when the General dismissed his government and called fresh elections after three months, in June 1988. Zia continued to oppress his opponents and stifle the press, even in the run-up to the elections. This chapter will examine the response of the MRD to these developments. On 10 April 1986 Benazir Bhutto received a mammoth welcome on her return from exile, which set off alarm bells for Zia. She asked the General ‘to leave the country gracefully’\textsuperscript{147}, as she did not believe in revenge. Two years later, Zia was dramatically killed in a suspicious air crash.

Ch. 1. The Campaign to Save Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto

Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto’s great achievement was to restore the morale of the people of West Pakistan after the disastrous defeat in the Bangladesh War of 1971 and loss of East Pakistan. After the Pakistan Army had surrendered to the Indian Army in Dhaka, the military ruler General Yahya Khan, who held the post of Chief Martial Law Administrator, called on Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, then in New York defending Pakistan in the United Nations, and appointed him the first civilian Chief Martial Law Administrator (CMLA) as well as President of Pakistan on 20 December 1971. Bhutto was a very popular politician who had established the centre-left Pakistan People’s Party in 1967. Its mission statement was ‘Islam is our religion, Socialism is our economy, and Democracy is our politics’, and had the slogan roti, kapra aur makan (Bread, Clothing, and Housing).  

Between December 1971 and 5 July 1977, Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto (ZAB) accomplished much. He brokered the Simla Agreement with India, arranged the repatriation of 90,000 prisoners of war from Indian’s prisons, gave the first constitution approved unanimously by all political parties in 1973, held an Islamic summit, enhanced the nuclear capability of the country, and carried through economic and social reforms, among many other achievements.  
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149 See, for details, Ian Talbot, Pakistan: A Modern History; V.Y.Belokrenitsy, and V.N.Moskalenko, A Political History of Pakistan, pp.210-234; Gordaspuri, Shaheed Zulfikar Ali Bhutto; Kausar Niazi, Aur Line Cut gai; Niazi, The Last Days of Premier Bhutto (Lahore: Jang Publishers, 1991); Jones, Pakistan
Hoping to capitalise on this, and giving very short notice to his opponents, ZAB announced in January 1977 that there would be elections in March 1977, a year before they were due. There were many vested interests in Pakistan that opposed his policies, such as land reforms, nationalisation, building nuclear capability, control over military and civilian administration, and religious issues, and there was also political jealousy. Some of the opposition parties were already in an alliance called the 'United Democratic Front' (UDF) but it had been inactive for the past one year. Some parties had also been banned. The UDF immediately reacted to the call of election and launched a new alliance of nine parties named the Pakistan National Alliance (PNA) along with some other parties such as the defunct NAP on 10 January 1977, within three days of the announcement of the election. ZAB judged that the amalgamation of four secular parties out of nine to be a short-term...
‘political honeymoon’.156 PPP leaders, and workers, therefore, chanted a slogan *Nau Tare Hair Pher, Adhe Teetar Adhe Batair* (nine stars are swindles comprising of half quails, and half partridges).157

Fully confident of his public popularity and party position,158 ZAB allowed PNA to contest the election under one emblem so that it became a contest between two main groups, the PPP and the PNA. 7 and 10 March were set as the dates for the National and Provincial Assemblies’ election respectively. PPP won the National Assembly’s election by 155 out of 196 seats while PNA got only thirty-six seats.159 Some of PNA’s party heads were even defeated in their home constituencies. Some opposition leaders had boycotted the election on grounds that the PPP had rigged the ballot.160 Two days after the results were declared, they launched a movement demanding the re-holding of free and fair elections. Their demand soon took on a religious colour when the PNA leaders demanded the promulgation of *Nizam-i-Mustafa* (System of Muhammad, the Prophet). Their agitation spread, becoming a threat to law

---

158 There were 200 seats in the national assembly, and PPP had already won 19 seats uncontested (15 from Sindh and 4 from Baluchistan). Eight seats from Tribal belts went to the winning party automatically. Therefore, PPP needed only 74 more seats for a simple majority in the National Assembly, whereas, his opponents required 101 seats out of the 169 constituencies in which its candidates were contesting the election, *Me’yar*, 5 March-12 March 1977, NAP/BRR/P-2821, p.6.
159 Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto, Mumtaz Ali Bhutto and Sultan Ahmad Chandio, Makhdoom Zaman Talib Mola (Hala-Hyderabad), Matar Mujtasa Jatoi (Nawab Shah), Matar Sultan Chandio (Larkana), Mir Mehrab Khan Bajrani (Jacobabad), Abdul Fatah Memon (Nawabshah), Noor Muhammad Lund(sukker), Mir Sikander Khan (Dado), Taj Muhammad Jamali (Sibi), Aman Ullah Gajki (Qalat), Mohiyuddin (Qalat) – in total 10 leaders – were declared uncontested winner since no one submitted application for running the coming election against them in their respective constituencies. All were from Sindh except two from Qalat and Sibi. According to the official sources, 80% voters cast their vote. Bhutto’s victory was also praised in the editorial of *Jang* on 22 January 1977 for solving the crisis of the leadership in the country. Later, the same newspaper supported the unconstitutional coup of the Pakistan Army.
160 In a joint press conference in Karachi, the opposition leaders Mian Mahmood Qasoori, Ch. Rehmat Ali and Professor Ghafoor Ahmad accused PPP of stopping opposition candidates submitting their papers for the election. *Jang*, 21 January 1977.
and order. It took a violent turn after ZAB imposed martial law in three big cities, Karachi, Hyderabad, and Lahore. Law enforcement agencies including the Army opened fire on the public in the March and April, killing four civilians. It was at this juncture that the army chief General Muhammad Zia-ul-Haq began to consider overthrowing the government.\footnote{Azhar Sohail, General Zia ke Gyara Saal (Lahore, year and publisher is missing), pp.23-26. General Zia-ul-Haq, Interview to Altaf Hassan Qureshi, September 1977. Cited in Shabbir Ibne Adil, General Zia k dus saal, (Karachi: Shabbal Publication, 1988), pp.17-20.} Seeing the situation getting worse, ZAB and PNA leaders started a dialogue to resolve the political turmoil. Even after very long deliberations, they failed to produce any political solution to the issue.\footnote{The longest, on 2-3 July 1977, lasted 13½ hours. Jang, 2 July 1977.} On 5 July, General Zia carried out a coup d’état that he named ‘Operation Fair Play’.\footnote{Zia was at that time poorly-regarded in Pakistan, being seen as a malleable appointee of ZAB. Arif stated in his book that he was of ‘moderate intelligence, dual-personality and sluggish’. Arif, Working with Zia, p. 178.} The constitution was suspended rather than abrogated and martial law was imposed, supposedly on a temporary basis, so that free and fair elections could be held within ninety days and the government handed over to whoever won. As it was, Zia was in power for the next eleven years, continuously promising to hold an election soon.\footnote{He did eventually hold the election in 1985 on non-party basis. For details, Prof. Ghafoor Ahmed, General Zia k Aakhri Das Saal (Lahore: Jang Publishers, 1993). This is discussed in Chapter 4 below.}

After the imposition of the martial law, the political scenario in the country turned against ZAB and PPP. The opposition parties aligned themselves opportunistically with Zia leaving ZAB and PPP isolated. This allowed Zia to take out a case against ZAB for the alleged murder in 1974 of Nawab Muhammad Ahmad Khan Kasuri, the father of Ahmed Raza Kasuri, an ex-PPP member, and then one of the opposition leaders. ZAB was duly found guilty and executed on 4 April 1979.
This chapter examines the period between 5 July 1977 and 4 April 1979. It adds to the existing historiography on the reasons for the imposition of the martial law, the failure of the resulting protest led by PPP, and the regime’s tactics to suppress the resistance. The chapter also looks at the way that the regime went ahead and hanged ZAB, despite all the mercy appeals to spare him.

**Imposition of Martial Law:**

At 2.30am on 5 July 1977, ZAB’s valet was alarmed to see that the police guard on the Prime Minister’s house was no longer there and he rushed to ZAB’s bedroom to inform him. ZAB, who was still awake, tried to telephone General Zia to ask what was happening, but found the lines were dead. He managed to find one functioning line – which was the private line of his second daughter Sanam – and called Zia, who told him: ‘I’m sorry, Sir, I had to do it. [...] We have to hold you in protective custody for a while. But in ninety days I’ll hold new elections. You’ll be elected Prime Minister again, of course, Sir, and I’ll be saluting you’.165 He was taken to the hill station of Murree later that day, where – though under temporarily ‘protective custody’ – he was provided all the facilities of his previous position.166

---

166 BB mentioned he was taken to Murree at 9am (Bhutto, *Daughter of the East*, p. 96), whereas Arif said it was at 4pm (Arif, *Working with Zia*, p. 147). Arif, *Working with Zia*, p. 147. Protective Detention is the holding of a person or persons without trial when the evidence available is not sufficient to charge them or convict them by legal proof, but under which persons can still be confined in order to prevent them from acting in a particular way, for reasons connected with the security of the state or the maintenance of public order. This law is often found in countries formerly under British rule. It was introduced in the sub-continent during WWI and WWII, and its provisions became an integral part of Pakistan law after independence. *Amnesty International*, ‘Islamic Republic of Pakistan: An Amnesty International Report including the findings of a mission to Pakistan 23 April-12 May 1976’, May 1977, MSS.34/4/1/Pk1, Modern Record Center, The University of Warwick (MRC), p.33.
Why was the night of 4-5 July chosen? The post-election disturbances appeared to have died down by then after semi-martial law was imposed in the cities of Lahore, Karachi and Hyderabad. Zia was asked right after he had seized power at what juncture he had decided to impose martial law and had replied that ‘he took action “after listening to the failure of the talks between Bhutto and PNA in the press conference at 5pm on 4 July”’. However, in September 1977 General Zia answered the same question by stating that it was in May that he had started to consider the feasibility of toppling ZAB’s government.

Responding to Zia’s claim that negotiations had broken down on 4 July, some of those involved such as Professor Ghafoor Ahmed (represented PNA), and Maulana Kausar Niazi (represented PPP) denied that this was the case. Instead, according to Ghafoor Ahmed, they were well on the way to reaching a settlement. Niazi stated that ZAB had already announced at a press conference at the Prime Minister Residence held at 23.30 on 4 July his readiness to sign the agreement with PNA the next morning. The hostile slogans had been replaced with ‘Mufti-Bhutto Bhai Bhai’ (Mufti Mahmood and Bhutto are brothers). Unfortunately, by next morning no agreement was possible. Instead, a satiric slogan composed after the coup declared: Na hul aya na talwar, agai poncho wali sarkar (Neither plough nor sword came, only a government of the moustache).

---

167 Newsweek, 19 July 1977, quoted in Arif’s Working with Zia, p.133.
172 Plough was the election symbol of PNA while the sword was of PPP. Whereas, General Zia had Mostache. Sometimes, the joke carries the line agai Booton wali Sarkar (the army of ‘Black boots’ has come) in the second verse.
Although, it is beyond the scope of this dissertation to investigate in depth the reasons for the imposition of martial law, we may say a little on the subject. One of the reasons was the presence of some black sheep in both parties, such as Asghar Khan and Begum Naseem Wali Khan of PNA, and Abdul Hafiz Pirzada of PPP. Asghar Khan, head of Tehreek-i-Istiqlal (TI), played a critical role, sending a well-publicised letter to the Pakistan Army on 25 April 1977 in which he emotionally and in patriotic terms exhorted it to refuse to act on the ‘unlawful orders’ of ZAB’s ‘unlawful’ government.\footnote{For read the full letter see: M. Asghar Khan, \textit{We’ve Learnt Nothing from History Pakistan: Politics and Military Power} (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 2005), pp. 133-34. Roedad Khan, \textit{Pakistan- A Dream Gone Sour} (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 1998).} According to one anecdote, Asghar Khan attended a dinner meeting hosted by Sardar Abdul Qayoom Khan, one of the deal-brokers on 4 July at which he opposed the deal done with ZAB and said that they should resume the public movement to bring down the government.\footnote{Ahmed, Aur Phir Marshal Law agya, pp. 250-53.} Likewise, Begum Naseem Wali Khan, representing the National Democratic Party (NDP), declared the agreement was ‘just a simple paper’.\footnote{\textit{Me’yar}, 25 June-2 July 1977, NAP/BRR/P-2837, p.7.} For them, the main aim was to get rid of ZAB.\footnote{\textit{Me’yar}, 26 March-2 April 1977, NAP/BRR/P-2824, p.7.} Interviewing her, she confessed that she was against signing any agreement with ZAB.\footnote{Begum Naseem Wali Khan, Interview, 4 February 2012, Charsadda.} Although the head of the NDP, Sher Baz Mazari, was prepared to sign the agreement, she openly went against him in this. Once, the news spread that PPP and PNA had come to an agreement, she protested and said to Mufti Mahmood ‘back in our province the people will not leave hair on my head and in your beard’.\footnote{Begum Naseem Wali Khan, Interview.} When he refused to respond to her favourably, she contacted Pir Pagara and asked for...
the same help. On being asked by the researcher the reasons for her opposition to the agreement, she said that nothing was said about Balochistan or the release of Wali Khan. She confirmed that every party except TI and NDP had agreed to sign the agreement the next morning.\textsuperscript{179} Abdul Hafiz Pirzada, who was negotiating for the PPP, wanted – for his part – to wrest yet more concessions from the opposition before signing the agreement.\textsuperscript{180} The upshot of this was that all the political leaders lost out.

An important element in ZAB’s fall that is generally ignored related to Pakistan’s ambition to build nuclear weapons capability. ZAB had initially favoured such a programme, as revealed in books by himself and Niazi.\textsuperscript{181} The US government had opposed this strongly, with Henry Kissinger, the then US Secretary of State, threatening severe consequences if Pakistan attempted to become nuclear. Not wanting to fall out with the USA, ZAB met with the US Ambassador on 3 July 1977 and stated next day that Pakistan had no intention of developing nuclear weapons.\textsuperscript{182} Zia and other Army top brass were alarmed at ZAB’s announcement, as they wanted to develop nuclear weapons in secret and felt that the Prime Minister was undermining Pakistan’s security by talking about the issue in public. Pervaiz Iqbal Cheema has claimed that Zia was subsequently the architect of the ‘ambiguous nuclear policy’ of Pakistan.\textsuperscript{183} By

\textsuperscript{179} Begum Naseem Wali Khan, Interview.
\textsuperscript{180} Niazi, \textit{Aur Line Cut gai}, p. 167-69.
seizing power on 5 July, the military forestalled any attempts by ZAB to roll back the nuclear programme.

Although, Zia did not immediately abrogate the constitution, he replaced key civilians with high-up military commanders and issued fourteen ordinances in less than a week, which indicated that he had long-term plans for his rule. To administer the country, he divided the country into four provincial zones under Provincial Martial Law Administrators (PMLAs), with District Martial Law Administrators (DMLA) under them. Punjab was divided into five units.

Summary military courts (SMC) and special military courts (Sp.MC) were constituted under Martial Law Ordinances (MLO) number 4, 5, and 6 to try

---


185 Sector I, Civil Division of Lahore, under DMLA Major General Ahmad Jamal Khan, HQ Lahore Cantonment; Sector II, Civil Division of Sargodha under DMLA Major General S F S K Lodhi, HQ Sargodha; Sector III, Civil Division of Multan, DMLA Major General Ijaz Azeem, HQ Multan Cantt; Sector IV, Bahawalpur Civil Division, DMLA Major General Ghulam Muhammad, HQ Bahawalpur; Sector V, Civil Division Rawalpindi and Federal Capital DMLA Major General Shah Rafi Alam, Sitar-Jurat, HQ Rawalpindi. DMLA can appoint Sub Martial Law Administrators at district levels. Nawai-Waqt, 10 July 1977.

186 Jang, 11 July 1977. SMC consisted of one member only. The accused could address the courts and cross-examine witnesses, but only a memorandum of the evidence was needed to be taken down. The accused had no right of representation by a lawyer, although the accused might have a person to assist him, who could be a legal adviser. He might be present during proceedings as a “friend of the accused”, and may advise him in that capacity. The friend of the accused could not address the court directly. Maximum punishment under SMC was one year, and 10-15 lashes. No provision of appeal, but the proceedings of the summary military court could be sent to the Provincial Martial Law Administrator for review. Cited in Amnesty International, ‘Short Report of an Amnesty International Mission to the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 20-25 January 1978’, MSS.34/4/1/pk 4, MRC.

187 SpMC consisted of three persons, one magistrate, and two of the rank of a Major or a Lieutenant Colonel. Only a summary of the evidence was needed to be recorded and, if necessary, “may be dispensed with in a case and in lieu thereof an abstract of evidence may be recorded” (Martial Law Order No.5, 11 July 1977). The courts may impose all punishments, including death penalty, and amputation of a hand, however, these last two was needed to be confirmed by CMLA. Any question on the court could be referred to CMLA (MLO No.13), whose decision was final. Cited in Amnesty
contraventions of martial law orders and regulations and other offences.\footnote{Amnesty International, ‘Martial Law orders and Regulations Introduced Since 5 July 1977 of Concern to Amnesty International’, October 1977, MSS.34/4/1/Pk3, MRC, p.5.}

Fourteen martial law ordinances were issued on 11 July,\footnote{Amnesty International, ‘Short Report of an Amnesty International Mission to the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 20-25 January 1978’, MSS.34/4/1/pk 4, MRC.} such as Martial Law Regulation (MLR) 1 banning political meetings, processions, issuing any political statement, agitating at any educational institutions, with violators receiving up to five years rigorous imprisonment and/or whipping not exceeding five lashes. MLR 5 prohibited participation in political meetings or processions taken without prior permission of the martial law administration, on pain of punishments of up to seven years imprisonment and/or a maximum ten lashes. Likewise, under MLR 12 agitations and protests by trade unions could be punished with up to three years imprisonment and/or ten lashes. Financial help to political parties and attacks on civil officials or members of the military could be punished with death. Under MLR 4, publication of any material, “calculated to promote feeling of enmity or hatred between provinces, classes, sects or religious order” was liable to up to ten years of imprisonment and/or thirty lashes. On 22 July, three more ordinances were issued that made any activity that undermined the security of the country or attempted to foment rebellion by the armed forces against the government or Chief Martial Law Administrator (CMLA) a capital offence. MLO 12, which was later augmented with MLR 23 was the most oppressive and most frequently exercised ordinance...
of the regime enforced by the SMC.\(^{190}\) It empowered the CMLA, PMLA and DMLA to order the detention of any person on very broad grounds:

For the purpose of the preventing him from acting in a manner prejudicial to the purpose for which Martial Law has been proclaimed or interest, the defence of Pakistan or any part thereof, the maintenance of peaceful conditions in any part of Pakistan of the efficient conduct of martial law. Initial detention: 3 months, extendable by PMLA up to 12 months as per the MLO No.27.\(^{191}\)

Whipping and amputation were also introduced as legal punishments.\(^{192}\)

All of this indicated that Zia had plans for a long period of rule, despite his continuing promise to hold free and fair elections.\(^{193}\) K.M.Arif has written that Zia was impressed by the supposed benefits of long-term dictatorial rule, as in countries such as Nigeria, North Korea and Romania.\(^{194}\) Azhar Sohail noted that headed letter pads for the CMLA’s secretariat were printed in thousands, enough to last for years.\(^{195}\) Some analysts predicted that Zia would hold on to power for a long time, something he continued to deny.\(^{196}\)

\(^{190}\) Issued on 21 September 1978, repealed the earlier martial law regulations no.12, prohibited any strikes or lockouts. Maximum punishment was 3 years imprisonment, and/or flogging upto 10. *Amnesty International*, ‘Short Report of an Amnesty International Mission To the Islamic Republic Of Pakistan 20-25 January 1978’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk3, MRC, p.11.


\(^{192}\) MLR Nos.6, and 7, issued on 11 July 1977, introduced the amputation of one hands as possible punishment for persons convicted of theft, robbery, and dacoit (Armmed robbery with five or more persons). It had to be carried out by a qualified surgeon under local anaesthetic, in public or in jail. *Amnesty International*, ‘Martial Law orders and Regulations Introduced Since 5 July 1977 of Concern to Amnesty International’, October 1977, MSS.34/4/1/Pk3, MRC, pp.3-4. Public Flogging was later abolished in all cases on 2 October 1995 by the then Federal cabinet. *Amnesty International*, ‘Pakistan appeal to ban public flogging’, November 1995, MSS. 34/4/1/Pk120, MRC.


\(^{194}\) Arif, *Working with Zia*, p.177.


Initially the reaction to the imposition of Martial Law was muted, with no public condemnation by any political leaders, businessmen, union leaders, students, or civil society actors. Rather people, including a few PPP members, distributed sweets in the streets while pro-democratic people remained silent. Mahmood, speaking on behalf of all political leaders asked them to obey the martial law ordinances. The next day Begum Naseem Wali Khan visited the shrine of Imam Bari and replaced her black chaddar with a white one. Tribal leaders and the people of Balochistan largely welcomed martial law. Women esteemed the act of General Zia of not arresting Begum Naseem Wali Khan. Furthermore, leading newspapers failed to condemn the imposition of martial law. Rather, the American magazine *Time* reported that the majority of opposition parties and politicians celebrated it. Even Amnesty International welcomed the new regime and its actions of abolishing special tribunals, revoking Emergency and the Defence of Pakistan Rules (DPR) and

---


198 Siddique Al Farooq, President Punjab Student’s Federation Rawalpindi Chairman (currently he is PML-N). *Jang*, 7 July 1977.

199 At a time of torrential rain, the army, and the political parties especially JI provided extraordinary care to the affected people that brought approval for the regime.


202 Shrines of Sufi Saints have a sacred place in the hearts of people of Pakistan. The majority believes in asking divine help through these saints for fulfilling any wish. If fulfilled, they visit the shrine and offer prayers and money.


204 The researcher looked at *Daily Jang, Daily Nawai-Waqt*, and *Daily Dawn*, the most read newspapers in Pakistan. Rather, the editorials approved the regime’s martial law having the objective of holding free and fair election under the military.

restoring constitutional powers to the higher judiciary and safeguarding their independence, which had been curtailed under ZAB. 206

Zia’s cunning move caused confusion in Pakistan. Firstly, since the government as well as the three chiefs of the armed forces had publicly announced their loyalty to the democratic process and government a few days before the coup; the public, and even PPP parliamentarians, were of the opinion that ZAB himself asked the army to take over so that he could force his opponents to agreement to his conditions. 207 Lacking any forewarning, PPP workers failed to react immediately. Secondly, General Zia’s invention of the term ‘protective custody’ lulled possible opposition. Ghafoor Ahmed stated that he had felt when put into ‘custody’ that it might be a tactic to lock the party leaders in one room until they solved the political deadlock, and indeed this option had been suggested by some newspaper editors few days earlier. 208

Lastly, the stated purpose of the martial law was to hold free and fair election within ninety days, and political parties and workers did not see any reason to doubt this. Mehdi Hasan said that seeing ZAB’s scornful behaviour in a meeting with General Zia on 15 July cast doubt on the idea of his blessing for the military action. Nevertheless, the unexpected declaration of martial law failed to

206 Those are the issues which AI raised in its report of early 1977, and recommended the then government to abolish them. However, the Military regime replaced the laws by Martial Law Order, especially MLO 12 serves the regime similarly as DPR 1972, 1973, and 1974 against the political opponents. Political prisoners including ZAB and others were arrested under MLO-12 afterwards. Amnesty International, ‘Islamic Republic of Pakistan: An Amnesty International Report including the findings of a mission to Pakistan 23 April-12 May 1976’, May 1977, MSS.34/4/1/Pk1, MRC, pp.1-2.

207 Interviews with Dr. Mehdi Hasan, 3 March 2013, Lahore; Shahida Jabeen, 26 February 2012, Lahore; Qazi Sultan, 17 April 2012, Rawalpindi; Aslam Gordaspuri, 6 May 2013, Lahore. And Sindh parliamentarians asked the question from Nusrat Bhutto once they met her in Clifton. Ex-Senator PPP, Kamal Azfar Alhaj Dilawar Khan was amongst the parliamentarians. Jang, 8-10 July 1977.

provoke any immediate reaction from any believers in democracy, and especially PPP workers.

All political leaders were released from protective custody within a short period – ZAB on 27 July. He decided to launch a campaign of public speeches, political gatherings, and protest meetings, and political noncooperation.\textsuperscript{209} During these two months, ZAB demonstrated his clear leadership abilities and clarity of purpose, qualities that Ackerman and Kruegler hold as being extremely important in civil resistance leadership.\textsuperscript{210}

For ZAB, it was not easy to mobilise people’s power in his favour, as he had alienated many people in Pakistan, as we shall see later in this chapter. It was noticeable, for example, that no one turned up to the PPP relief camps after heavy rain in the country at this time.\textsuperscript{211} A crowd did however come to receive ZAB when he reached Karachi on 27 July, which was in itself a defiance of MLO 5 banning public gatherings.\textsuperscript{212} This restored his confidence. He arranged a plan of public speeches and political gatherings at stops on a railway journey from Karachi to Peshawar, which again was an open violation of martial law orders. Starting from Multan on 6 August, he reached Lahore on 8 August, and he ended up in Peshawar on 11 August.\textsuperscript{213} A large crowd including men, women, and children gathered at each of his stops especially outside Lahore Airport.\textsuperscript{214} People chanted the slogan ‘\textit{Sada Bhutto Awai i Awai}’ (Bhutto remains

\textsuperscript{209} ibid., pp.119 and 163-65.


\textsuperscript{212} Bhutto, Daughter of the East, pp. 97-102.

\textsuperscript{213} \textit{Nawai-Waqt}, 7 August 1977; Arif, Working with Zia, p. 190.

forever). While newspapers gave no numbers for those who attended these rallies, BB later estimated that about three million people in all came out. In his speeches, ZAB condemned martial law as well as the deceitful role of PNA. He demanded that the regime hold free and fair elections within the stated time limit, otherwise he would boycott them. In a speech in Islamabad on 26 August 1977 he responded to reports that the regime intended to arrest him on various charges by declaring that there would be dreadful consequences if it did so. In all this he appears to have forgotten what he had said to BB on the night of the coup “Armies do not take over power to relinquish it. Nor do Generals commit high treason in order to hold election and restore democratic constitutions”.

The regime tried to stop all this by arresting die-hard members of the PPP, known as the Jylas (partisans) of the party. On the eve of ZAB’s arrival in Lahore, forty-three PPP’s workers were arrested on the charge of attacking Maulana Shah Ahmad Noorani, Javed Hashmi and Hanif Ramay who were also at the airport at the time. Jahangir Badar, a student leader and the general secretary of the party later on, was among them. The next day under the SMC, the detainees were sentenced to one-year rigorous punishment and ten lashes. That was the first martial law decision in Lahore. In Peshawar, police

---

215 Nawai-waqt, 8 August 1977.
218 ibid., p.191.
219 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p. 95.
220 Nawai-waqt, 10 August 1977.
dispersed the crowd by throwing gas. These punitive measures failed to stop the agitation.

Zia had calculated that ZAB had lost his popularity after the PNA movement, and was alarmed by the enthusiastic public reception on his tour. He decided that he needed to find some way to remove him from the scene for good. Arif considers that Zia had no option but to re-arrest him. So, the regime encouraged ZAB's opponents to bring cases against him, and several did. Zia had himself since 17 July being looking into the murder of Ahmad Khan Kasuri on the night of 10-11 November 1974 which had been alleged ZAB was involved in. Though an FIR had implicated ZAB, no evidence could be found to prove his culpability and the case against him had been abandoned on 1 October 1975. Rao Rashid, ex-chief of Punjab Police and Masood Mahmood,


\[224\] Arif, Working with Zia, p.189.

\[225\] Directorate of Intelligence, ‘International Narcotics Developments’, 3 August 1977, CIA-RDP79T00912A001800010017-2, NARA, p.19. On 6 August, vice-President of PML had already unveiled his blueprint of registering police cases on the charges of illegal abduction, unsuccessful murder attempt and kidnapping against ZAB. Nawai-Waqt, 7 August 1977. Zafar Ali Shah registered a case of murder against Bhutto concerning the Liaquat Bagh Firing on 23 March 1973. Khalid Rasheed Hayat, honorary Deputy Superintendent of Police, Central Investigation Agency (Railways) gave a statement that under the direction of the then Prime Minister, ZAB, he was given the task of killing Pirju Pigaro, and that ‘Pirzada handed over me the weapons while Tariq Waheed Butt, ex-MPA Punjab, provided me professional killers’. Daily Nawai-Waqt, 8 August 1977. On 30 August, four retired higher court judges — G.Z. Kekas, Bashirud din, Muhammad Siddique and A.R. Chengez — demanded that a case by taken out against ZAB and his companions on a charge of committing crimes against the Pakistani nation, cited in Arif, Working with Zia, p.191.

\[227\] Jang, 19 July 1977.
Director-General Federal Security Force (FSF)\textsuperscript{228}, who were then under arrest, were allegedly tortured into acting as approvers in this case and agree to testify against ZAB.\textsuperscript{229} The latter in the end acted as the chief approver.

On 10 September, commandos burst into ZAB's house and arrested him on the charge of murdering Muhammad Ahmad Khan Kasuri.\textsuperscript{230} Justice K.M.A. Samdani of the Lahore High Court released him on bail on the 13th, but he was rearrested on 17 September. He was in jail from that day until his execution on 4 April 1979. The movement then became one of saving ZAB's life – known as \textit{Bhutto Bachao Tehreek} (BBT- Movement to Save Bhutto). It incorporated the wider aim of restoring democracy, and also the style of government associated with ZAB when he was in power. From this emerged the doctrine called \textit{Bhuttoism}, under which label the PPP has identified itself to this day. The leadership of the movement now rested with ZAB's wife Nusrat and their daughter Benazir. They had already stepped in during ZAB's first period of arrest with, respectively, Nusrat Bhutto's first meeting with ex-members of Sindh's assembly at 70 Clifton, Karachi,\textsuperscript{231} and BB's maiden press conference in Karachi on 15 July.\textsuperscript{232}

\textsuperscript{228} Federal Security Force, a paramilitary force, which was established in 1972 to assist the Federal Security Force (FSF) for maintaining law and order situation in the country without the intervention of Pakistan Army. But it had become a terror force for his opponents including for his own party's members.


\textsuperscript{230} Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p.105.

\textsuperscript{231} \textit{Jang}, 8-10 July 1977.

\textsuperscript{232} On that day, she merely conveyed a message from her father to PPP workers to carry out social work during the floods. She said, 'she would not participate in politics or as my father would direct me neither her brothers since they are students. The participation in the coming election would be decided by ZAB.' \textit{Daily Jang}, 16 July 1977.
Bhutto Bachao Tehreek:

Gene Sharp in his Dictionary of Power and Struggle defines a civil resistance movement as “non-cooperation with, and disobedience of, policies and practices of any level of government, based on the belief that the body is question is violating its avowed moral or political principles”.\(^{233}\) His three-volume book, The Politics of Nonviolent Action, provides a foundational text on the theory and practice of civil resistance.\(^{234}\) Such action is described in a number of ways by different authorities, variously ‘unarmed insurrection’,\(^{235}\) ‘people’s power’,\(^{236}\) ‘nonviolent revolution’,\(^{237}\) a ‘weapon of moral power and bravery’,\(^{238}\) or ‘nonviolent resistance’. Though each term has slightly different implications, they all refer broadly to the same phenomena. The movement launched by the PPP to save Bhutto was clearly in this broad category. It had, however, some formidable problems to overcome, as we shall see here.

Unpopularity of Bhutto:

One major problem that the two new leaders faced – and one that the movement to save ZAB never really overcame – was that he was widely


distrusted in Pakistan. According to Ackerman, and Kruegler, objectives are the driving force for a nonviolent movement, and that they must therefore be ‘well-chosen, defined, and understood’. In this case, the objective of saving ZAB was well-defined but not necessarily well-chosen as it failed to appeal to a wide enough spectrum of the people.

ZAB was both hated and feared by many who held privileged positions in society, such as large landlords, tribal and religious heads, and capitalists. He alienated the large feudal landlords when he fixed a ceiling on land-holding of over 150 acres of irrigated and 300 acres of acres of unirrigated land for individual landholders. Although only one percent of all cultivable land was in fact distributed to tenants under this measure, the resentment remained. Businessmen opposed his policy of nationalisation that involved ‘government control of financial and industrial assets of over Rs. 2 billion ($200 million)’. They provided generous financial backing to the PNA opposition to ZAB.

ZAB also caused great resentment amongst a wide spectrum of politicians and their followers. Khalid B. Sayed and Surendra S. Kaushik describe him as following a Bonapartist strategy in consolidating his power ruthlessly after 1971. I.A. Rehman has pointed out two reasons for the
distrust of ZAB amongst politicians. First, when in power he had done his best to undermine the regional political parties so as to strengthen his political grip. Secondly, he had sought to extend PPP’s political base by removing his political rivals through means other than political.247 He used the FSF to terrorise his opponents. According to an Amnesty International fact-finding report, the methods deployed included severe beatings, threats of execution, insertions of chili in the anus, assaults on sensitive parts of the body and threats to maltreat members of the prisoner’s family.248 He ran his own party in an authoritarian way that hurt many party members. He acted discourteously towards his ministers in cabinet meetings, abusing them and giving them ill-mannered nicknames, and even humiliated them in public. Six ex-PPP parliamentarians and their family members were threatened that cases of corruption would be taken out against them. Three dissidents, including Ghulam Mustafa Khar, and Mian Mohammad Aslam, managing director of the newspaper Musawat, went missing in October 1975.249 Amnesty International declared them ‘prisoner of conscience’.250 They were subsequently released by the military regime.251 Later on, most of them became approvers against ZAB. Hearing one of the cases, Supreme Court Judge was shocked to learn about the brutal torture and the beating by the official agency responsible for maintaining law and order”.252

247 Rehman, Pakistan under Siege, pp.259-260.
249 Mian Iftikhar Tari (MPA-Punjab), Mohammad Irshad (MPA-Punjab), ibid., p.66.
250 ibid., pp.68-72.
251 ibid., p.66. Arif, Working with Zia, p.181
Political parties that opposed him such as UDF (United Democratic Front) experienced a reign of terror. For example, eleven persons were killed and eighty were injured when a public meeting of UDF in Rawalpindi was fired at on 23 March 1977. UDF was mainly an alliance of rightist parties, and ZAB’s antagonism can be explained on ideological grounds. In other cases, however, he tried to crush people merely because of their independent popularity. This was the case with the revered Sindhi religious leader, Pir Pagaro, whom ZAB ordered be arrested for not paying his agricultural tax. Pir Pagara was subsequently a strong supporter of Zia, as we shall see later in this dissertation. In Punjab, Ch. Zahoor Illahi was his worst enemy. He was arrested on 7 February 1976 and charged with forty-seven offences, and his family had eighty-three police cases registered against them. In a secret meeting on 30 September 1977, Pir Pagara and Illahi agreed to oppose the holding of any election so that the politicians could be kept in their place.

ZAB also alienated the ruling political parties in Baluchistan and KPK. He dismissed the JUI government in Baluchistan and declared the party to be anti-Pakistan and guilty of criminal offences. The chief minister of KPK,
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254 Arif, Working with Zia, pp.182-83. Pir Pagara is a title given to the family of a saint called Hurs in Sindh. Pir means spiritual leader in Persian, whilst Pagara means Chieftain in Sindhi language. Hazrat Pir Syed Sibghatullah-il fought against British rule and was hanged by the British government in 1943. The family was revered in Sindh, especially around their area near Sukker, Nawabshah, and Khairpur. During the Zia period, Syed Shah Mardan Shah II was the head of the family. In this dissertation, he is referred to as Pir Pagara.
257 Between January 1973 to July 1977, the ZAB government took out one hundred fourteen cases under Section 144 of Penal Code, the High Treason Act, Prevention of Anti-National Activities Ordinance and the Press and Publication Ordinance, against the defunct leaders of NAP. Talbot, Pakistan: A Modern History, p.89.
Mufti Mahmood, resigned in protest because of illegal action of the central government towards the province and its ruling National Awami Party (NAP).258

Dalai Camp near Kashmir, Warsak Camp (Peshawar), and Army Investigation Centre (Quetta) were major detention centres for opponents of ZAB.259 The Amnesty reports pointed out that ‘Defence of Pakistan Rules – part of emergency law and the Article 10 (preventive detention) are the most widely applied to detain political prisoners without trial’.260 The Leader (Karachi) for 14 November 1975, as cited in this report, stated that there were 30,166 detainees in 1972, 34,547 in 1973, and 36,279 in 1974. The Economist of 29 November 1975 said that there were 38,000 prisoners.261 Amnesty declared that three journalists and seven political opponents were prisoner of conscience, and recommended their release.

The final straw in all this proved to be the alleged rigging of the March 1977 election. Zia claimed that this was the main reason for his removal of ZAB. ZAB denied emphatically that he was guilty of this, though there is evidence to show that rigging was carried out by PPP party members in some constituencies. The unopposed victories for nineteen of PPP’s candidates was in itself suspicious, as this never had happened before or again subsequently.262

258 Ian Talbot, interview, 9 July 2013, Coventry (UK).
260 Ibid., p.9.
262 Nineteen unopposed members were: Mr. Noor Muhammad Lund (NA-104, Sukker-iv), Mir Mehran Khan Bajarani (NA-155 Jacobabad-i), Abdul Fateh Memon (NA-157 Nawabshah-i), Ghulam Mujtaba Khan Jatoi (NA-158 Nawabshah-ii), Shabbir Ahmad Shah (NA-159 Nawabshah-iii), Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto (NA-163 Larkana-i), Sultan Ahmed Chandio NA-164 Larkana-ii), Mumtaz Ali Bhutto (NA-165 Larkana-iii), Makhdoom Muhammad Zaman Talib-ul-Maula (NA-166 Hyderabad-i), Haji Najamudin Leghari (NA-171 Badin-i), Niaz Muhammad Visan (NA-175 Tharparker-iii), Malik Sikander Khan (NA-176 Dadu-i), Allan Khan Leghari (NA-177 Dadu-ii), Liaqat Ali Jatoi (NA-178 Dadu-iii), Ata
These unopposed returns ensued that PPP had a huge advantage in the election, as after that the party only needed to win in 74 out of 172 constituencies to gain a simple majority and 105 to gain two-thirds, as under the constitution the winner gained the eight seats from tribal areas automatically. Conversely, PNA needed to win 101 seats out of 169, and 132 for a two-thirds majority. This all tilted the balance against the opposition. Furthermore, there were cases of intimidation of opposition candidates, such as threats of kidnapping unless they stood down.

For all these reasons, ZAB was known by many as a ‘civil dictator’. Amnesty International refused subsequently to accept him as ‘a prisoner of conscience’, arguing that he was tried and found guilty of a criminal offence. General Zia built on the antipathy felt by many political leaders towards ZAB by reversing the discriminatory policies against them. He returned land confiscated in Baluchistan and granted a general amnesty to armed insurgents. Few political leaders or their followers were prepared to agitate to save ZAB from his sentence of death. Indeed, Mian Tufail (head of JI), commented, after meeting with Zia a day before ZAB’s execution, ‘once Bhutto was hanged the rest of the national would easily fall in line.’ Arif quoted Wali


263 PNA did not run the election from Balochistan

264 *Me’yar*, 5-12 March 1977, NAP/BRR/P-2821, p. 6.

265 However, they demanded that, in common with other prisoners, the Compliance of United Nations Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners should apply to ZAB. And they had concerns over his ‘solitary confinement’ as revealed by his daughter in a meeting with AI. *Amnesty International*, ‘Short Report of an Amnesty International Mission to the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 20-25 January 1978, MSS.34/4/1/pk 4, MRC, pp.23-24.


Khan as telling Zia “Had Bhutto not been buried, your body would have been the one in the grave.”

Nafees Siddiqui however attributed this statement to Khair Bakhsh Marri. PNA leaders requested that the election be postponed until ZAB was out of the way under the slogan ‘Phele Aihtsab, phir Intikhab’ (first accountability, later election). Zia complied by announcing on 1 October, three weeks before the scheduled date, that the election would be postponed because of the law and order situation.

Hasan Askari Rizvi, Aijaz Ahmed, Ian Talbot, and some other scholars have shown how ZAB alienated the army by trying to control it and even humiliate it. He allowed a film to be shown of the surrender of the Pakistan Army at Pulton Ground, Dhaka, in December 1971. He shamed the former Chief Martial Law Administrator, Yahya Khan, by publicising unsavoury details of his private life. He removed forty-three senior military officers and established a separate para-military force under FSF. He promoted General Zia-ul-Haq over the heads of six more senior army leaders when he appointed him as Chief of Army Staff in May 1976. This was despite negative reports about Zia by the previous Chief, General Tikka Khan. This all caused resentment among the displaced generals. According to a CIA report, General Iqbal Khan, Commander
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270 PNA leaders met in Quetta on 25 September for the purpose. Subsequently, some of the leaders of PNA, Pir of Pagaro and Ch. Zahoor Illahi, met General Zia covertly on 30 September and formally requested him to delay the election for the next five years until the politicians were held accountable. Ahmed, Aur Phir Election nah hu sakay, p. 89.
271 Widely reported in the media.
of the Fourth Corps and General Chishti, Commander of Tenth Corps, were those who were most strongly in favour of ZAB’s removal and subsequent execution.\textsuperscript{274} The refusal of three brigadiers to fire on PNA protestors in Lahore revealed the resentment amongst other senior officers against the government as well.\textsuperscript{275} Ian Talbot argues if ZAB had implemented the Hamood-ur-Rehman Commission Report on the defeat in East Pakistan, Pakistan might not have undergone two further periods of martial law, as this would have helped to have buried the army’s interference in civil government for good.\textsuperscript{276}

ZAB similarly alienated Pakistan’s civil servants. Under the guise of his socialist agenda of ending Naukar Shahi (civil-kings)\textsuperscript{277} and making them the servants of the people, he restructured the civil service in ways that brought it more firmly under his control.\textsuperscript{278} He also dismissed 1,300 senior civil servants.\textsuperscript{279}

\textbf{Failure to Cultivate International Support:}

Ackerman and Kruegler, Sharp, and Nepstad\textsuperscript{280}, have placed great emphasis on the need for civil resisters to secure external support for their movement. In case of Pakistan – which had remained under the influence of the USA from its

\begin{flushright}

\textsuperscript{275} The brigadiers were Ishtiaq Ali Khan, Said Muhammad and Niaz Ahmad. Arif, \textit{Working with Zia}, p.73.


\textsuperscript{278} Suhail, \textit{The Pakistan National Alliance}, p.19.


\end{flushright}
creation, support from the USA was vital for BBT. Such support was not however forthcoming for reasons that will be discussed in this section.

In her interview to Sunday Times on 25 July 1977, and as she stated later in her book, BB considered that the US had played a major role in encouraging the coup against her father, due to his ambition of acquiring nuclear capability. She appears to have regarded it in a similar light to the CIA-backed coup against the Allende government in Chile in 1973. Most of the current historiography suggests that the US government was adopting a hard line against any possible nuclear armament by Pakistan. Henry Kissinger had threatened ‘to make him [ZAB] an example’. Despite wishing ZAB’s well on taking his oath as a President of USA, Jimmy Carter continued to pursue a zero-tolerance policy towards any nuclear expansion. A secret American diplomatic message was intercepted that said of the coup against ZAB: “the party is over! He’s gone”. The USA regarded Zia as someone whom they could work with. Zia was invited in the US Independence Day celebrations on


286 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, pp. 85-89.

287 Kausar Niazi narrated an anecdote about the penetration of America into Pakistan’s policies. He said that the American military attaché Colonel Billati knew the decision of elevation of General Zia-ul-Haq as a next chief of Army staff five to six months before the retirement of General Tikka Khan.
4 July 1977, and he was the last to leave the embassy. The US government was also wary of ZAB’s quest to establish a strong Islamic bloc for economic and defence purposes, and take the country towards Islamic socialism. After holding a successful conference of the Organisation of Islamic Countries in 1974, ZAB had visited Arab countries in June of that year to propose a defence pact between leading Muslim countries and Pakistan. The Shah of Iran, President Daud Khan of Afghanistan, King Khalid of Saudi Arabia, Colonel Qaddafi of Libya, and Zaid Bin Sultan of UAE agreed on this agenda. The western powers were alarmed by this activity.

In an interview, Taj Haider compared Zia’s ‘Operation Fair Play’ to remove ZAB with ‘Operation Ajax’ of the CIA and ‘Operation Boot’ of the SIS (MI6) to remove Mohammad Massadegh when he was about to nationalise the oil company AIOC (Anglo-Iranian Oil Company) after passing a resolution in the Majlis (Iranian National Assembly) in 1951. In August of that year, the CIA spent ten million dollars bribing politicians, soldiers, mobs, and newspapers through the British embassy in Tehran and organized a riot, which gave the Shah of Iran an excuse to remove Mosaddeq. In the case of Pakistan,
Naseerullah Babar, ex-Governor KPK, and PPP’s leader also claimed that the USA was involved in the political chaos leading to martial law. Rafi Raza had already communicated with ZAB about the American plan to remove his government at any cost.\textsuperscript{293} A sudden transporter’s strike and decrease of the dollar exchange rate in the open market from seven rupees to six rupees per dollar due to a flood of currency into the country were seen by some as suspicious in this respect.\textsuperscript{294} The tactics of ‘operation wheel jam’\textsuperscript{295} was applied in Pakistan, similar to the overthrow of President of Chile Salvador Allende on 11 September 1973. Referring to Walter Schwarz’s essay in the \textit{Guardian} (UK) on 27 April 1977, Niazi stated that America was supporting the opposition parties in Pakistan to dislodge ZAB’s government. The cancellation of the selling of tear gas to Pakistan by America was presented as evidence to that. The \textit{Washington Post} disclosed the similar story.\textsuperscript{296} Burhanuddin Rabbani, the Afghan rebel leader operating from Peshawar, also supported the anti-Bhutto movement.\textsuperscript{297}

Adeem Suhail in his MA thesis revealed the channel used to pour money into labour unions through the American Institute of Labour and its affiliates.\textsuperscript{298}

The present research has found evidence of this from labour union correspondence between the Trade Union Congress (TUC) and Pakistani labour

\textsuperscript{295} Benazir stated that the operation was a tactic that was taught by American agencies to Pakistani forces to jam the whole country economically through strikes. Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p.86.
\textsuperscript{296} Niazi, \textit{Aur Line Cut gai}, p.71.
\textsuperscript{297} ZAB was aiding Gulbadin Hikmatyar against Daud’s government instead. Therefore he was in the forefront of his removal. Cited in Near East/North Africa Report, 20 May 1980, CIA-RDP82-00850R000200080035-3, NARA, p.45.
\textsuperscript{298} Suhail, \textit{The Pakistan National Alliance of 1977}, p.37.
unions that on 12 April 1977 twenty-six trade unions organisations joined together and established the Pakistan Labour Alliance against ZAB's government headed by the Pakistan National Free Trade Union (PNFTU) President Muhammad Sharif. Subsequently, Sharif and subordinate trade union leaders went missing. The union called out a procession of 600,000 people on 19 April to protest. The document states that the army kidnapped them to worsen the strikes against ZAB. They appeared again after martial law was imposed. Sharif and his companions were later on sent on a worldwide tour by the Ministry of Labour on the direction of Director General (DG) Intelligence Bureau. Moreover, Pukhton Baluch Union-London in a letter to Mr. Len. Murray, General Secretary of TUC on 26 February 1977 asked for their help against the atrocities of ZAB. The embassies not only provided financial support to trade unions to stoke disorder in the country but also offered them a place to hold secure meetings.

The US authorities believed that a dictator in Pakistan was more likely to stand up firmly to the Soviet Union. Robert Brister has revealed the Nixon administration plan to destabilise the Soviet-backed Kabul government with the help of CIA and Iranian intelligence agency SAVAK in early 1970s. CIA funded the training of rebels to overthrow the government. Kunhanandan Nair stated that five thousand persons in Panjsher valley had been trained by the agency by
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299 ‘Correspondence between Trade Union Congress and Pakistan Labour Alliance’, MSS.292D/954.5/2, MRC.

300 Chairman: Sherzada Khan, Vice Chairman: A. Razaq Khan, Secretary: M.A.Zaheer Afridi. Ibid. Four assassination plans against Wali Khan, election fraud, and 600 recent deaths in Dir were reported to the GS of TUC in the letter. A copy of Times (London) of 1 November 1976 was attached as well. ibid.

301 Mehdi Hassan, Interview; Mubashar Hassan, Interview. Taj Haider, Interview.

1975. The accession of People’s Democratic Party of Afghanistan (PDPA) in Afghanistan in a popular insurrection directed against the dictatorship of President Mohammed Daud Khan strengthened its relationship with Soviet Union, and followed similar policies to ZAB. The American State department commented on the coup against ZAB of 5 July 1977 that it was an internal affair for Pakistan and hope was expressed for future friendship between the two countries.

Not a single country, including those of the Arab world, condemned the imposition of martial law. Suhail has pointed out the involvement of Arab money in implementing the creed of Islam, especially to JI, which was supported by the army. India and Turkey considered that this was Pakistan’s internal affair and continued the same relationship as under civil government. General Zia met almost all-important ambassadors, including those of China and Saudi Arabia, the day after the coup. The French embassy invited him to its Independence Day ceremony on 14 July. President also wrote letters to the heads of governments of various countries inviting the continuation of friendly relations. Canada signed two loan agreements with the regime on 10 July. Agha Shahi, Secretary General of Foreign Affairs in the Martial Law government, was
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305 Jang, 6 July 1977.
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sent to tour some important countries to brief them about the political situation in the country.

International newspapers in UK, France and other countries welcomed martial law, stating that it was an unavoidable step by the army to save the country from further turmoil. They praised the General for being both a professional and competent leader and a devout Muslim and professional person, while condemning ZAB for his arrogant behaviour towards all opposition. The *New York Times* and *New York Post* published the news of martial law on their front pages on 7 July 1977 where they referred to Zia as a devotee of Islam who had no political aim. Amnesty International's refusal to declare ZAB a 'prisoner of conscience' indirectly supported the regime. Indeed such welcoming or neutral behaviour after the coup reduced pressure on the regime, and it gave the green light for Zia to execute ZAB later on.

US interference in the politics of the region continued after Zia had seized power. Nixon's policy of getting at the Soviet Union through Afghanistan via Iran was maintained during Carter's term as President. Zbigniew Brzezinski, Former National Security Advisor, stated "the first directive for secret aid to the opponents of the pro-Soviet regime in Kabul was signed on 3 July 1979 by President Carter. And that very day, I wrote a note to the president in which I explained to him that in my opinion this aid was going to induce a Soviet military intervention". The Carter administration did stop
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312 Talbot, *Pakistan: A New History*, p.121.
development aid to Pakistan in April 1979 because of Zia’s nuclear ambitions, but President Ronald Reagan reversed this subsequently by sanctioning the flow of $3.2 billion funding during the 1979-85 period. Despite US's extraordinary diplomatic pressure on France to cancel the Nuclear deal with Pakistan, and the celebrations of the US authorities on successfully achieving the task, Cohen, quoting the opinion of the State Department, told this researcher that the main objective of the US was to defeat the Soviet Union and socialism, and in pursuit of this they kept their eyes closed to the continuous ambition of General Zia to acquire nuclear capability after 1980.

The Campaign:

Nusrat Bhutto with the aid of BB took charge of the scattered and panicked party after ZAB’s arrest and in doing so faced all the problems of female leadership in a deeply patriarchal society, as will be discussed in chapter 2 and 3. Despite its claims to be a revolutionary socialist party, the PPP – as is often the case in the politics of the Indian subcontinent, as well as of other countries such as North Korea – was a family affair. Despite the suggestion by M.K. Gandhi after Indian independence that elections should be held within the Congress Party, the Nehru family has kept its hold on the party to this day. There are in these elements that relate to a long history of kingship, a feudal social culture, and the importance of the family as the chief unit of welfare and
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314 ibid., p.239.


316 Stephen P. Cohen, interview.
kinship. Moreover, the control of financial assets of the party by the family, including foreign bank accounts, is crucial for running an election in such countries. Although civil resistance movements have succeeded without one charismatic leader such in as in the movement of the mothers of the Plaza de Mayo in Argentina, and in the lawyers’ movement in Pakistan; charismatic individuals are often crucial for the success of a movement.\(^{317}\) In case of Pakistan, the public looked for someone from ZAB’s family to lead them instead of a person such as Sheikh Rashid, the then Vice-Chairman of the party. Nusrat Bhutto was made Acting-Chairperson of the party. Before this, Nusrat Bhutto had been active in the women’s wing of PPP, whilst Benazir tasted political life for the first time. Nusrat Bhutto told her: “Darling, you have to campaign. We have to divide up your father’s schedule”.\(^{318}\) BB stated of her feelings about her maiden speech in Faisalabad that ‘I was terrified […] I did not think of myself yet as a political leader or a public speaker’.\(^{319}\) BB was placed in charge of the task of mobilising support from like-minded civil society groups, labours, lawyers, students and journalists. Some individuals from these groups were already protesting in the streets or were writing against the regime, especially about the way that the judiciary was handling the case against ZAB.

The biggest task for the Bhutto ladies was to unite the party through a strong and active programme. Since PPP had already decided to take part in the proposed election in a meeting held on 3 August under the chairmanship of ZAB,\(^{320}\) both women continued with the rhythm of ZAB’s nonviolent protest and

\(^{317}\) Ackerman, and Kruegler, Strategic Nonviolent Conflict, p.27.

\(^{318}\) Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.116.

\(^{319}\) Ibid., p.116.

\(^{320}\) Musawat, 4 August 1977.
persuasion strategy in this respect. Nusrat threatened Zia with dire consequences. In a gathering in Nishtar Park in Karachi on 28 September, she declared that forcing Zia to retire would be the first action of PPP government after its success in the election.\textsuperscript{321} The following day in Okara, she warned the government that the “five rivers will be filled with the blood” if ZAB was hanged.\textsuperscript{322} Such threats rang alarm bells with Zia.

To exploit the craze for cricket in Pakistan, Zia organised a cricket match at the Gaddafi Stadium in Lahore in February 1978 to give the impression of a peaceful situation in the country.\textsuperscript{323} Nusrat Bhutto saw that this provided a good opportunity to expose the benign image of General Zia and its regime before sixty thousand enthusiastic spectators as well as the national and international media. She secretly occupied a place in the VIP enclosure. When the spectators, as saw her, they stood up and started chanting ‘\textit{Jiye Bhuto}’ (Long live Bhutto), \textit{Jernal Zia Murdabad} (death to Zia). The police moved in to seize her in a baton charge, and she was knocked unconscious.\textsuperscript{324} The moment of attack on her was caught by the photographers who were there for the match coverage and next day the picture was all over the media — print and electronic — including the international press. This put the regime on the defensive.\textsuperscript{325}

The Patriot Democratic Lawyers Alliance in Lahore\textsuperscript{326} was established under the direction of Nusrat Bhutto to mobilise lawyers to counter the regime’s use of the judiciary against ZAB. Although the ZAB regime had itself

\textsuperscript{321} \textit{Musawat}, 29 September 1977.
\textsuperscript{322} Arif, \textit{Working with Zia}, p.192-93.
\textsuperscript{323} The name was Lahore Stadium before 1974. It was renamed after the speech of Gaddafi of Libya in favour of Pakistan’s nuclear technology.
\textsuperscript{324} For details, see, for example, Bukhari, \textit{Ye Bazi Ishaq Ki Bazi hai}, p.65-66.
\textsuperscript{325} Arif, \textit{Working with Zia}, p. 193.
\textsuperscript{326} Convener Mian Saleem Jahangir was appointed whereas, Raja Zulqarnain as a General secretary.
been guilty of harassing lawyers who took up the cases of the political opponents of ZAB,\textsuperscript{327} the lawyers of Pakistan are one of the best-organised groups in its civil society that is able to defend its own freedom and integrity. Divided into three major branches – the District Bar Councils and the High Court Bar Councils for each province, and the Supreme Court Bar Council for Pakistan as a whole, the group organise annual elections that create a tier of leadership at each level.\textsuperscript{328} Lawyers passed a resolution in favour of ZAB that regarded him as a political victim instead of a criminal and which exposed the way that the Lahore High Court was operating as a tool of the regime in the prosecution of ZAB.\textsuperscript{329} A People’s Legal Aid Society was established at district levels to provide free aid assistance to imprisoned PPP workers.\textsuperscript{330}

Likewise, some individual journalists, especially in Musawat were also to the fore in reporting on the brutalities of the regime.\textsuperscript{331} The Pre-Censorship Ordinance\textsuperscript{332} promulgated by the regime demanded that all print media

\begin{itemize}
\item Amnesty International, ‘Islamic Republic of Pakistan: An Amnesty International Report including the findings of a mission to Pakistan 23 April-12 May 1976’, May 1977, MSS.34/4/1/Pk1, MRC, p. 27.
\item ibid.,
\item See, for example, Zamir Niazi, \textit{The Press under Siege} (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 1992).
\item Newspapers in Indian sub-continent are two hundred years old, as the \textit{Bengal Gazette} was launched in 1780 in Calcutta. Afterwards, the \textit{Madras Courier} in 1785, and the \textit{Bombay Herald} in 1789 (the time of the French Revolution) commenced their publications. Laws limiting the freedom of the press soon followed. The Press Act was implemented in 1835, which was later on repealed by the Press and Registration of Books Act 1867. Based on English Law, the Act required the deposit of all published material with the government, though there was no clause that permitted action against already published material. However, the Newspaper Act 1908, and the Press Act 1910 were the first to stop the publication of news stories that reported terrorist and agitation in Bengal. Afterwards, the launching of the Civil Disobedience Movement of 1930-31, the British introduced the \textit{Press (Emergency Powers) Act} of 1931. Under the act, the British government imposed the requirement of a security amount which was to be forfeited under Section 4 of the act; if the newspapers misbehaved. The Press Act of 1931 became the precursor of the Pakistan Press and Publication Ordinance 1960, and later on the West Pakistan Press and Publication Ordinance of
including private and national trust papers had to be scrutinised by someone from the office of the Chairman of the Scrutiny Committee before publication. Papers and journals that published material that was seen to be against the ‘ideology of Pakistan’ were closed down. The objective of imposing censorship was to bring the supposedly “irresponsible journalism” on the “right path”.\textsuperscript{333} PTV broadcasts had to include prayer calls and the wearing of \textit{dupattas} was banned.\textsuperscript{334} Voluptuous pictures/advertisements of women were banned. In response to the journalists’ campaign for a free media, General Zia commented that ‘pre-censorship, self censorship or silent censorship’ was imposed to check irresponsible reporting in news media, while others were free to publish what they liked.\textsuperscript{335} The Federal Union of Journalists of Pakistan (FUJP) played a key role in a continuing protest against the ordinance throughout Zia’s period.\textsuperscript{336} When the press of the PPP paper \textit{Musawat} was closed and confiscated and its editor, deputy editor, and printer, were arrested, the FUJP and All Pakistan Newspaper Employees Union (APNEU) adopted method of nonviolent intervention by calling for a hunger strike on 1 May 1978. Twenty-six journalists\textsuperscript{337} were arrested on the same day under Martial law Regulation No.23 that prohibited strikes and locks-out, and four of them\textsuperscript{338} were

\textsuperscript{1963} The Ordinance was enforced until the time of the Junejo government, which repealed the law and freed up newspaper publication.
\textsuperscript{333} Ibne Adil, \textit{General Zia k dus saal}, p.193.
\textsuperscript{334} Mehtab Rashidi, an employee of the TV, was a conscience objector against the order. Mehtab Akber Rashidi, interview, 16 March 2012, Karachi.
\textsuperscript{335} General Zia-u-Haq, cited in Ibne Adil, \textit{General Zia k dus saal}, p.194.
\textsuperscript{336} Minhaj Barna, Nisar Usmani, Khalid Chaudhry, Khawar Naeem Hashmi Khalid Chaudhry, Interview, 24 February 2012, Lahore. Mushtaq Lasharie, Interview, 12 January 2013, London.
\textsuperscript{337} \textit{Amnesty International}, ‘Amnesty International’s Concern at Large-Scale Arrests of Journalists in Pakistan’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk6, MRC, p.5.
\textsuperscript{338} Nasir Zaidi, Khawar Naeem Hashmi, Masood Ullah Khan, and Iqbal Jaffry. Nasir Zaidi, interview, 30 April 2012, Rawalpindi.
subsequently flogged.\textsuperscript{339} During the next few weeks the regime rounded up seventy-one journalists, and thirty newspapermen.\textsuperscript{340} Amnesty declared four journalists to be a ‘prisoner of conscience’.\textsuperscript{341}

Similarly, employees of PTV, the only TV station in Pakistan that was run by the state, adopted nonviolent direct action by occupying its building, and controlling transmission on 16 February 1978. Shahid Nadeem, one of the protestors, stated that on the call for a strike by PUFJ over the ban on the press, the TV union employees seized control of the TV stations in Lahore, Quetta, Peshawar and Karachi (partially), but not in Islamabad. They asked newscasters to wear black bands. Being the government vehicle of information, the protest had a marked impact at home and abroad. The government machinery was mobilised quickly and forty protestors from Lahore, twenty from Rawalpindi and a few from Karachi were arrested under Martial Law Order 10.\textsuperscript{342} After summary court trials, they were sentenced one-year imprisonment and fifteen lashes. They were incarcerated in the notorious Mianwali Jail, where the British used to jail freedom fighters.\textsuperscript{343} The protest attracted global attention and helped reveal the oppressive face of the Zia regime to the outside world.

Similarly, eight thousand labourers of the Karachi Shipyard called a strike in November 1978. In Multan, many textile workers died when their

\textsuperscript{339} Nasir Zaidi, interview.
\textsuperscript{340} Amnesty International, ‘Amnesty International’s Concern at Large-Scale Arrests of Journalists in Pakistan’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk6, MRC, p.5.
\textsuperscript{342} If anyone occupied defence installation, was charged under MLO no.10. And, the maximum sentence was death sentence. Shahid Nadeem, Interview. Amnesty International, ‘Amnesty International’s Concern at Large-Scale Arrests of Journalists in Pakistan’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk6, MRC, p.12.
\textsuperscript{343} Amnesty International, ‘Some cases of Arrested Journalists in Pakistan adopted by Amnesty International as Prisoner of Conscience’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk6, MRC, p.12.
protest was fired on in January 1978. During the Zia period 22 textile mills were shut down making 100,000 labourers unemployed. The Pakistan International Airline (PIA) labour union under its president Abdul Hasee was in contact with BB through Irshad Rao and Masroor Ahsan, who directly supported the activities of the union. Mushtaq Lasharie, a PIA union member and supporter of PPP’s confidents stated that they started a campaign of ‘go-slow’ that was intended to ground PIA aircraft, including those with high government functionaries on board, and even Zia himself. In April 1981, they burnt the plane that had been made ready to take Zia on a foreign trip. In response, the regime promulgated MLR no.52 and banned unions in PIA, and 1,040 employees were fired from the national airline.

Some supported BBT through conscientious objection. Ghulam Ali Memon, Advocate General of Sindh, and D.M. Awan, Advocate General of Punjab, resigned from their posts in protest on 7 July 1977. From the army, Brigadier Osman Khalid, Colonel Ismail Shamim, and Major Iftikhar, and one Senior Superintendent of Police Kalim Dil Khan resigned from their posts in protest against the death sentence passed on ZAB by the Lahore High Court. Later on, Brigadier Osman and Major Iftikhar tried to launch an armed struggle that fed into the campaign of Al-Zulfikar – which will be discussed in Chapter 3.

While the case against ZAB case was being heard in the Lahore High Court, twenty to thirty women protested in front of the court on his every
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345 Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.
346 Mirza, Aaj Ka Sindh, p.53.
347 Jang, 8 July 1977.
348 Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.
appearance. Women political prisoners chanted slogan in support of Bhutto and against the dictatorship when being tried in court and also in jails so that they could differentiate themselves from criminals. Three hundred and fifty women were imprisoned during the early phase of martial law, the largest such numbers in what became West Pakistan since the time of Gandhi’s historic Salt March of 1930. Now it was the Pakistan army that was punishing such protestors.

While PPP was the only mainstream political party fighting against the dictatorship during this period while the rest enjoyed a cosy relationship with the regime, some small regional groups did join hands in the struggle. Sindhi Awami Tehreek was one of them. The Sindhi Hari Committee had been established on 9 January 1977 in response to the arrest of Rasool Bakhsh Palijo and Fazil Rahoo for championing the grievances of the haris (landless peasants) of the province. The committee had two main demands – the release of these two and genuine land reforms. Its first convention called for genuine land reforms from ZAB’s government.

These landless peasants argued that they were kept as bonded labourers by Sindhi waderas (landlords) on land that their forebears had once held freely. They were treated oppressively and were prevented from speaking up against the landlords. The movement was launched to restore their right to what they claimed as their ancestral land.
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349 For women workers role in Lahore, see, for example, Bukhari, Ye Bazi Ishaq ki Bazi hai.
350 Shahida Jabeen, interview. 26 February 2012. Lahore.
352 Dr Feroz Jeejee, Zarina Baloch, Sher Khan Lund, Rasool Bukhsh, Jabal Detho, Ghaffar Qazi, Ali Sodho, Mohammad Hassan Rustamani, Ayaz Latif Palijo, Ismail Soho and Yousaf Keerano were some key persons among the participants. Interview with Mustafa Talpur, of Sindhi Awami Tehreek and the youngest MRD prisoner, 1 June 2012, Islamabad.
Rasool Bakhsh Palijo of Hyderabad was the chief instigator of the movement.\textsuperscript{353} Although, ZAB was the son of a Sindhi landlord, his policy of land reform had given hope to the movement of landless peasants in the province. Farmers of Naseerabad (Sindh) for example had seized land in Naseer Abad, which was being controlled by the Jamali landlord family. The police/administration could not come to the landlord’s rescue due to ZAB’s unwillingness to intervene. It was known as the Pat Feeder Movement of Naseerabad. However, once General Zia came in, the Jamali family took the land back from the peasants.\textsuperscript{354} Martial law was however imposed before the landless peasants had managed to launch a major movement. They were among the first political groups to condemn martial law. The Sindhi Hari Committee registered as a political party named \textit{Sindhi Awami Tehreek} with the Election Commission of Pakistan to contest the election in October 1977. The party planned to field its candidates mainly near the coastal areas of Sindh.\textsuperscript{355} After the postponement of the election, they joined PPP’s movement against the regime.

SAT played a crucial role in BBT in mobilising the public in favour of democratic rule in the coastal area of Sindh. Belonging to the lowest class, and benefiting from ZAB’s nationalisation of education,\textsuperscript{356} their women and students’ groups organised a systematic campaign. The regime considered SAT to be a major threat. Hundreds of its members – men and women – were arrested for protesting against the sentence of death passed on ZAB on 22

\textsuperscript{353} Rasool Bakhsh Palijo was one of the longest prisoners under Zia regime from October 1979 till April 1985. Amnesty International declared him Prisoner of Conscience. \textit{Amnesty International, ‘Violations of Human Rights in Pakistan, April 1985’}, MSS.34/4/1/Pk31, MRC, pp. 2-3.
\textsuperscript{354} Aslam Khwaja, interview, 13 March 2012, Karachi.
\textsuperscript{355} The areas where they fielded their candidates were Golarchi, Mirpur Bathoro, Tando Mohammad Khan, Mirpur Sakro, Johi, Warah and Thatta. Mustafa Talpur, interview, 1 June 2012, Islamabad.
\textsuperscript{356} Aslam Khwaja, interview,
March 1978. Its founder, Rasool Bakhsh Palijo, remained in prison from 1977 to 1985 with a brief gap of only two months. In solidarity with the protests of PPP workers in Punjab, SAT activists courted arrest on 27 April 1978 in Hyderabad. The police carried out baton charges and rounded up thirty-students. *Sindhi Shagird Tehreek*, later on joined the students’ struggle under the Pakistan Progressive Students’ Alliance. Although SAT later joined MRD at a very late stage, it continued to struggle against the regime throughout the period under investigation.

The first exclusively women’s protest was organised in Hyderabad under the leadership of Zarina Baloch, who was later honoured with the title of *Madre Sindh* (Mother of Sindh). Belonging to the famous bangle-making cottage industry of Hyderabad, these women offered bangles to policemen. In one respect this represented an attempt to shame them, as bangles are considered in South Asia a symbol of femininity. Alternatively, it could be regarded as a plea to the police to show solidarity for motherhood. The *Las Madres de Plaza de Mayo* (Mothers of the Plaza de Mayo) in Argentina made a similar appeal to motherhood in their protest against the military regime, to powerful effect.

The movement saw some new forms of resistance by PPP *jyalas* (partisans). There were cases of self-immolation – something that is regarded as the most extreme form of nonviolent resistance by authorities such as Sharp.
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He examines ways in which self-inflicted suffering can advance a cause – for example hunger strikes and protest fasts.\footnote{Sharp, \textit{The Politics of Nonviolent Action}, pp.357-367.} For Gandhi, self-sacrifice was demanded of a satyagrahi at all levels, and he himself went on several fasts. It is thus seen as being an acceptable part of nonviolence to invite violence on oneself. April Carter has traced the history of such extreme self-suffering in the Koreans Confucian tradition, arguing that this valorised hunger strikes by South Korean against their military rulers in 1986.\footnote{April Carter, \textit{Political Power and Political Change: Key issues and Concepts} (London, New York: Routledge, 2012), p.13.} Tibetan monks have used this method since 2011.\footnote{Mail online \url{http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-2221784/Tibetan-monk-dies-setting-protest-Chinese-rule.html} (15 January 2013).} Nonviolent theorists do not however include suicide bombing in this category, as it causes harm to others in a violent way.

In Pakistan, nine die-hard PPP’s followers committed suicide by burning themselves in various cities of Punjab in protest of the Lahore High Court decision.\footnote{Mian Muhammad Iqbal, interview, 25 January 2013, London. Rashid Nagi and Abdul Waheed Qureshi carried out this act 2 October 1978 in Rawalpindi, and on the same day Yaqoob Pervez Masih and Abdur Rashid Ajiz dod so in Lahore. A newspaper photographer, Aziz Malik, did the same in Rawalpindi, and also Munawar Hussain in Okara, and Abdul Aziz in Sukker. Gordaspuri, \textit{Shaheed Zulfikar Ali Bhutto}, pp. 340-42.} The regime held PPP responsible for such ‘violence’. BB herself stated that self-immolation was not encouraged by the party; she said however that it was a reaction to the ruthless policies of the regime.\footnote{Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p.148.} As it was, evidence suggests that the People’s Action Committee, which worked under Raja Anwer and some other members from Faisalabad, were culpable in this. My interviewees claimed that Anwer and Pervez Rashid\footnote{Both are now in Pakistan Muslim League (N). Anwer was a Chairman of Punjab Education board (2008-13) and joined Al-Zulfiqar and the group that believed in violent methods, whilst Rashid became a Senator (2008-).} encouraged some of the workers to do this, while promising them as soon as they set themselves on
fire, they would be saved by blankets being thrown over them. Unfortunately, they could not save them as the regime got to know their plans and removed the blankets from the scene.  

How did ZAB inspire such devotion? Aslam Khwaja, a Sindhi, told me in an interview that ZAB was revered because he had given a new sense of pride and dignity to many ordinary people. Before he came to power, it was impossible to see a Deputy Commissioner who behaved as a naukarshahi (official-cum-king) but afterwards they could open their office doors with a kick. Likewise, his educational policy was beneficial for laypersons. Aslam Khwaja narrated his own story ‘I was a student of a private school, I used to pay seventeen rupees per month in class 6, but in class seven, I paid only one rupee due to the nationalization of schools. Along with, many new students belonging to lower class of my society entered the school’. ZAB’s industrial and labour policies had a similar levelling effect.

As it was, the bravery of these activists was negated by the PPP leaders who spent their energy in jostling for position within the party. Grouping in the party was quite visible. For example, one landlord was unhappy to sit with another landlord of his province, as was the case with Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, and Mumtaz Bhutto and Abdul Hafeez Pirzada in Sindh. Moreover, there were rivalries over becoming Chair of the party in succession to ZAB. Kausar Niazi, Ex-Federal Minister for Religious affairs, was to the fore in this, and was backed by landlords of the central executive committee. When thwarted in this, he
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369 Aslam Khwaja, interview.
established a new party, the National Progressive Party in 1978, which was the first split in PPP after the coup.\textsuperscript{370} He along with Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi and Abdul Hafeez Pirzada had been in secret contact with the regime.\textsuperscript{371} Chapter 5 analyses this. Some of them\textsuperscript{372} asked the election commission to postpone the election as well.\textsuperscript{373} Gordaspuri, Farkhanda Bukhari, and Asif Butt tried to augment their own power by criticising the leadership of the struggle against General Zia.\textsuperscript{374} In all this, the party was hoist on its own petard.

There were also ideological differences between socialists and the right in the party – and this divide continued throughout the struggle against Zia. The right-wingers, such as the traditional landlords, pirs and industrialists, were generally in the driving seat in the party. There was little room for ordinary jyalas to rise up within the party. The rightists controlled the distribution of party tickets in the election promised for October 1977, but in the end not held.\textsuperscript{375} The only strong socialist voice was of Shiekh Muhammad Rasheed, but he was unable to get much exposure for his views. Moreover, the gradual resignations from PPP of ZAB’s close associates during his period of government, such as J.A.Rahim (first Secretary General of PPP), Dr. Mubashar Hassan (co-founder of PPP), Malik Mairaj Khalid (Law Minister during ZAB’s

\textsuperscript{370} Gordaspuri, \textit{Sheheed Zulfikar Ali Bhutto}, pp. 299-300.


\textsuperscript{372} Ghulam Mustafa Khar, Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, Kausar Niazi, Noor Hayat Noon, and Hamid Raza Gilani. Ghulam Mustaf Khar from the start a special guest at the Army’s detention centre and he later submitted an affidavit against ZAB.

\textsuperscript{373} Arif, \textit{Working with Zia}, p.192.


term), Mairaj Muhammad Khan (Minister for Manpower) meant that the rank- and-file party workers lost many of their socialist leaders. Instead, ZAB was encircled increasingly by traditional feudal lords and the pawns of the establishment such as Nawab Sadiq Hussain Qureishi, Ghulam Mustafa Khar, Jam Sadiq, Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, Mumtaz Bhutto, and General (rtd) Tikka Khan.

On top of all this, Zia hounded and persecuted all prominent leaders of the PPP, jailing them or placing them under house arrest.\footnote{Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p. 7,156.} Nusrat Bhutto and BB were either under house arrest or in jail at this time, and were also banned from entering Karachi and Lahore.\footnote{Ibid., p.5.} This made it hard to coordinate strategy between the central leadership and the party workers.

\textit{Zia's oppression:}

As discussed earlier, the promulgation of various MLOs and MLRs launched a ‘reign of terror’ in Pakistan. Public lashes and public executions were introduced for the first time in Pakistan. There were precedents for this that dated back to British times. The Rowlatt Acts – known as the 'Black Acts' by Indian nationalists had allowed for summary trials of nationalists by secret tribunals. Public whipping and public hangings were already established colonial punishments. The people of Punjab had been traumatised by a spate of public whippings in the aftermath of the Rowlatt Satyagraha, which was in itself a protest against the Rowlatt Acts.\footnote{On the Rowlatt Satyagraha, see Alfred Draper, \textit{Amritsar: The Massacre that Ended the Raj} (London: Cassell 1981).} Zia’s Ordinances and Regulations could almost have been inspired by the methods used by the British. In this way, Zia introduced public hangings, public lashing and the humiliation of alleged
criminals. Zafar Omer in his article 'The Creed of Violence' pointed out how this dehumanised the society, with people becoming callous about the way that people were subjected to judicial violence.\(^{379}\)

Amnesty International reported that 161 people were sentenced to be flogged in May 1978 alone,\(^{380}\) and a further 527 on 9-10 August 1978.\(^{381}\) Likewise, the first public execution was carried out on 2 March 1978 in front of Camp Jail, Lahore.\(^{382}\) Nineteen executions were carried out in August 1978 alone.\(^{383}\) Four hundred criminals were hanged during Zia’s first eighteen months of rule due to the rejection of the culprits’ appeals.\(^{384}\)

While on the one hand the regime was releasing political prisoners who were imprisoned during ZAB’s period under DPRs, on the other PPP followers were being arrested and tortured under MLRs and MLOs. For example, on 1 January 1978, 11,109 prisoners were released under general amnesty;\(^{385}\) whereas over thirty thousand were sent to prison.\(^{386}\) Many of these were tortured in jail. Likewise, the promulgation of a supposedly Islamic law to allow amputation of a hand for robbery led to three people being so sentenced in Karachi on 29 March 1978, though the sentences were never carried out.\(^{387}\)

\(^{381}\) Amnesty International, ‘Floggings in Pakistan under Medical Supervision’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk7, MRC.
\(^{382}\) Amnesty International, ‘Pakistan appeal to ban public flogging, Amnesty international November 1995’, MSS 34/4/1/Pk120, MRC, p. forward.
\(^{383}\) ibid.
\(^{384}\) Daily Telegraph, ‘Zia Bhutto ku Phansi dene ka tahya kie hue hain’ (4 March 1979) in Ahmad Saleem (ed.), Jis Dhaj Se kho Muqtal main gyo, p. 175.
\(^{387}\) Jang, 11 July 1977.
The most contentious punitive measure carried out by the regime was the death sentence awarded to ZAB and four other co-accused, who were members of FSF, on 18 March 1978. The sentence was imposed by the Lahore High Court on a narrow margin of four votes to three. The Supreme Court of Pakistan rejected the appeal on 2 February 1979 and ZAB was executed on 4 April 1979. The eighteenth-month-long case was regarded as a travesty of justice both within and outside of Pakistan, and it was widely regarded as a ‘judicial murder’. A day before the decision of the Supreme Court, the regime arrested five hundred second-tier PPP leaders and jyala on top of the hundreds already in jail. Even under the strictest security measures with double security checks outside the Supreme Court on 6 February, one jyala got inside disguised in a military uniform and shouted ‘if Bhutto is hanged, I would kill all senior military Generals’.

Before executing him, the regime tried to diffuse his popularity within and outside of the country, especially in Arab countries. The junta published a 2771 page-long ‘White Paper’ on 25 July 1978 highlighting the alleged
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388 See, for example, Z.A.Bhutto, Notes from the Death Cell (reproduced, online) www.bhutto.org; Ghulam Akber, He was not hanged (reproduced, online), www.bhutto.org; Sadiq Jaffery, Was Bhutto Killed before hanging (reproduced, online), www.bhutto.org; ‘Trial, and Execution of Bhutto, Full Trial’ (reproduced, online), http://archive.org/stream/TrialAndExecutionOfZulfikarAliBhutto/TheTrialAndExecutionOfBhutto_djvu.txt
389 Talbot, Pakistan: A Modern History, p.258. ‘Judicial murder’ can be defined as an illicit use of the death penalty. The term was first used in 1782 (German Justizmord) by August Ludwig von Schlozer in reference to the execution of Anna Goldi: “the murder of an innocent, deliberately, and with all the pomp of holy Justice, perpetrated by people installed to prevent murder, or, if a murder has occurred, to see to it that it is punished appropriately.” Voltaire in 1777 used the comparable term “judicial assassins” (assassins juridiques). Later, Hermann Moster (1956) extended the term to include miscarriages of justice in which an innocent person suffers the death penalty.
390 NewsWeek, ‘Murda Bhutto Zinda Bhutto ki nisbat kum khatra hain’ (19 February 1979), Saleem (ed), Jis Dhuj se Koi Muqtal se gya’, p.156.
391 Widely reported in national and international newspapers on 7 February 1979.
corruption and rigging of the March 1977 election.\textsuperscript{392} ZAB rejected this.\textsuperscript{393} He tried to get acquiescence from political opponents in Pakistan by appointing two or three from each political party into the Federal Cabinet, which he dismissed on 2 April 1979, and declared that a general election would be held in the following November. The declaration of the election was a tactic to divert the attention of political parties, and their workers including PPP following ZAB’s execution.

ZAB turned down the offer of the regime to send him into exile with the condition that he played no part in Pakistan politics for ten years, and made no appeal for mercy.\textsuperscript{394} Dozens of others did appeal on his behalf, including head of states,\textsuperscript{395} governments,\textsuperscript{396} international human rights organisations and civil society groups,\textsuperscript{397} and Pope John Paul II.\textsuperscript{398} These appeals were all ignored. Many were not even delivered to the President’s secretariat by the foreign office, including the appeal of Shah Khalid of Saudi Arabia, which might have


\textsuperscript{393} For details see, Zulfikar Ali Bhutto, \textit{If I am Assassinated}.

\textsuperscript{394} Aman, and Sadagat, 10 June 1978.

\textsuperscript{395} Moammar Qaddafi of Libya, Yasir Arafat of Palestinian Liberation Army, Shah Hussain of Jordan, Amir of Kuwait, Ayatullah Khomeini of Iran, the Government of China, including Chairman and Deputy Prime Minister of China, Syria.

\textsuperscript{396} Government of Kuwait, Algeria, Iraq, UAE, Morocco, France, West Germany. The head of the Ma’arfi Family of Kuwait, Hussain Ma’arfi, vociferously appealed to the court and the Junta to not execute him, he said ‘let the people decide about the fate of the People’s leader, and not the military regime which does not have the people’s legitimacy. Kuwait Times, ‘Interview with Hussain Ma’arfi’ (7 March 1979) in Ahmad Saleem (ed.), \textit{Jis Dhaj Se koi Muqtal main gya}, p. 175.

\textsuperscript{397} Amnesty International on Humanitarian grounds. Amnesty International, ‘Floggings in Pakistan under Medical Supervision’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk7, MRC. The mercy appeal for ZAB, drafted by Mr. Rice Balm Copper of Queen’s Court and a Turkish lawyer, was presented to the Supreme Court as well. \textit{Far Eastern Economic Review}, ‘Supreme Court k faisle per amal dramad kya jaiga’ (16 March 1979) in Ahmad Saleem (ed.), \textit{Jis Dhaj Se koi Muqtal main gya}, p. 189.

\textsuperscript{398} Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p.6.
been decisive.\textsuperscript{399} Zia was seen by many to be in a close contact with Saudi Arabia and that ‘without the green signal, the regime cannot kill ZAB’.\textsuperscript{400} Crucially, the government of the USA was pointedly silent on the issue. ZAB was not discussed in the meeting between Warren Christopher, Deputy Secretary of State, and General Zia on 1-2 March 1979. Jimmy Carter’s letter to General Zia on 21 March 1979 stated that a meeting between them at the White House would be facilitated if ‘without reference to the outcome of the Bhutto affair...as a first step you suspend the program you are undertaking for uranium enrichment’.\textsuperscript{401} In a telephone call between the two on 3 April 1979 the reversal of Pakistan’s nuclear programme was discussed without any reference to ZAB’s case.\textsuperscript{402} As it was, no country called back their ambassador in protest against the rejection of ZAB’s appeal. My interviewees saw these appeals by foreign governments as routine diplomatic ones, without substance.\textsuperscript{403}

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{400} \textit{Far Eastern Economic Review}, ‘Supreme Court k faisle per amal dramad kya jaiga’ (16 March 1979) in Ahmad Saleem (ed.), \textit{Jis Dhaj Se koi Muqtaal main gya}, p. 189.
\item \textsuperscript{401} Ambassador Pickering, Paul Kreisberg, and Jack Miklos through Mr. Newsom and Mrs Benson to the Secretary, ‘Presidential Letter to President Zia on Nuclear Issues’, (21 March 1979) in Record Group 59, Records of Anthony Lake, box 17, Sensitive 1/1-3/31/79 at \texttt{http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/nukevault/ebb333/doc31.pdf} (21 March 2013).
\item \textsuperscript{402} Qayum Nizami, interview, 28 February 2012, Lahore; US Embassy Islamabad cable 2769 to State Department, ‘Nuclear Aspects of DepSec Visit Discussed with UK and French Ambassadors’, 7 March 1979, Record Group 59, Department of State Records, NARA, Records of Warren Christopher, 1977-80, box 56, Pakistan II at \texttt{<http://www.gwu.edu/~nsarchiv/nukevault/ebb333/doc26a.pdf>} (21 March 2013).
\item \textsuperscript{403} Mushtaq Lasharie interview, Mehdi Hasan interview.
\end{itemize}
None of ZAB’s political opponents condemned the sentence, but rather saw it as being in tune with a ‘system of accountability’.\textsuperscript{404} Nor did they object to the inhuman treatment of the regime of PPP workers and partisans. They, rather, were looking forward to an election with ZAB off the scene.\textsuperscript{405} On 2

\textsuperscript{404} Aijaz Ahmed, ‘The Rebellion of 1983’, p.34.
\textsuperscript{405} News In Brief, \textit{Viewpoint}, 1 April 1979, IV(34), p. 16.
April, Maulana Mufti even said that PNA ministers should now resign as their objective had been achieved.\textsuperscript{406}

\begin{figure}
\centering
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\caption{General Zia-ul-Haq, dancing in real after executing ZAB. Courtesy, Shahid Sheikh Lahore}
\end{figure}

Conclusion

The campaign to save Bhutto failed to generate the necessary momentum that might have saved him from execution. ZAB’s overbearing style of leadership and confrontational methods had alienated many in Pakistan, and his leftist rhetoric and policies had alarmed powerful landlords, businessmen and religious leaders. Because of this, the political opposition to Zia was not united. ZAB’s removal had left a leadership vacuum that his wife and daughter were unable to fill adequately at that juncture. They failed to win any significant allies in the armed forces who could bring pressure to bear on Zia. They failed to generate, also, any strong diplomatic pressure on Zia on the issue from the USA and its western allies. The Zia regime was therefore able to hang ZAB without serious repercussions at that juncture. They failed, however, to bury important aspects of ZAB’s legacy. The PPP and its jyala now struggled in the name of ‘Bhuttoism’. Nonetheless, with ZAB’s death, socialism in Pakistan had had its brief day. Only left-wingers had fought to save ZAB. The opposition that subsequently emerged in the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy (MRD) included right-wingers who were once part of PNA.
Ch. 2. Formative Phase of Collective Action 1979-81:
Borrowing from the writings of La Boétie, Machiavelli, and John Austin, Sharp underscored the importance of removing the pillars of ‘obedience, cooperation and submission’ to a regime in civil resistance movements.\(^{407}\) Likewise, Ackerman and Kruegler argued that building alliances within and outside of a country against a military dictatorship, and alienating the opponents vis-à-vis an autocratic regime from its strong pillars of support – such as police, army, and political allies – by civil resisters are necessary to achieve the return of democracy in a country.\(^{408}\) In case of Pakistan between 1979 and 1981, PPP struggled to achieve these goals. It rallied around the memory of its dead leader ZAB under a rubric that could inspire its supporters and activists, that of ‘Bhuttoism’.\(^{409}\)

Re-organisation of PPP:
Instead of moving abroad or adopting a quietist attitude, Nusrat and Benazir Bhutto vowed to continue their struggle after the execution of ZAB. Nusrat Bhutto asserted very clearly ‘I’ll fight until the last drop of my blood’.\(^{410}\) This was a brave move, given that the movement was in disarray after the death of ZAB. He had been the *Quaid-i-Awam* (People’s leader) and *Fakhr-i-Asia* (Pride of Asia) in the eyes of the poor and disadvantaged people of Pakistan whom he had projected himself as standing up for when he was in office. He had restored the morale of the war-defeated Pakistani nation during his seven years in

\(^{407}\) Sharp, *The Politics of Nonviolent Action*, p.34.
\(^{408}\) Ackerman and Kruegler, *Strategic Nonviolent Conflict*, pp.32-33 and 40-42.
\(^{409}\) Talbot, *Pakistan: A Modern History*, p.216.
power. After he was executed, the situation of his heirs – Nusrat and Benazir Bhutto – was comparable to that of ZAB after the debacle of East Pakistan. The PPP’s followers were in a big shock, just as West Pakistanis had been in December 1971. In the first meeting held after ZAB’s execution on 25-27 May under the chairmanship of Farooq Leghari, Acting Secretary General of PPP, party workers denounced the Central Executive Committee (CEC) for failing to save their leader and demanded their resignation. As it was, only one, Malik Saeed Hassan, resigned from the committee. The meeting also elected Nusrat Bhutto as the chairperson of the party. The left-wing of the party led by Sheikh Rasheed (vice-Chairman), and Ghulam Hussain blamed the moderate leaders, many of whom were big landlords such as Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi and Farooq Leghari, for being culpable in ZAB’s death. The moderates had been uncomfortable with Nusrat Bhutto’s refusal to bargain with the regime to diffuse its hostility, believing that ZAB could be saved through compromises, whilst the radicals had wanted to undermine the regime through confrontation and even violence. Zia had also given an appearance of wanting to reach out to the moderates so as to undermine the resistance of the PPP in general.

---

Bhutto defuse the situation by leaving the country after handing over power in the party to them.418

The radical-moderate divide was not the only one in the PPP. There was internal strife between the big landlords who held important positions in the party. Ghulam Mustafa Khar and Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, two big landlords from Punjab and Sindh respectively, were in conflict with Mumtaz Bhutto and Farooq Leghari, two landlords of Sindh and Punjab respectively.

Despite such serious internal conflicts, Nusrat Bhutto and BB successfully manoeuvred to establish a broad based alliance that bore fruit eventually in the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy (MRD), which will be discussed in later chapters. The two leaders were faced initially with leading a fractious and disunited opposition that was faced with a highly disciplined opponent, the army under General Zia. They had to build up a movement with comparable levels of organisation and unity. As Khan Abdul Ghaffar Khan, famously known Bacha Khan, had always emphasised, effective nonviolent resistance requires alliances, unity, and discipline to defeat the opponent, just as an army does. His own Khudai Khidmatgar (Servants of God) were in this vein in their struggle against the British and their local allies in the North West Frontier Province from the 1920s onwards. They wore a uniform of red shirts, took oaths of loyalty to the cause, and divided the force into various battalions to keep discipline and unity under one command.419 Although such para-

418 ibid., p.1.
military organisation fell out of favour amongst progressive nonviolent political activists after World War Two due to its resonances with fascism, the underlying principle was still good – namely that an ill-disciplined and disunited movement has little chance of success against a highly-discipline ruling power.

Building such an oppositional force was a daunting task in the case of the PPP, as it was so riven by internal groups and factions. Neither Nusrat Bhutto nor BB ever really managed to achieve this throughout the period covered in this dissertation. Nonetheless, they managed to restore party morale in the three years following ZAB’s death and rebuild the support among the general public that had been lost during the PNA movement, all of which was a major attainment in itself.

Nusrat Bhutto was expected to observe *iddat* – the Islamic requirement of a widow to keep herself away from male strangers and going out for four months and ten days after her husband’s death. As it was, she was under house arrest until 28 May. During this period, BB also spent two hours each day meeting the mourners who came to their house in Karachi. This built her confidence. Though she consulted her mother on each matter, she took administrative decisions on reorganising the party. For example, she decided that the CEC should meet monthly and at every provincial capital in turns. The first meeting was held in the Bhutto residence at Clifton in Karachi on 19-20 May, which allowed Nusrat Bhutto to attend without violating house arrest. Paying homage to her husband at the first CEC meeting, she declared: ‘He lived and died true to his principles. He showed how a son of the Indus, a leader of the
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420 *Viewpoint*, 3 June 1979, p.11.
people, a symbol of liberation, an embodiment of emancipation, a soldier of Islam – lives like a mujahid, and dies a martyr’. She reiterated her passion to carry out his mission.

Several important decisions were taken at this meeting. Provincial legal aid committees were established to look after the cases of political prisoners and help the families of the imprisoned workers to fight for their release. A drive was launched to recruit more party members at all levels, and it was ordered that weekly meetings should be held at grass-roots level to mobilise the workers. Likewise, the meeting criticised the regime’s economic policies as well as the budget which raised the prices of the basic commodities. Due to Zia’s promise to hold elections before the end of the year, the environment was somehow conducive for holding corner meetings. Utilising the tradition of religious meetings in the form of *Iftar* (Muslim fast-breaking time) during *Ramadan* (9th Month of Islamic calendar), BB advised the local leaders across the country to hold *iftaris* to spread their message to the general public. Taseer stated that this was even converted into a business opportunity, as vendors sold Eid (Islamic festival at the end of *Ramadan*) cards with the images of ZAB and BB. And the most expensive card was thirty-five to eight rupees (US$4 to $1) per card.

ZAB was buried in the family graveyard at Garhi Khuda Bakhsh, and this became a place of pilgrimage for his admirers. Soon after his death, BB herself went by rail from Karachi to the grave. Besides being an act of personal

---

423 *Viewpoint*, 3 June 1979, p.11.
mourning, this also helped to raised public sympathy. Honouring a dead person in an ostentatious and public way is a form of protest often employed in campaign of civil resistance, as in Argentina in 1943 and South Africa in 1960 after the Sharpeville massacre, with people gathering at the gravesides of their loved ones in an act of protest against their killings by, respectively, Perón and the apartheid regime.\textsuperscript{426} Visiting burial places in big groups on the death anniversary of a popular leader is another way of registering dissent in a public but peaceful manner. When BB travelled by train to her father’s grave in 1979, the public came to the stations at the four stops on the way to Larkhana and shouted ‘Revenge! Revenge!’\textsuperscript{427} She reiterated at each station: ‘Now we have all been orphaned. Our father has left us. All of you are my brothers. Until yesterday it was my father who guided me; now you will guide me.’\textsuperscript{428} Despite attempts by the police to stop them, over a hundred thousand mourners turned up on the \textit{Chehlum} (fortieth mourning day service).\textsuperscript{429} The mobilisation of such large crowds expressing such devotion to their lost leader was a set-back for Zia, showing that ZAB’s execution – rather than demoralise the movement – had backfired. His loss of support was revealed in the local body elections held in September 1979, which will be discussed below.

Once her house arrest was lifted, Nusrat Bhutto ended her seclusion, though the conventional period of mourning had not yet ended. Starting in June 1979, she held public meetings at which she declared that she would never surrender and that it was only through ‘people’s struggle, sacrifices and

\textsuperscript{427} Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p. 25; Bukhari, \textit{ye bazi ishq ki bazi hai}, p.76.
\textsuperscript{428} \textit{Viewpoint}, 3 June 1979, p.11.
endeavour’ that ‘positive and people’s revolution’ could be achieved.\textsuperscript{430} ZAB’s rule had raised people’s consciousness as to their rights, and she reminded them of this so as to recharge their resolution in the fight against the brutal regime of Zia. JI’s leader publicly admitted that the party had been revived by Nusrat Bhutto’s strong intervention at this juncture.\textsuperscript{431}

This all demonstrated that despite the death of their founder, PPP was still the leading popular force in the country, and that the nation had become polarised into ‘murderers’ and ‘mourners’. The PPP leader Qayumi Nizami divided Pakistani society at this time into those who were progressive and with the PPP, whom he called ‘\textit{awam dost}’ – meaning friend of the common people – and \textit{janta dost} – the friend of the regime.\textsuperscript{432} This divide stretched into every sphere of life including within civil society, in the media, and among students and labourers. For example, daily newspapers such as \textit{Aman} (Urdu-Karachi), \textit{Sadaqat} (Urdu-Karachi), \textit{Masawat} (Urdu-Lahore and Karachi), \textit{The Muslim} (English-Islamabad), and weekly magazines such as \textit{Al-Fatah} (Urdu-Karachi), \textit{Me’yar} (Urdu-Karachi), and \textit{Viewpoint} (English-Lahore) were mainly favourable towards the PPP movement or at least found some way to report their activities despite the strict censorship of the Press. The dailies \textit{Dawn} (English-Karachi), \textit{Pakistan Times} (English-Lahore), \textit{Nawa-i-Waqt} (Urdu-Lahore), and the weeklies such as \textit{Zindagi}, and \textit{Urdu digest} were on the other hand die-hard supporters of the regime. The government sought to control the media through its National Press Trust. Mehdi Hasan remarked on the daily \textit{Jang} that in conforming to the

\textsuperscript{430} \textit{Viewpoint}, 10 June 1979, p. 11.
\textsuperscript{431} Prof. Ghafoor Ahmed, cited in \textit{Viewpoint}, IV (51), 29 July 1979, p.5.
\textsuperscript{432} Qayyum Nizami, \textit{Musawat}, 15 August 1979.
official diktat ‘their policy is there is no policy’. Likewise, student bodies were classed as either liberal-progressive, such as People’s Student Federation (PPP), National Student Federation (NSF), and Democratic Student Alliance (DSA), or pro-regime, such as IJT (Islami Jamiat Tulba, JI’s student body). In a similar manner, trades unions were split along such lines, with some being in the progressive camp, such as All Pakistan Labour Representative Organisation, others in the regime camp, such as the National Labour Federation (NLF) of Pakistan. Lawyers mainly supported the progressive groups, and hardly any case was reported of a group of lawyers not supporting the anti-Zia struggle. The main reason for this appears to have been that they had a strong commitment to the rule of law, and resented the many ways in which Zia was violating the Constitution of 1973.

It is crucial for organisations engaged in nonviolent struggle to have a strong operational corps that connects the leaders with their rank-and-file supporters and which leads and guides according to an overall strategy. They are responsible for disseminating proper and accurate objectives amongst the masses, and for instructing theme in nonviolent techniques and maintaining nonviolent discipline. For example, the successes of maintaining nonviolence amongst the public during Gandhi’s Salt Satyagraha and in the Danish resistance against Nazi occupation were because of strong operational corps working actively throughout the movement. The violence that erupted during the 1983 MRD civil disobedience campaign in Sindh, which will be discussed in Chapter 3, was mainly due to the disruption of the operational corps.
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433 Mehdi Hasan, interview; Aslam Khwaja, interview;  
434 Ackerman and Kreugler, Strategic Nonviolent Conflict, pp.27-28, 38-39 and 42.  
435 Ibid., pp.157-211; 213-248;
Understanding this need, BB held personal meetings, speeches, and conferences to develop a strong operational corps. She also directed provincial heads to meet regularly with union leaders and gain their support, as unions were generally relatively disciplined and well-ordered organisations. Pro-PPP unions won the unions election during the 1979-81 period and also fought courageously during MRD. For example, progressive alliance and liberal front candidate Rafique Patel defeated IJT’s candidate in Karachi University; and Quaid-i-Azam Student Federation, a progressive parties’ alliance, won in the Lahore educational institutions. Likewise, the pro-Jama’at union in Karachi Steel Mill lost the referendum on 7 July 1979 against the progressive labour alliance.

Figure 3 BB receiving and meeting with PPP’s workers after the death of ZAB in June 1979. Courtesy SHahid Sheikh

437 Musawat, 26 July 1979.  
439 Viewpoint, IV (49), 15 July 1979, p.16.
BB also tried to reach out to the army by stating categorically that ‘the Generals [Pakistan Army high command] should not live in fear, their names won’t be on the death warrant of ZAB’. However, she added, if they did not return power to people, Pakistan would be further divided. She said this in an attempt to assure the Generals that she and her party did not want revenge against the army as a whole, and that they had a mutual interest in the welfare of Pakistan.

Zia allowed elections to local bodies to be held in 1979 on a non-party basis. PPP did not nominate candidates for the election but rather encouraged sympathetic people to stand as candidates under a broad Awam Dost rubric. The pro-Zia candidates identified themselves by contrast as Islam Pasand (devotees of Islam). This group had strong links with Jama’at-i-Islami. Zia himself openly favoured those in the Islam Parsand camp in a campaign that he conducted in person all over the country voicing the slogan of ‘Yeh Mumlakate Khudad Hey – Roti, Kapra Makan mumlakat Nahi hai’ (This is Allah’s land, not the land of bread, cloth, and a house). Zia’s partisan campaign was an open denial of his own Political Parties Act (Amendments-PPA) 1979, passed before the holding of the non-party based elections. The results went strongly in favour of Awam Dost and a humiliation to the regime. The election did not pass
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442 Qayyum Nizami, interview.
444 It was the amendment of the Political Party Act 1962. According to the amended act, the official existence of political parties was made conditional to hold intra-party election, submission of financial audit reports, and the new registration with the election commission. Receiving financial aid from abroad, refusal to the Pakistan ideology, speeches against the judiciary, army and the sovereignty of the country were set the causes of the refusal of the registration. The government had to lenient on it after the strong opposition from all the parties. And refined it on 7 October 1979.
off without violence in some places. 18 were reported killed and hundreds injured in different places in Punjab, Sindh and KPK.\footnote{Viewpoint, V (8), 30 September 1979, p.14.}

The Awam Dost panel won more than eighty percent seats unopposed in Sindh. More than 1000 were returned unopposed from six districts of Sindh.\footnote{Viewpoint, V (7), 23 September 1979, p.13.} Having turnout of sixty-five per cent, the panel won 60-70 per cent of the seats in Lahore.\footnote{Loc. cit.}

Following Zia’s threats during the election campaign of not handing over the government to the elected representatives if he felt ‘they are not capable of maintaining national integrity’,\footnote{Zia-ul-Haq, 26 September 1979, Quetta.} the regime stopped the sessions of local government bodies and did not devolve political powers at the local levels. For example, Justice Aamer Raza Khan, Lahore High Court Bench directed the Punjab Local Bodies Election authority to withhold the result of Sahiwal until it was decided whether ‘Awam Dost’ came under the non-party based election’s rules or not. The fact that the pro-regime elements had used the nomenclature ‘Islam Pasand’ and declared a common loyalty to the post-Quaid concept of Pakistani ideology, was ignored.\footnote{Viewpoint, V (8), 7 October 1979, p.5.} Likewise, the Governors of Sindh and Baluchistan barred the newly elected councillors from taking part in politics, which seemed oxymoronic.\footnote{Viewpoint, V (20), 23 December 1979, p.15.} The governor of Punjab, going one step further, told the elected representatives that they had ‘to justify people’s confidence’, immediately after they had done exactly that in an election!\footnote{Lt. General Sawar Khan, Governor Punjab, 28 October 1979, cited in Viewpoint, V (13), 4 November 1979, p.16.}
Despite being in a minority and using malicious tactics, candidates of JI were made Mayors of Karachi\textsuperscript{452} and Lahore.\textsuperscript{453}

Had \textit{Awam Dost} not contested, the regime would have been able to demonstrate a cheerful picture of ‘democracy’ to observers at national and international level and claim that the public supported it.\textsuperscript{454} Cohen felt that Zia was trying to exhibit a good picture to America whilst having little change on the ground.\textsuperscript{455} Despite the regime’s using every means open to them to stop those aligned with \textit{Awam Dost} in taking their deserved positions after the elections, their victory had brought great confidence to the anti-Zia movement in the next phase.

\textbf{The Regime’s ‘Islamic’ Repression:}

In an interview with \textit{The Economist}, General Zia explained the reason for his ‘Islamisation’ programme: ‘Pakistan is, like Israel, an ideological state. Take out the Judaism from Israel and it will fall like a house of cards. Take Islam out of Pakistan and make it a secular state; it would collapse’.\textsuperscript{456} A new slogan was propagated of ‘enforcement of Islam first and democracy’ to supplement Zia’s

\textsuperscript{452} In spite of the anti-Zia groups having an eighty percent majority, Abdul Sattar Afghani, leader of Akhwat group, and previous National Assembly candidate of defunct Jama’at-i-Islami, was declared Mayor of Karachi on ‘technical grounds’; while, Abdul Khaliq Allahwala, leader of United group, and previously attached with PPP, was declared defeated, which was later challenged in Sindh High Court by the candidate. After receiving eighty votes each in the first count, the latter lost due to the rejection of three votes in the second counting. \textit{Viewpoint}, V (15), 18 November 1979, p.12.

\textsuperscript{453} For the election of Lahore Mayorship and Deputy Mayorship, Awam Dost councillors had a majority. However, out of 110 councillors, eleven were decaled ineligible to vote due to the cases pending against them. Among the rest, eight \textit{Awam Dost} councillors were told that the Divisional Commissioner had suspended their right of vote temporarily. Furthermore, the candidate for the election, Abdul Rafi Sheikh, was declared ineligible under court orders. Eventually, the buddy to the authorities, Mian Shuja ur Rehman, became Mayor after receiving twenty eight votes out of the fifty-three councillors participating. The Awam Dost group chose its councillor in a separate meeting in protest. \textit{Viewpoint}, V (20), 23 December 1979, p.13.

\textsuperscript{454} Ghafoor Ahmed, \textit{General Zia K Akhri Dus Saal}, p.164.

\textsuperscript{455} Stephen P. Cohen, interview.

Much has been written about the way that Zia tried to create a strong national identity through Islamisation and the repercussions of this on Pakistani society. Opinion is divided on this, with people like Ghafoor Ahmed claiming that Zia was too lenient in his Islamisation, while others depict it as being used cynically by Zia to perpetuate his rule. He started introducing Islamic principles, as he understood them, into every key institution of the country between 1979 and 1981. He implemented *Zakat* and *Uskr* in 1979, the Hudood Ordinances in 1979, the Law of Evidence in 1983, the Ramadan Ordinance in 1979, Salat (prayer) and *Zakat* committees at the grass roots level in 1979; and restructured the Council of Islamic Ideology. *Sharia* courts (1979) were established parallel to those that tried cases under the secular law, which was based on the British Penal Codes. Islamic punishment such as amputation and lashes were introduced. His
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459 Islamic annual tax on agricultural income as well as on savings.

460 While the system of law inherited from the British was mute on the numbers of witnesses required for any case, the Law of Evidence 1983 discriminated against women. Clause 18 stated: ‘…particulars and the number of witnesses shall in accordance with the injunction of Holy Quran and Sunnah such as (A) in Hadood, according to Hadood Ordinance; (B) in Qisas according to the Qisas and Diyat Ordinance; (C) in other matters two men or one man and two women’. Shireen Mazari, ‘Islamization’ and The Status of Women in Pakistan: A Note’, *South Asia Bulletin*, 3(1), (Spring 1983), p.79.

461 Inherited from the British Legal system 1872, it was mute on the numbers of witnesses required for any case; the law of Evidence 1983 brought slightly changing in the law and implemented it. The clause 18 was made discriminatory towards women and put them into half valued citizen of the country. The clause says “…particulars and the number of witnesses shall in accordance with the injunction of Holy Quran and Sunnah such as (A) in Hadood, according to Hadood Ordinance; (B) in Qisas according to the Qisas and Diyat Ordinance; (C) in other matters two men or one man and two women. Shireen Mazari, ‘Islamization’ And The Status of Women in Pakistan: A Note’, *South Asia Bulletin*, 3(1), (Spring 1983), p.79.

462 That ordinance prohibited eating, drinking and smoking at public places during the timing of fasts (from dawn till dusk) in the month of Ramadan, the Muslim fasting month.

463 The council was assigned to advise the regime for implementing Islamic principles in the country.
every step and ordinance was given the tag of ‘Islamic’. As it was, most of the
types of judicial and extra-judicial punishments inflicted in Pakistan under Zia
were a continuation of longstanding ones dating back to colonial times and
earlier. Zia replaced the parliamentary cabinet with an advisory council that
was selected after a search for fifty saleheen (the most pious) to be its
members.\footnote{Viewpoint, V (14), 11 November 1979, p.12.} Later, in 1981, he increased the number of these saleheen to 350.
Likewise, he sought to revamp the education system according to ‘Islamic’
teachings.\footnote{Haqqani, Pakistan, p.224. Ravia Kalia, Pakistan: From the Rhetoric of Democracy to the Rise of Militancy (New Delhi: Routledge, 2011), p.11,} Religious preachers were invited to the military training
centres.\footnote{Cohen, The idea of Pakistan, p.84.} However, neither he nor his cronies tagged the repression of the
people under his rule as ‘Islamic’, even though it was a complete negation of the
Quranic injunction that the slaying one person, unless in retaliation for murder,
is the slayer of all mankind.\footnote{The verse in Quran has been reiteration of the teaching in Judaism. Al-Quran 5:32.}

The incorporation of Sharia’ into the legal system of Pakistan by Zia and
his allies caused legal chaos in the country. There was already a plural legal
system, with the co-existence of the secular law inherited from the British,
military law, special tribunals, and jirga (the community councils of KPK and
FATA). Into this mix, Sharia’ law was introduced suddenly with no proper
preparation or training of judges. Instead of providing solace to the public, it
rather made them more vulnerable. The Sharia’ courts began to be used
frequently for political and personal vendettas. They could also be used to
undermine the legal rights of the poor. For example, the protection provided to
tenants in ZAB’s land reform law, clause D of sub-para III of para 25 of MLR 115
of 1972, was ruled by the Peshawar Sharia’t Bench in July 1979 to be incongruous to Sharia'.

The Shia community is the second largest sectarian community group after the Sunni in Pakistan. The Shiites saw the Sharia law as an imposition on them by Sunnis, especially the deduction of a compulsory 2.5 per cent Zakat on every first of Ramadan from all savings banks accounts. They argued that the concept of Zakat in Islam is a voluntary one. In response, they launched a movement, Tehreek-i-Nifaz-i-Jafria (TNFJ-Movement for the Implementation of Shia Law) for the imposition of Fiqh-i-Ja’afaria (Shiites law) alongside the Sunni Sharia.

To register their protest after talks with the government had failed, two Shiites members of Zia’s Islamic Ideology Council — Syed Muhammad Razi and Mufti Ja’afar Hossein — resigned and the following day observed Protest Day on Friday 4 May 1979. Zia met with a Shia delegation assuring them that their beliefs would be respected and they would not be forced to accept any law that was against their faith. However, without concrete concessions, the Shias were not satisfied, and they reiterated their demands and continued to boycott the Islamic Advisory Council. Despite the opposition of Sunni clerics led by Mufti Mahmood in favour of a uniform Sunni law for all, the Fiqh Jafarai leaders stayed firm.
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468 MLR 115 grants the tenant the first right to pre-empt on the sale of land.
469 Viewpoint, IV (49), 15 July 1979, p.7.
470 Talbot, Pakistan: A New History, p.128.
471 Ibid.
472 Zia met with the delegates on 5 May, quoted in Viewpoint, 13 May 1979, p. 16.
473 Ibid.
474 Viewpoint, 10 June 1979, p.16.
475 Viewpoint, 17 June 1979, p. 16.
Seeing how reluctant the Zia regime was to back down on this issue, the Supreme Council of the Movement for the Implementation of *Fiqh-i-Ja’fria* under Mufti Jafar Hussain, called for direct action after the announcement of the national budget for 1979-80. On the call of the Mufti on 23 June 1979 for all Shias to withdraw their money from banks before 30 June, ten million rupees ($US0.1 million) was taken out of banks in Sialkot district within two days of the call, and forty million rupees (US$0.4 million) from Gujrat districts alone. These two districts in northern Punjab are particularly important centres of industry. *Musawat* reported that a total sum of 250 million rupees (US$2.5 million) had been withdrawn from all over the country during these few days. The members of the Standing Committee of Implementation of *Sharia* were asked to resign from their positions. Political parties, in particular TI, came forward to support these demands. Following a popular strategy in nonviolent resistance, they staged a two-day sit-in in front of Parliament in which tens of thousands of protestors participated. Eventually, Zia declared that Zakat law would be implemented only after an accord had been reached with the Shias. In five months, the Shia community achieved their objective through united and disciplined action by their rank-and-file. Unfortunately, the rivalry between Sunnis and Shias that gained such traction at that time stoked a
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476 *Viewpoint*, IV (47), 1 July 1979, p.10; *Viewpoint*, IV (51), 29 July 1979, p.15.
480 *Viewpoint*, V (15), 18 November 1979, p.16.
sectarian-based politics in the country that has remained a major problem in Pakistan to this day.\textsuperscript{481}

All this was not well received by some important public figures, such as the Governor of Punjab who determined that it demonstrated need for a quick return to democracy.\textsuperscript{482} Elements in the military were also alarmed by the implications of Zia’s extremism in this respect, and a few military officers under Major Tajammul unsuccessfully plotted against him in the early 1980. Instead of bringing stability to the political life of the country, the ‘Islamic’ ordinances and PCO-II thus backfired.

\textbf{Trade Union Militancy:}

Due to denationalisation and price-hikes in the country many labour unions were on strike between 1979 and 1983. For example, the All-Pakistan Banks Officers Federation’s one-hour pen-down strike started in April 1979 and continued for ninety-one days. Employees of the National Bank of Pakistan, United Bank Limited, Habib Bank Limited, Muslim Commercial Bank, and Allied Bank Limited were involved in this.\textsuperscript{483} Inventing a new form of protest, these officers started selling ‘protest pakoras’ (traditional snack, made of gram flour which is mainly sold by impoverished hawkers). These officers remained peaceful and continued to deal with the public.\textsuperscript{484} There were also strikes by the All Pakistan Postal Employees Union (ten days),\textsuperscript{485} the Pakistan Nurses

\textsuperscript{483} \textit{Viewpoint}, 6 May 1979, p.13.
\textsuperscript{484} \textit{Viewpoint}, 6 May 1979, p.12.
\textsuperscript{485} \textit{Viewpoint}, 10 June 1979, p.14.
Organisation joined by doctors and surgeons (18 days), Anjuman-i-Mulazimeen-i-Baldia (Organisation of Town Council Employees) in Punjab, including small units of unions such as rickshaw drivers (Karachi) and Sahiwal’s women sweepers (Punjab), and the Fruit and Vegetables Associations (Punjab). These strikes were mainly due to the price-hikes, with demands for increases in pay and improved conditions.

The most powerful of all of the strikes was by the Karachi shipyard workers led by their recognised union, the CBA, in late 1978 demanding pay rises and better allowances. The dispute was resolved initially when the labour department intervened in favour of the workers. The agreement was however later reneged on by the employers, leading to a resumption of the strike on 30 May 1979. On 18 June, six thousand workers were suddenly fired by the Labour Court and CBA was banned for its support of the earlier strike, which was held to be illegal. The workers staged a protest demonstration that was attacked violently by the police, with Kaniz Fatima, President of Pakistan Trade Union Federation, being arrested. This all shocked workers across the country as well as their sympathisers, and labour unions as far away as KPK protested in sympathy, and PPP expressed its support. The shipyard workers and the federation extended their demands to the release of Fatima and her colleagues, the reinstatement of the sacked workers, and a change of management. One
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486 Musawat, issues of August 1979; Viewpoint, August 1979
488 Viewpoint, August 1979.
490 The Sarhad chapter of the Federation, Peshawar Jan’s Hotel and Restaurant Employees Union, Dean’s Hotel Labour Union, PIA Employees Union, Mission Hospital Workers Union and Hotel Khyber Inter-Continental Employees Union sent letters to CMLA demanding their reinstatement. Viewpoint, IV (48), 8 July 1979, pp.14 and 16.
thousand blackleg workers were hired on contracts of Rs.20 (US$2.00) per day to break the strike. The authorities closed their eyes to this. Many workers were forced to resume their jobs due to financial hardship, though 3,500 workers continued the boycott.\textsuperscript{491} This involved a long and tiring \textit{satyagraha} that lasted seventy-five days until finally the concerned authorities agreed to negotiate. Three leaders – Bashir Ahmad, the Central General Secretary PFUT, Anis Hashmi, Member Central Committee, and Salim Raza, General Secretary Karachi – were appointed to talk with the Federal Minister for Labour, General Faiz Ali Chishti, the Sindh Provincial Government and the shipyard management. The negotiations went in favour of the \textit{satyagrahis}, with the authorities issuing a public notice on 7 August accepting the ‘unconditional reinstatement of the labour without any victimisation, advance payment to release their economic hardship, as well as the liberation of the detained labour leaders’.\textsuperscript{492} The union and the general body of workers unanimously agreed to call off the strike on 12 August.

This action achieved its objectives due to it having a simple and clear set of objectives along with unity in the rank-and-file. The anti-Zia political leaders might have learnt lessons from this on how to organise an effective and compelling protest.

\textsuperscript{491} \textit{Viewpoint}, IV (52), 5 August 1979, p.28.
\textsuperscript{492} Shaukat Hyat, Organising Secretary of Pakistan Trade Union Federation, and Karachi Shipyard Workmen Union in a Letter to the Editor of Viewpoint, cited in \textit{Viewpoint}, V(5), 9 September 1979, p. 13-14. It is interesting here that a few media agencies described the strikers as \textit{satyagrahis} – using a term associated with Gandhi.
‘Real Martial Law’:

The debacle inflicted on the Zia regime in the local body elections of 1979 led him reconsider his promise to hold a non-party based general election later in that year. The PPP CEC had decided to support people standing under the *Awam Dost* rubric. Following the advice of five leaders of the PNA, Mufti Mahmood, Nawabzada Nasrullah, Pir Pagara, Sardar Abdul Qayyum, and Sardar Sikander Hyat that western democracy was hostile to Islamic teaching, Zia announced that he was cancelling the general election on the grounds that there was no mention of such elections in the Quran. Instead he imposed a new phase of martial law, Provisional Constitutional Order-II under MLO no. 48, on 16 October 1979, declaring it the ‘real martial law’. At the same time, he made it ‘quite clear’ that his ‘is not a perpetual Martial law regime’ either. The MLO deprived political leaders and the public of any political platform, and imposed fourteen years rigorous imprisonment, confiscation of his/her property and twenty-five lashes to those who violated it. On 22 October, military courts were given more power to try both civil and criminal cases without interference from any civil court under MLO 72. 100 military courts, including mobile courts, were established as well. Giving the logic of the imposition of PPA 1979, Zia said that holding free and fair elections were a

---

threat to the ‘integrity, sovereignty, and Islamisation of Pakistan’. Referring to the victors in the local polls, he said that the voters ‘knew nothing beyond the four walls’, and were illiterate and uneducated as they had shown when they had elected ‘impious persons’. Without ‘positive results’, the country did not deserve to have elections.

Likewise, MLO No. 49 made the editors of print media liable to severe punishment of ten lashes and twenty-five years of rigorous imprisonment if they published any material or opinion prejudicial to Islamic ideology or sovereignty, the integrity and security of Pakistan, or morality and the maintenance of public order. Not only writing, but ‘caricatures, cartoons, sketches, symbols’ were put under restriction also. Four-member committee were set up in every division headquarter to scrutinise print material twice before its final publication. They began work immediately on the night of 16 October, issuing letters of warning, notices, and closure orders on some publications, such as the PPP paper Musawaat, Sadaqat, and Newsweek. A number of editors such as Abbas Athar, President of Lahore Press Club, Salamat Ali of Far Eastern Economic Review, the editor of Sadaqat, and many other journalists were arrested.

A government spokesperson told the BBC that 300 leaders and political workers had been imprisoned or put under house arrest in the three week after the promulgation of ‘real martial law’, including BB, Nusrat Bhutto, Farooq Leghari, General (rtd) Tikka Khan, Sh. Rafiq Ahmed, Asghar Khan. Unofficial

501 Viewpoint, V (6), 16 September 1979, p.16.
502 Jang, 17 October 1979; Viewpoint, V (11), 21 October 1979, p.5.
503 Loc. Cit.
reports spoke however of several thousand political prisoners. The reports spoke however of several thousand political prisoners. Amnesty International (AI) declared that two-hundred persons were flogged publicly in a two-week period at the start of this phase of martial law. The district magistrate Lahore declared that ‘shouting of slogans, making demonstrations, carrying placards’ were also prohibited in the city, and those found with lathis (wooden sticks), daggers, and loudspeakers would considered as being in possession of ‘lethal weapons’ that might be used against the regime.

**Building an Anti-regime Political Alliance**

Bringing the various political parties under one banner was not a new experiment in the political history of Pakistan as four years earlier the Pakistan National Alliance (PNA) had shown in its opposition to ZAB. It was however difficult initially to reconcile the ‘murderer’ — PNA — and the ‘grieved party’ — PPP. The execution of ZAB in 1979 had made the relationship so fraught that it seemed impossible that they could ever come together. The hostility towards the PNA was reiterated in the PPP meeting of May 1979. Aijaz Ahmed argued that BB and Asghar Khan were suspicious of each other. Nonetheless, for the benefit of the greater good, a great leader has to forgo personal grievances. Both Nusrat Bhutto and Benazir Bhutto rose to this challenge.

---

506 The Muslim. 16 February 1981. NAP.
The failure of PPP to save the life of its founder pushed them into the realisation that it was hard to topple General Zia alone. Not only had the regime crushed the opposition ruthlessly, but it had managed to win support from important public figures such as Ghulam Mustafa Khar, the ex-governor of Punjab, and Masood Ahmad, former head of the notorious Federal Security Force (FSF), who had both acted as witnesses against ZAB. Nusrat Bhutto told BB that ‘we have to outmanoeuvre Zia before he outmanoeuvres us’. They realised the crucial need for a united opposition front. They also saw that it would be counterproductive to fight the Pakistan armed forces as an institution and that they should, rather, focus on Zia as an individual, gradually winning over his main pillars of support. Nusrat and Benazir Bhutto knew that they would have to take the lead, as they headed PPP, the strongest of the opposition parties.

After the execution of ZAB, most of PNA leaders had been dismissed unceremoniously from the Federal Cabinet, leaving them in a ‘political wilderness’. Many in PNA realised that they had limited power and position under the general, and that they had little to lose and much to gain from withdrawing their support from him. There were a few political parties in the alliance that were willing still to back the regime, such as JI, PML (Pagara), due to its Islamisation programme. However, the PNA alliance was disintegrating. TI left it in October 1977, followed by JUP on 6 July 1978 and

---

509 ibid., p.165.  
511 Viewpoint, 22 April 1979, p.11; Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.164.  
513 Between the Lines, Viewpoint, 8 April 1979, p.5.
NDP on 16 August 1978.\textsuperscript{514} Noorani (JUP) declared PNA a ‘dead horse’ and his party celebrated the Day of Deliverance on 20 April 1979.\textsuperscript{515}

Existing histories state that the MRD was formed in early 1981.\textsuperscript{516} This thesis argues that it had already in effect come into existence by late 1979, even though the alliance of parties was not described at that time as the ‘MRD’. Major party leaders had shown their interest in mid-1979 in forming an anti-Zia alliance.\textsuperscript{517} Smaller regional and left-wing parties were also looking for some big platform to expand their stature at national level and launch a campaign against the dictatorship.\textsuperscript{518} The Mazdoor Kissan Party (MKP) led by Fatehyab Ali Khan and Mairaj Muhammad Khan’s QMA were key figures in bonding the left-wing forces.\textsuperscript{519} On the demand of all progressive parties, a committee of four senior persons – Farooq Leghari (Punjab), Yahya Bakhtiar (Balochistan), Aftab Ahmed Khan Sherpao (KPK), and Abdul Fateh Memon (Sindh) – was set up to cultivate cooperation with like-minded progressive organisations.\textsuperscript{520} This all fed into the success of Awam Dost in the local elections of 1979.

On 9 October 1979, BB invited all democratic parties to forget their petty issues and come together for the cause of national integration, stating that it

\textsuperscript{514} \textit{Jang}, 7 July 1978, and 17 August 1978.


\textsuperscript{517} Jatoi met with Mairaj Muhammad Khan Chief of Qaumi Mahaz-i-Azadi (QMA) to request rejoining the party. \textit{The Muslim}, 17 May 1979. BB and Mir Ghaus Bakhsh Bizenjo, Chief of a newly established Pakistan National Party (PNP) had a secret meeting on 10 June 1979 in 70 Clifton. \textit{The Muslim}, 10 June 1979. Qayyum Nizami told me that Bizenjo was the first person to contact Nusrat Bhutto and suggested that she should establish an alliance. Qayyum Nizami, interview. Khan Abdul Wali Khan, NDP leader also called for an alliance and ‘unity of democratic and progressive forces on a liberal platform’ and asked his workers to work to win public support to ‘achieve their goal’. \textit{The Muslim}, 10 June 1979. Asghar Khan supported the 1973 constitution as the best for the country. Asghar Khan, cited in \textit{Viewpoint}, 24 June 1979, p.16.


\textsuperscript{519} Both were once the part of PPP.

was time ‘for all parties claiming to be democratic to stand above party affiliation, to stand to compel the Junta to hold the promised election as per schedule’. 521 An invitation was forwarded to trade unions and peasants to evolve ‘a line of action’ whereby the people were enabled to have ‘democratic self-rule’. The party also condemned attacks on students in Sindh University and Karachi University hostel. 522 It was in this atmosphere that Zia cancelled the promised general election. Zia then prevented any further moves towards opposition unity by arresting the leaders, so that they had to wait to finalise the agenda.

The process was restarted in September 1980, when PPP set out a two point agenda – to form a committee to negotiate with the former PNA parties and draft an agreement between them. The committee consisted of BB, Farooq Leghari, Yahya Bakhtiar, Mairaj Khalid and Piar Ali Allana. 523 Pir Pagara and Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan took the lead in talking with PPP on these points. This was in many respects a return to the situation in 1977, when ZAB and the PNA sat round a table together to solve the crisis created by allegations that the election of that year had been rigged. Now, however, largely the same players (with ZAB’s notable absence) were forming an alliance to fight for a larger cause. BB and Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan both agreed to restart the dialogue that had been broken on 4 July 1977. 524 With much hesitation and reluctances

523 Hussain, Politics of Alliances, p.127.
524 PPP wanted to remove the allegation on ZAB for breaking-up Pakistan in 1971, and the execution of ZAB was illegal. Nawabzada Nasrullah agreed on these points. Ibid., p.128.
from both parties, they finally agreed on one broad agenda ‘Pakistan and martial law cannot exist.’ Finally on 6 February 1981, three major demands were put before the regime under the umbrella of the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy, which will be discussed in the next chapter.

![Figure 4 BB chairing the MRD in process meeting in 1980. Courtesy Shahid Sheikh](image)

**International Support:**

Despite suspension of US military and economic aid on 4 April 1979 due to General Zia continuing programme to acquire nuclear energy, the Western powers did not come forward to support democracy in Pakistan. There was an understanding that Zia provided a reliable ally against the designs of the Soviet Union in Afghanistan. The *Daily Telegraph* (UK), for instance, was predicting a Soviet intervention in Afghanistan as early as 3 June 1979. Left-wing groups in Pakistan were seen by US intelligence to be aligned with the communist bloc, particularly the radical element in PPP that Nusrat Bhutto was inclined to at this

---

525 NDP (Sher Baz Mazari) looked for more provincial autonomy than given in 1973 constitution; JUP was not willing to release ZAB on the accusation of East Pakistan debacle as well as the rigging of the 1977 election. *Loc., cit.*


time. US intelligence reports had suggested that the Pakistani nuclear enrichment programme was reaching its final stages, and on 16 October 1979, a high-level US delegation that included Secretary of State Cyrus Vance, met with Zia and his government to discuss the nuclear issue. After accepting Pakistan assurance that their nuclear development was for peaceful purposes, the US government resumed aid in the form of ‘humanitarian’ assistance for Afghan refugees in Pakistan. The invasion of Afghanistan by the Soviet Union at the end of December 1979 led to more positive support being given to Zia as a front-line ally in the anti-Soviet war. The British foreign minister replied to UK journalists who had asked him whether he would shake hands with the dictator that ‘he (Zia) was a son of bitch but he was our (British) son of a bitch.’

The PPP failed to break this nexus, as they were continuing to reach out to people who were an anathema to the Western allies. This was made clear after the party sponsored the Bhutto Shaheed (martyr) Memorial Trust that announced the awarding of a number of ‘Bhutto Shaheed Medals of Democracy’ in 1979. The medals – in two categories of gold and silver – were given to the individuals who had raised their voices in trying to save ZAB from execution. Gold medals were awarded to President Assad of Syria, the PLO leader Yasser Arafat, Colonel Qaddafi of Libya, the President of the UAE Sheikh Zaid Bin Sultan

---

530 Viewpoint, V (11), 21 October 1979, p.13.
532 Musawat, 8 August 1979.
Al Nahyan, and the pro-Soviet President of Afghanistan, Nur Muhammad Taraki. Although some westerners who had spoken up for ZAB were awarded silver medals, they were mostly oppositional figures. Such gestures were hardly likely to endear the PPP with the US government and its allies.

**Conclusion:**

PPP not only successfully reinvigorated itself after the loss of ZAB, but it managed to win over most political parties in Pakistan to its side during this period. According to theories of civil resistance, building alliances among the opponents of a regime is crucial for any such movement. The PPP was clearly winning this particular battle. In the next chapter we shall go on to see how this all bore fruit in the Movement for the Restoration of Democracy.

---


534 Ackerman and Kruegler, *Strategic Nonviolent Conflict*, pp.32-33 and 40-42.
Ch. 3. The Movement for Restoration of Democracy 1981-84

The year 1981 saw the start of a new struggle for democracy in Pakistan. Opposition parties and like-minded political groups or parties created a joint strategy to get rid of the dictatorship and restore democratic norms in the country. Announced publicly on 6 February 1981, the movement faced a ruthless reaction from the regime but it was able to inspire the citizens to struggle for their democratic rights. Most historians and political scientists have considered that this movement was ultimately a failure. This dissertation argues however that it was part of a continuum in a ‘gradualist democratic’ movement that eventually paved the way towards holding elections and ending martial law in 1985. This chapter analyses the structure, strategies, and the results of the movement during the 1981-84 period, as well as, the dictator's oppression of the predominantly unarmed resisters.

Objective and Strategies:

The Movement for the Restoration of Democracy (MRD) had one major guiding principle, namely that ‘Pakistan and martial law cannot exist’.535 It issued a statement:

We, therefore, demand that Zia-ul-Haq quit and martial law be lifted immediately; failing which they will be removed by the irresistible will of the people, that free, fair and impartial elections to the National and Provincial Assemblies be held within 3 months in accordance with the unanimously adopted Constitution of 1973 and power be transferred to

---

535 Hussain, Politics of Alliances, p.128.
the elected representatives of the people and the interests of the four federating units be fully restored and protected.\textsuperscript{536}

In forming such a multi-party alliance against an authoritarian ruler, we can see parallels with campaigns of civil resistance elsewhere in the world. In the anti-Pinochet movement in Chile, for example, staunch Allende-era Socialists and Right-wingers came together in August 1985 to establish \textit{Union Democrática Independiente} (Independent Democratic Union-UDI), and agreed on one agenda called ‘National Accord for Full Transition to Democracy \textit{(Acuerda Nacional)}’.\textsuperscript{537}

There was a similar concord between parties of very different ideological persuasion in the anti- Milošević alliance in Serbia in 2000.\textsuperscript{538} In Pakistan, representatives of nine parties signed a draft declaration, which they announced publically on 6 February 1981.\textsuperscript{539} At first, the organisation was haphazard and inept. Bizenjo, coming from Quetta, failed to be included in the collective signing.\textsuperscript{540} Leaders of two Left parties, Mairaj M.Khan and Fatehyab Ali Khan, were brought in at the last minute before the signing.\textsuperscript{541} They even failed to devise a name for their initiative, and it was left to the press reporters,


\textsuperscript{537} Eleven parties alliance, See Ackerman, and Duvall, \textit{A Force More Powerful}, pp.279-301.

\textsuperscript{538} Initially, Otpor was formed in 1998 by a small group of Belgrade students to oppose Milošević’s rule. Later on, the parties that were in sharp conflict were united by OTPOR for the election, with an agreed Presidential candidate, and as a result won. Danijela Nenadić and Nenad Belčevic, ‘Serbia-Nonviolent Struggle for Democracy: The Role of OTPOR’, in Howard Clark, \textit{People Power: Unarmed Resistance and Global Solidarity} (London: Pluto Press, 2009), pp.26-28.

\textsuperscript{539} The signatories and their party affiliation: Nusrat Bhutto (PPP), Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan (PDP), Mahmud Ali Qasoori (TI), Fateh Yab Ali Khan (PMKP), Mairaj Muhammad Khan (QMA), Maulana Fazal-ur-Rehman (JUI), Sardar Abdul Qayyum Khan (AJKMC), Sher Baz Mazari (NDP) and Khwaja Khair-ud-Din (MLKG). Later on, that draft was shared with other mainstream political parties including Muslim League (Pagara Group), Jamat-i-Islami, National Awami Party (Pukhtoonkhwa) and Pakistan National Party (PNP) before making it public. Jamat-Islami and Pagara group did not become the part of it, as they had joined the cabinet under the regime.

\textsuperscript{540} Rehman, \textit{Pakistan under Siege}, p.260.

\textsuperscript{541} Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.
after getting the impression from the objectives of the alliance, to coin the name ‘Movement for the Restoration of Democracy’.\(^{542}\)

It is hard to determine the numerical strength of the different parties due to the regime’s ban on them. Nonetheless, collating figures from interviews with people who were able to estimate figures for Lahore, it appears PPP members had up to one hundred thousand members there, as the city was divided into ten zones, and every zone had 100 units with hundred members in each. Shahida Jabeen, lady councillor on the women’s seat in Lahore said seventy-two councillors out of hundred in Lahore were PPP’s workers.\(^{543}\) Other parties had very few members by comparison, e.g. PDP-30, TI-1000, NAP-50, Qasim ML-12, PMKP-20 and Khwaja Khairuddin hardly a single member. Against this, JI was strong and well-organised due to the regime’s support.

Between the establishment of the movement and the hijacking of a PIA plane, discussed later in this chapter, the party leaders and jylas demonstrated impressive enthusiasm. For example, defying the strict prohibition on any political activity or meetings, Nusrat Bhutto travelled by train to Lahore from Karachi on 27 February 1981 disguised as ‘a grandmother in a burqa (veil), accompanied by her ‘grandson’, the thirteen-year-old son of one of her staff. Other members of the alliance arrived at the meeting by various convoluted routes. They agreed to commemorate every key national date with protests, and fixed the first one for Pakistan Resolution day on 23 March 1981. The

---

\(^{542}\) Rehman, *Pakistan under Siege*, p.260. The same was the case with the Lahore resolution of 1940, which was not declared as the ‘Pakistan Resolution’ at the actual meeting of the Muslim League, but was described as such by the press afterwards.

\(^{543}\) Shahida Jabeen, interview, 26 February 2012, Lahore.
Lahore meeting was eventually raided by the police and the leaders were expelled from Punjab including Nusrat Bhutto after a brief arrest.544

The planned protest for 23 March was however cancelled due to the PIA hijacking, discussed below. The hijacking had an irreversible impact on the movement as it led to a severe crack-down by the Zia regime. From the date of the hijacking to 14 August 1983, MRD’s attempts to organise protests were nipped in the bud time and again by the regime’s harsh reactions. For instance, on the eve of Pakistan Resolution day in 1982, the top leadership of MRD, including Nusrat Bhutto, Sher Baz Mazari, Fateh Yab and Khair-ud-Din, planned to organise a public rally at Nishtar Park, Karachi, but the government was able to prevent it.545 That gap however, provided them a time to revisit their strategy; hence they added more demands into the movement’s objectives.

According to Ackerman and Kruegler, it is important for a movement to have clear and unambiguous objectives.546 These not only serve to give notice to the opponent but should also be designed to marshal support from a wide range of groups in civil society, and the public both within and outside of the country. Gandhi had achieved this brilliantly in 1930 when he took up the issue of the Salt Laws despite misgivings from other nationalist leaders. Gandhi’s judgement was proved correct, and millions were mobilised in an iconic nonviolent protest.547 Unlike UDI’s major demand for ‘free and competitive

544 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.168.
545 Viewpoint,
546 Ackerman, and Kruegler, Strategic Nonviolent Conflict, p. 24.
election’ under General Pinochet;\(^\text{548}\) MRD demanded the resignation of their own Pinochet-figure, General Zia, as well as the holding of free and fair election. Later on, BB learnt by hard experience that it was advisable merely to demand that elections be conducted under the military ruler – whether this was Zia on her return to Pakistan in 1986, discussed in chapter 5, and later General Musharraf in 2007.\(^\text{549}\) The MRD leaders found that their programme was not attracting the necessary widespread support, with the educated and middle classes in particular lacking enthusiasm for their campaign. They therefore came up with a second charter of demands on 10 May 1983 that were designed to attract wider participation in the movement. Comprehending the need to mobilise students, labourers, lawyers, and women groups along with the general public, Nusrat Bhutto advised her Left partners in the alliance to bring them on board.\(^\text{550}\) The charter contained thirty-one-points, which included demands for greater provincial autonomy, assurance of an independent judiciary, guaranteeing fundamental human rights, freedom of press, addressing the issues of labour and the current discriminatory policies against women and minorities and greater accountability of the armed forces and civil bureaucracy.\(^\text{551}\) The new agenda sought to demonstrate to groups such as the judiciary, lawyers, journalists, women, students, workers, and seekers of

\(^{548}\) Ibid., pp.289-90.

\(^{549}\) With Musharraf, BB signed an agreement called National Reconciliation Order in 2007. Besides removal of financial corruption cases against her and other leaders, it was agreed that Musharraf would put off his uniform after holding the election, and BB would re-enter in politics. See, for example, Condoleeza Rice, No Higher Honor: A Memoir of my Years in Washington (New York: Crown Publishers, 2011), pp.311-12.


\(^{551}\) Hussain, Politics of Alliance, pp.129-30
provincial autonomy that the alliance was not a front for power-hungry politicians, but rather stood for democracy, civil and human rights, and greater devolution of power in Pakistan. It was also agreed that each party in the alliance should head it in monthly-rotation equally, regardless of their membership numbers. This was both a strength and a weakness. It allowed parties with small bases, or those whose main base was in the subordinate provinces, to shape the agenda. But it also meant that leadership was fractured and diffused.

The scholarship on civil resistance emphasises that every dictatorial government has its pillars of support, such as police, army, and judiciary that the opposition has to try to win them over by devising a programme that will appeal to such groups.\textsuperscript{552} One of Zia’s firmest pillars was as a self-styled defender of Islam. He built on the Nizam-i-Mustafa movement that had opposed Bhutto's secularist policies.\textsuperscript{553} Zia implemented one ordinance after another under the umbrella of ‘Islamisation’, and for this he had received handsome public support. His patriarchal agenda and his exploitation of religion to serve his own vested interests did not deceive better-informed members of the public, but many believed somewhat naively that he was driven primarily by his religious commitments. So, separating his regime from this support-base was important, and the MRD worked on it. Makhdoom Muhammad Zaman Talib ul Maula of Hala, a respected Sindhi \textit{pir} from Hyderabad, provided a fierce critique of the military regime’s Islamisation process and played a significant role in


\textsuperscript{553} In response, Bhutto did amend the constitution by introducing some Islamic clauses e.g. ban on alcohol, and Friday as an official holiday.
countering Pir Pagara’s alliance with the regime in Sindh. Likewise, when General Zia made plans to hold non-party based elections on the advice of the Ansari Commission, many Islamic parties, for instance JUI and JUP, turned against the political reforms and declared their support for MRD. While the Jaamat-i-Islami approved of Zia’s plans publicly, some of its members joined the opposition. Preaching the MRD slogans, Mian Tufail, a member of JI, received an extradition order from Balochistan, and the student wing of JI joined hands with the Democratic Students Alliance (DSA) along with the students’ wing of PML, PPP and TI. In this way, the demand for democracy made people choose between dictatorship and democracy. MRD rejected the regime’s constitutional amendments under the auspices of Islam and managed to build a broad consensus on this stance.

Call for Civil Disobedience August 1983:

By 1983, the situation appeared more favourable for a campaign of civil disobedience. The MRD decided to hold protests on certain significant dates. The first was the second birth anniversary of the movement on 6 February 1983, which would be celebrated as the ‘Day of Democracy’. The second was 5 July, the sixth anniversary of the declaration of martial law – to be known as the ‘Dark Day’. The third was the 14 August, Pakistan Independence Day, which

554 Zia-ul-Haq assigned Maulana Zafar Ahmed Ansari to head the Ansari Constitutional Commission on Forms of Government that was tasked with drawing up a map of the future political system. This Commission recommended that there should be a non-party system in the country. This was despite the dismissal by the Federal Shariat Court in December 1980 of a petition by B.Z. Kaikaus that claimed that political parties are repugnant to Islam. Cited in Talbot, Pakistan: A Modern History, p.260.


556 ibid., p.30.
was to be the ‘Day of Renewing the Pledge’.\textsuperscript{557} On the first two such days in February and then in July, public meetings were held, black flags were flown, and black armbands worn.\textsuperscript{558} They were successful throughout the country. On 5 July, several hundred people were reported to have attempted to join a procession in Karachi and 25 MRD leaders and supporters were arrested. However, all were granted bail in a few days. In Rawalpindi, lawyers boycotted the court that day. There were no demonstrations as such, but black flags had been taken down by the police. A planned meeting was banned, so a Press Conference was held later on to publicise the banning of the meeting. Arshad Chaudhry and three journalists were arrested, and later released on bail. In Lahore, a protest meeting of some 200 people was reported as having taken place near the high court. Seven of the organisers were arrested and sent to Kot Lakhpat Jail. They were charged under Martial Law Regulation No.13 Section 124-A, and were not bailed out unlike those in Rawalpindi and Karachi. In Quetta, three students and several MRD leaders were arrested for wearing black armbands.\textsuperscript{559}

The third such day, the 14 August, was selected as the occasion for the start of active civil disobedience. This was to involve offering voluntarily arrests in Lahore, Karachi, Quetta, and Peshawar, resignation from government jobs, and withholding taxes in all over the country.\textsuperscript{560} This programme was rolled out on 10 May, which gave plenty of time to publicise the aims and

\textsuperscript{560} Such tactics are described by Sharp as being a strong form of civil resistance. Sharp, The Politics of Nonviolent Action, pp.219-346; Viewpoint, 11 August 1983, IX (1), p.12.
objectives. The reasons for civil disobedience were stated to be discontent with the government in its conduct both externally and internally. Externally, the major grievance was that in becoming a front-line ally of America in the war in Afghanistan, Pakistan had opened itself to being inundated with drugs, weapons and terrorists.\(^{561}\) Internally, issues such as price-hikes, the implementation of PCO, the Ansari Commission, and lack of press freedom were cited. The MRD addressed also the specific grievances of peasants and workers. They criticised the Islamic tax on agricultural income known as *ushar*, which was a severe burden in a year of poor harvests. They condemned the corruption and mismanagement in Pakistan steel mills, discussed in Chapter 1. The MRD also appealed to sympathetic government officials and members of local government bodies to resign from their posts. Nusrat Bhutto advised BB to limit her political confrontation with the regime at that juncture so as to avoid arrest and thus be available to lead the protest.\(^{562}\)

After four months of organisation, publicity and propaganda, the protest on 14 August was inaugurated from the mausoleum of the Quaid-i-Azam, Muhammad Ali Jinnah, in Karachi. MRD’s acting convener Abid Zuberi and Secretary General Khwaja Khairuddin offered themselves for arrest on that day, and Mairaj M. Khan and Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi on the next day. In all, fourteen people were arrested in Karachi on those two days.\(^{563}\) In Lahore, sixteen political leaders including Farooq Leghari and Major (rtd) Ejaz Ahmed were arrested from Minar-i-Pakistan in front of a large crowd that had gathered to

\(^{561}\) Rehman, *Pakistan under Siege*, p. 309. The dire consequences of the drug culture and weaponisation of society will be discussed in the conclusion of the dissertation.


support their leaders.\textsuperscript{564} Lahore’s working women’s \textit{Khwateen Jamhoori} Committee (Women’s Democratic Committee) came out of the street in protest against the brutalities committed in Sindh against \textit{Sindhiani Tehreek}.\textsuperscript{565} From Peshawar, seventy persons were detained at the Masjid Mahabat Khan.\textsuperscript{566} In Quetta, Ali Ahmed Kurd, former Secretary-General of PPP-Baluchistan, and Rehman Bugti, son of Nawab Akber Bugti were arrested.\textsuperscript{567}

In short, there were demonstrations, protest rallies and sit-ins all around the country. A wide range of martial law regulations had been defied, including those that banned political parties, political meetings, processions, and criticism of the regime, the President and the Armed Forces.\textsuperscript{568} According to Amnesty International, there was enthusiastic support for the protest all over Pakistan in the first two days, after which the main resistance continued in Sindh. This contradicts Roedad Khan’s argument that the movement lacked national appeal.\textsuperscript{569} Al also mentioned that twenty thousand protestors in Karachi who were protesting nonviolently were stoned by supporters of the regime.\textsuperscript{570} The reports that there had been growing violence in rural Sindh brought a gradual disassociation of other parts of the country from the campaign. I shall analyse this point further below.

In Sindh the movement continued from August to December. Over three hundred bureaucrats and councillors resigned their offices, including some

\textsuperscript{566} ibid.
\textsuperscript{568} \textit{Amnesty International}, ‘Correspondence 16 August 1983’, 3 October 1983, MSS 34/4/1/Pk 20, MRC.
\textsuperscript{570} \textit{Amnesty International}, ‘Widespread arrest in Pakistan’, MSS 34/4/1/Pk 19, MRC, p.1.
members of Majlis-e-Shura. 571 50,000 disciples of Makhdum of Hala blocked the national highway connecting Karachi to the rest of the country. 572 Although not a member of MRD, Sindhi Awami Tehreek (SAT), with its student’s and women’s wings, still played a noteworthy role during the 1983 movement, particularly in the districts of Thatta, Dadu, Larkana and Sanghar. 573 The peasants-followers of SAT – including women and children – went to jail. The youngest was Mustafa Talpur from Badin, who was only fourteen years when he was arrested. 574 Their solidarity revealed the hollowness of the regime’s claim that the masses were united with it under one banner. The name of Rasool Bakhsh Palejo, President of SAT, was well known to the Punjabi protestors, while in Sindh speakers of the Sindhi, Urdu and Punjabi languages all participated, especially in Karachi. Sindhi activists courted arrest from non-Sindhi areas in Karachi like Liaqatabad. 575 SAT’s workers stopped the landing of General Zia’s helicopter in any part of interior Sindh. 576 In short, due to the strong links of QMA with workers in Karachi, and Hyderabad, and significant mobilisation of SAT in rural Sindh along with being the home province of ZAB, the movement spread out from urban city centres to the small villages in the province.

There was however violence in Sindh from day one, and this spread all over the province. 577 The supporters of Makhdoom Khaleeq-uz-Zaman of Hala turned violent after his arrest, and there was no strong leader to check this. In

---

572 Talbot, Pakistan: A New History, p.126.
573 Hadi Butt, interview, 21 March 2012, Sukker; Talbot, Pakistan: A Modern History, pp.253-54
574 Mustafa Talpur, interview.
575 Aslam Khwaja, Fateh Marri, Mubarak Ali, interviews.
576 Struggle Published from England, Holland, and Belgium), March-April 1986, no.17 601/R/23/19, MRC, p.6; Mehtab Rashidi, Hadi Butt, interviews.
Ghotki, protestors attacked the railway station, robbed its treasury and destroyed the railway track. In Sanghar, they attacked a police station in an effort to try to free their arrested members from the lock-up during the first week of the campaign.\textsuperscript{578}

Ackerman, and Kruegler warn that the most difficult task for nonviolent leaders and their operational corps is to maintain strict nonviolence by the civilian rank-and-file.\textsuperscript{579} In their opinion, preservation of nonviolence is crucial if the violent opponent is to be wrong-footed.\textsuperscript{580} One violent event, it is argued, can derail the whole movement, as in the Non-Cooperation-Khilafat Movement in India, when violence exploded in Chauri Chaura in 1922, leading Gandhi to call off civil disobedience.\textsuperscript{581} In many cases, people were accustomed to expressing their resentment against the violence of their oppressors by adopting their own counter-violence. This is an accustomed mode of resistance. Violence may also be caused by the intrusion of free riders, ill-advised enthusiasts, opportunists, ‘agent provocateurs’, and criminals – or goondahs, as Gandhi called them in the case of the Calcutta riots in 1947.\textsuperscript{582} Such groups can easily derail the nonviolent discipline of the movement. A government may try to provoke violence so as to justify its crack-down. This was the case in Mexico City in 1968, where the government planted barrels containing rocks at

\textsuperscript{579} Ackerman, and Kruegler, \textit{Strategic Nonviolent Conflict}, pp.29-30
\textsuperscript{580} ibid., p.38.
\textsuperscript{582} Mark Kurlansky defines these as ‘those who go among the nonviolent resisters pretending to be of them, and invite them to the deeds of violence’. Kurlansky, \textit{Non-violence}, p.162.
the protestors’ sites.\textsuperscript{584} In practice, as Schock points out, it is extremely hard to maintain complete nonviolence in a highly charged struggle.\textsuperscript{585} In some cases, low-intensity violence has not badly damaged a movement – as was the case with the Lawyers’ Movement in Pakistan, when Lawyers beat policemen at one place and some protestors humiliated a sitting federal minister.\textsuperscript{586} In the case of the MRD movement in Pakistan in 1983, the violence in Sindh hurt the campaign as a whole, even though it was unplanned and against the wishes of the leaders.

The regime was ready to respond to violence with an iron hand. The Joint Security Committee chaired by Roedad Khan that was tasked with monitoring the law and order situation had several meeting before 14 August to make contingency plans.\textsuperscript{587} The Sindh provincial government, however, communicated to the committee that the province would remain silent and in order during the movement.\textsuperscript{588} This provides strong evidence that the MRD leaders did not engineer the violence, as intelligence officers would almost certainly have picked this up and would have issued some kind of warning. Three full army divisions were called in to crush the movement in Sindh.\textsuperscript{589} Air-gunship helicopters fired on unarmed villagers in Sakrand, Lakharot, and Punnel Chandio. Bombs were dropped on Tayyab Thaheem (Sanghar) and Khairpur Nathan Shah (KNS), and there was direct firing at protestors who were near the house of Makhdoom of Hala in Moro. In a rally taken out in protest at

\textsuperscript{584} Kurlansky, Non-violence, p.162.
\textsuperscript{585} Schock, Unarmed Insurrection, p.xvi.
\textsuperscript{586} Ahmad, Nonviolent Resistance Movement of Pakistan, p.63.
\textsuperscript{587} The committee was formed under all home secretaries, all heads of special branches, the Director Intelligence Bureau, and ISI. Khan, Pakistan – A Dream Gone Sour, p.89.
\textsuperscript{588} loc. cit.
the Moro incident, the protestors who were out on the road reciting the Quran were run over by army vehicles. Reacting to the state violence, protestors in Moro and KNS killed 125-150 military personnel. Houses and public buildings were burnt, banks were looted, and railway traffic was disrupted by removal of the tracks. There were large numbers of arrests, with political activists being tortured in jail. A break-out was engineered from the Hyderabad sub-jail by political prisoners in which many professional criminals also escaped. While the political prisoners later turned themselves in voluntarily, the criminals took refuge in areas of Katcha, the dry river-beds and ravines that were densely covered by bushes, and started robbing passengers on the National Highways and in nearby towns. The government claimed that between August and December less than one hundred protestors and law enforcements personnel were killed, though independent observers put the figure three times higher than this. Due to this violence, the MRD leadership called off civil disobedience in December 1983.

**Government Tactics towards the Movement:**

Rizvi has highlighted four strategies that a military regime will commonly take to counter open opposition by its opponents. There will be restriction (including censorship) on the press, imposition of bans on inter-city and intra-city travel, threatening and warning politicians of the dire consequences for
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them of any anti-regime statements or meetings, and lastly intermittent arrests of prominent leaders and prominent activists. General Zia followed all these strategies in letter and spirit. The only effective counter is a strong political organisation from top to bottom and a strong operational corpse. The MRD lacked such strength in 1981-83.

On 27 February 1981 MRD called for a secret meeting in Lahore in violation of martial law. The regime began arresting the main political leaders and deporting them from Punjab under the ‘public safety and maintenance of public order’. On the day itself, police tightened security and carried out checks on every vehicle to catch members of the alliance.596

The PIA hijacking of 2 March 1981 was a blessing for Zia, allowing him to order a wave of arrests of so-called ‘anti-social elements’. In the three weeks after the hijacking the leaders and thousands of MRD activists were arrested.597 Benazir was put in solitary confinement in Sukker Jail, and Nusrat Bhutto was jailed in Karachi. More arrests continued over the following months. After the intelligence service received information in February 1982 about a plot to murder some high officials in the following month, there were a large number of arrests. While Zia himself stated at this time that 2,132 arrests had occurred in the last couple of weeks,598 Amnesty International put the figure at some 10,000. The daily newspaper The Muslim reported on 6 March 1982 and the prisons and police lock-ups in Punjab and Sindh were full up, with the jail administrators refusing to take more prisoners. Although the government

596 ibid.
stated that the arrests included political workers, drug-dealers, alcohol vendors, and weapons dealers, a senior police officer stated most of those arrested were PPP members suspected of being implicated in a ‘plot of killing high officials’ in March 1982. Likewise, the regime started its round-up campaign four days before the start of the 14 August 1983 protest, and arrested four hundred persons on the day throughout the country. According to AI, several thousand persons – many hundreds of whom AI considered ‘prisoners of conscience’ – were detained throughout Pakistan in connection with political protests. However, on submission of a written apology, as per Zia-ul-Haq’s order, three thousand were released. Other political prisoners were released gradually over the following months.

Many prisoners were treated harshly, including being flogged in jail. For example, between 15-17 April 1983, 216 men were flogged in Karachi Central Jail on the order of SMC; whereas 130 prisoners were sentenced to flogging during the first week of September. In response to such cruelty, the Executive Committee of the Pakistan Medical Association-Karachi Branch passed a resolution on 9 September that flogging ‘is not only inhuman, and against the dignity of man, but this can cause serious physical damage and irreversible psychological trauma specially on young people’. The Pakistan
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601 On 10 November 1983, Minister of Interior Mahmood Haroon stated that to date 4691 had been arrested of whom 2121 had been released since August 1983, and 61 had been killed. Other sources claimed that the numbers were considerably higher, and the death toll double that. Amnesty International, ‘Arrest of Lawyers in Pakistan’, MSS 34/4/1/Pk 23, MRC.
Junior Doctors Association also appealed for the flogging to end. They also wrote a letter to the British Medical Association describing neuromuscular damage to the victims. Some jylas and suspected members of Al-Zulfiqar were incarcerated in long-term torture cells, the most notorious of which were in the Shahi Qila (the famous Lahore Fort).

Although probably not on the same level as the cruelty, torture and mass murders carried out by the Nazis in Germany or by the Dirección de Inteligencia Nacional (DINA) under the direction of General Pinochet in Chile, the methods used by General Zia were not far behind. According to Amnesty International, while torture was carried out in regular jails and police interrogation centres, the worst places for this during the Zia period were the Shahi Qila (also known as the Red Fort) in Lahore, Baldia Camp (Karachi), Attock Fort, and the military intelligence centres of Islamabad/Rawalpindi. The Lahore Fort had a particularly appalling reputation as the ‘mother of all prisons’. This Mughal building, with its splendid architecture, was made into an interrogation centre for nationalist rebels by the British in the 1920s. After independence, it was used for the punishment of anyone considered to be an enemy of Pakistan. Those suffering the worst punishment were placed in eight-by-ten-foot subterranean cells in the basement in constant darkness and with only a hole for bodily excretions, with no visitors or conversation with other prisoners allowed. Prisoners knew that it was morning only when tea and a biscuit
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were brought to their cells. One person who was jailed in this way was twenty-year-old Zahid Chaudhry. Arrested on 28 September 1983, he was kept in the fort for five months. He was often deprived of sleep for several days. He was tortured by being made to lie between two beds with each of his hands and feet tied to one bed – they were then pulled apart so as to cause him extreme pain. He was also suspended upside down by a bar that was passed behind his knees, to which his hands were tied, and the soles of his feet struck. Throughout the period he was kept in solitary confinement. Others talked of burning red chilies being put under their nose, creating a suffocating smoke, and wooden rollers placed on their thighs.

Dr. Aslam Naro, who was being confined there for fifteen months, suffered the longest single stay in that jail amongst all of Zia’s prisoners. Many women were also imprisoned in the Lahore Fort. Shahida Jabeen spent seven months there and tried to maintain her morale by chanting ‘Das Kore Khain Gain, Bhutto ku Bachain Gain’ (We will happily receive ten lashes, but will save Bhutto). Being locked up with women who were there for criminal offences, she and her companions would hold political discussion among themselves to assert their political identity. The majority were put in jail without any arrest warrant or on the basis of a fake F.I.R. (First Investigation Report). Those

607 Jahangir Badar, Javed Iqbal Mo’azzam, Dr. Aslam Naro, Shahida Jabeen, Farkhanda Bukhari, interviews. All were the prisoners in the Lahore fort.


609 Some of ex-prisoners whom I interviewed could not bring themselves to describe their imprisonment or the torture they were subjected to.

610 Such as young Punjab University’s students Nusrat Tarar, Summaya, Sabiha, and Hameed Apa.

611 Shahida Jabeen, interview. Farkhanda Bukhari, interview.

612 Javed Mo’azzam said that the army arrested him on the charge of rebellion against the State under section 211/81; however after his release he found out that the F.I.R was for a charge for theft. Javed Iqbal Moazzam, interview.
who spend time in Lahore Fort were particularly revered in the movement as *Shahi Qila Sathi* (Companion of the Fort). Many suffered life-long incapacity of one sort or the other as a result.\(^{613}\)

Besides imprisoning and torturing its opponents, the government also put pressure on individuals to leave the MRD. It had its first success when Sardar Abdul Qayyum Khan, the then convener of MRD, resigned from his position on 21 March 1981, followed by Jamiat-i-Ulmai-Islam (Fazal), who accused the Bhuttos of being devious and plotting the hijacking.\(^{614}\) The Islamic parties, consisting of JI, JAH, KT and JUI (Darkhawasti) proposed a new alliance to counter MRD.\(^{615}\)

Army generals in uniform were appointed as governors of all the states.\(^{616}\) By giving a generous share of power to his military colleagues, Zia hoped to limit the possibility of a military backfire against his rule. Although General Chishti did criticize him after his retirement, it had little impact. On 24 December 1981, Zia announced the expansion of his cabinet, consisting of military and civilian members, and a larger federal advisory assembly — the *Majlis-i-Shoora* — of three hundred fifty members.\(^ {617}\) As appointment to this latter body was by nomination only, Zia was able to pick only those who supported him, including sixty former members of PPP who he felt he could
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\(^{613}\) Jahangir Badar, Shahid Sheikh, Interview. They were rewarded with perks and privileges by the government after the restoration of democracy, and this gave rise to some false claims by people who had not been imprisoned there. Farkhanda Bukhari, interview.


\(^{616}\) General Iqbal Khan, Chairman, Joint Chief of Staff Committee; General Sawar Khan, Governor of Punjab. Cited in Ahmad, ‘The Rebellion of 1983’, p.37.

Some big political figures were appointed to the Cabinet as advisors without any power of legislation. Maluka, Rizvi, Belokrenitsky, and Moskalenko have held that the *Shoora* was appointed according to the Ansari Commission policy of Islamisation, while Talbot points out astutely that it harked back to the sort of ‘consultative assembly of nominated members’ favoured by the British in colonial times. The constitution of 1973 was still inactive; political activities and the parties were still restricted and the press was still under strict censorship that was becoming worse. Nonetheless, this action saw some dissolution of Zia’s power, just as the authoritarian rule of the British was moderated with the Minto-Morley reforms of 1909 and the Montagu-Chelmsford reforms of 1919. Such comparison shows that the politics of Pakistan repeatedly replays the history of the anti-colonial struggle in South Asia. Zia, in common with the British Viceroys, governed autocratically and repressed the opposition, with the MRD repeating the role of the Indian National Congress, with its campaigns of non-cooperation and civil disobedience. Like the erstwhile freedom fighters of India, members of the MRD took pride in their times in prison – even when the sentence was in fact imposed for corruption. It seems that Pakistan finds it hard to escape this history.

In response to the establishment of the *Majlis-i-Shoora*, MRD countered on 2 February 1982 that ‘it is an undemocratic attempt to hoodwink the nation and outside the world’ and demanded free and fair elections within ninety
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619 Ali Ahmed Talpur (Defence), Hoti (Railway), Mahmood Haroon (Interior), Illahi Bakhsh Soomro (Industry), Ghulam Dastgir (Labour and Manpower), Raja Zafrul Haq (Information), Niaz Muhammad Arbab (Tourism) were among them.
days.\textsuperscript{621} It also rejected unanimously the proposal of Pir Pagara for setting up an interim government minus PPP under the martial law regime. Rather, they focused on reinvigorating their peaceful strategy to mount a more effective challenge to the regime.

Zia also sought to control local government bodies by banning those politicians who had been a member of any political party since 1970-1. This tactic was similar to the one used by General Ayub Khan to ensure that local boards were filled with people loyal to him. Zia remarked slyly that the parties that stood for the restoration of democracy lacked their own internal democratic culture.\textsuperscript{622} Some groups in Karachi, mainly Urdu speaking, started a \textit{Zia Himayat Tehreek} (Zia Support Movement) to strengthen the hands of the government at the local level, mainly to counter QMA and Noorani’s influence in the city. They were the group who threw stones on MRD’s members on 14 August.\textsuperscript{623} Although MRD declared a boycott of the local elections in 1983, it failed to stop people voting in any numbers except in Sindh.

\textbf{Promulgation of PCO:}

Because their seizure of power has violated the constitution, every military dictator in Pakistan has tried to force the judiciary to accept their illegal action. After staging a coup they have each in turn met with the Chief Justice of Pakistan to inquire whether his support would be forthcoming or not. If not, he was ousted from his post. Civilian rulers have often indeed done the same. The judiciary has not always caved in, for example, the Sindh High Court gave a

\textsuperscript{621} Hussain, ‘Politics of Alliances’, p. 133.
\textsuperscript{622} Ahmed ‘The Rebellion of 1983, p.36.
verdict against the coup of 1958 and termed it illegal. In general, however, the judiciary has conformed initially to whoever has taken control of the state. Later on, they have in some cases turned against the ruler. The most obvious example of this has been the revolt by the judiciary headed first by Chief Justice Justice Saeed-uz-Zaman Siddiqui, and then by Chief Justice Justice Iftikhar Muhammad Chaudhry against the military rule of General Pervez Musharraf.

Despite martial law, the judiciary were considered by many to provide a door of appeal against the unlawful measures of the regime. Under Zia, there were a number of cases lodged in the courts, including the petition of Nusrat Bhutto challenging the validity of Martial Law [PLD 1977 Supreme Court (SC657)]. To stop this, Zia came up with the Provisional Constitutional Order on 24 March 1981 to strengthen his hold over the country. It authorised his power to promulgate an emergency in the country and disallowed challenges in the courts to martial law. BB noted that this order overruled the verdicts given by some judges that led to the freeing of certain political workers, and stated ‘we could be arrested, tried, sentenced and executed by a military court without any legal recourse at all’. Clauses related to the ‘function of the parliament, elections and fundamental rights were omitted’. Certainly, such a drastic constitutional step invited discomfort among the civil judges as they had to take fresh oath under the new order. Nineteen judges of the Supreme Court and High court resigned from their posts rather than do so. Justice Anwar-ul-Haq, the then Chief Justice of Pakistan who was the head of the
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bench who ordered the hanging of ZAB, and Maulvi Mushtaq Ahmad, Chief Justice of the Lahore High Court, who sentenced Bhutto to death initially, were not even asked to take oath. Many lawyers also denounced the order and organised meetings to protest against it in Karachi and Lahore, and JUI (Fazal) also opposed the diktat.\textsuperscript{628} International law associations and Amnesty International protested against the law but all in vain. That ordinance removed one avenue of hope for the protestors during a reign of terror.

**Role of Means of Communication:**

One of a regime's methods of repression, Sharp underlines, is the control of communication and information.\textsuperscript{629} Theoretical work on nonviolent resistance that focuses on the sources of power,\textsuperscript{630} stresses the importance of the media and communications,\textsuperscript{631} as discussed in previous chapters. The newspapers in Pakistan were censored by Zia. Initially, as per the regime's order, they were forced to leave a space blank when there was censored news. The blank space soon became a symbol of resistance – as people understood the reason for the empty space – and the regime, in response, ordered them to fill those spaces as well. The editor of *Hurriat*, a daily newspaper, placed a camel's image instead, which, later on, became a sign of censored news.\textsuperscript{632} The print media became under particularly severe attack by the regime after the PIA hijacking.

TV, by contrast, was under the total control of the government. Indeed, TV became an instrument of propaganda for Zia's Islamisation programme.

\textsuperscript{628} Daily Jang, 27 March 1981.
\textsuperscript{630} Sharp, *The Politics of Nonviolent Action*, p.11.
\textsuperscript{631} See, for example, Peter and Ackerman, *Strategic Nonviolent Conflict*, p.31.
\textsuperscript{632} Aslam Khwaja, interview.
From January 1984, the Pakistan Television, state-run TV, aired news in Arabic as well. Women presenters had to wear dupatta/hijab, and around a hundred TV advertisements, such ones for lotion and soap, were banned due to their alleged sexual appeal.

Continuous with their persistent opposition to the Press and Publication Ordinances and demand for the restoration of democracy in the country, journalists kept on joining the anti-Zia political forces during 1981-84, and supported civil disobedience. Supporters of the MRD had difficulty in publicizing their objections to the regime. Sayeed’s argument that there was comprehensive media coverage on the movement was based on English newspapers, *Daily Dawn, The Muslim, The Times of India,* and *The Times* (London), and the *Weekly Viewpoint* alone. These had a limited readership in Pakistan. Otherwise, as Cohen argues, the mainstream media posed a pin-drop silence over the launch of MRD during the first whole week and remained deaf to the movement in general. *Aman,* and *Sadaqat* (Karachi based daily newspapers) were somehow the provider of news in Urdu. Later on in January 1984, SAT started the publication of their own Organizational Magazine.

634 Mehtab A. Rashidi, Interview, 16 March 2012; Karachi. *Daily Jang,* 17 July 1977. A Motion Picture Ordinance – the first of its kind – prohibited watching uncensored and obscene Indian films on a videocassette. 17 people, men and women in Multan were sentenced to 15 lashes and various other forms of punishment including fines and imprisonment for this offence. *Amnesty International,* ‘Pakistan Update (since January 1982-December 1982),’ December 1982, MSS.34/4/1/Pk 17, MRC, p.5.
637 Hussain, Politics of Alliances, p.130. And, this is what I experienced during my newspapers’ archive research in Pakistan. The dailies *Jang,* and *Nawai-Waqt* were blank on the news.
Parore to spread the message of the movement to the larger public in rural Sindh. The BBC was thought to be an effective source of information during this period. However, according to Anwer Abbasi, the BBC-Urdu service, the biggest source for a supposedly objective coverage, depicted the Civil Disobedience campaign as a rebellion of Sindh against the State. BBC reported that ‘four tribes of Sindh – Khoso, Jamali, and Jatoi – were revolting against the regime’. Such coverage generated negative propaganda for listeners outside the province and internationally.

Protest movements have historically found ways around this, such as through secret presses and cyclostyled newssheets – e.g. the Satyagraha Patrikas during the Bardoli Satyagraha of 1928, the carrying of significant symbols and signs such as a twig, by leaflets writing, or even, as in Argentina, writing ‘where are our disappeared children?’ on currency notes. The same sort of methods were used in Pakistan. The resisters publicised their activities by word of mouth, short pamphlets and the secretly-published weekly magazines Al-Fatah and Me’yar. Irshad Rao, editor of Al-Fatah, and forty workers including journalists were rounded up in the wake of the discovery of what the police described as this ‘clandestine publication group’ that published anti-state literature. During Jail Bharo Tehreeek, ten journalists courted

638 Mustafa Talpur, Fateh Marri, interviews.
639 Almost every worker and leader in interviews referred to BBC for objective news and analysis.
640 Anwer Abbasi Naqvi, cited in Shakir, MRD, p. 108.
641 Ackerman, and Duvall, A Force More Powerful, p.272.
642 Amnesty International, ‘Background to Political Arrests in Pakistan since January 1981’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk12, MRC, p.2. Mushtaq Lasharie, one of the distributors, told me that Irshad Rao and the group would publish Musawat in the underground press, but they would stamp Dubai as its place of publication. They were the group who were part of the Al-Zulfiqar terrorists’ activities. And, most of the prisoners exchanged after the PIA hijacking were among them. Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.
arrest each day against the law. Responding to my question how they managed to spread the word in the face of the regime's strict surveillance, some members of PPP's occupational corps responded that they adopted various creative ways to dodge the security agencies. A few of the tactics used were sending invitations of a relatives' wedding to party workers, gathering for birthday parties, and visiting on the death of some worker's relatives in the guise of mourners. This was called Seena Gazette (chest gazette, word of mouth). Taj Haider told me that they even organised a mock death ceremony when an emergency meeting was required to organise a protest, and they met at a mock grave. That grave was also used to hide many wanted workers.

There was an ongoing struggle over the question of the Islamisation of literature. This was a long-standing issue in Pakistan. Fatwas had been issued against the supposedly objectionable literature written by Muslims since the 1930's. There was a fresh discussion on this after the establishment of Pakistan. During the 1950s, Jamat-i-Islami propagated the idea of 'Islamic literature' and people began to show their commitment to this ideal by sending greeting cards with images of date trees and camels – which were symbols of Arab culture – and so on. Zia took this agenda up with enthusiasm. The writing
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643 Abbas Athar, Ahmad Faraz, Shahid Mahmood Nadeem, Fehmida Riaz, Faiz Ahmad Faiz, Shuhrat Bukhari, Farigh Bukhari, Hamraz Ahsan, and many TV and theatre artists were among them. Journalist Rehmat Shah Afridi went to jail and was exiled later on. Waris Shah also wrote against Martial Law and faced severe repercussions. Naheed, 'Urdu Adab aur Sahafat'.
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of *Hamd-o-Na’at* (verses praising Allah and Muhammad, the Prophet) were projected as exemplary literature, while supposedly anti-Islamic writing was censored. The latter included the seminal work of Manto,\(^{648}\) and even Quranic verses that talked of the rights of women and wives.

Many writers and journalists had to go to exile because of the hardship they were facing; even then, they did not stop fighting against the draconian laws in exile. On producing a cartoon of Zia-ul-Haq’s and describing him as ‘cruel’, Dr. Abdul Hameed Khan had to flee from one place to the other from the police to save his life.\(^{649}\) Likewise, three lecturers of Quaid-i-Azam University,\(^{650}\) the President of the Karachi University Teachers’ Association,\(^{651}\) three professors of Punjab University, and two senior professors in Baluchistan University were arrested for distributing and possessing what the government called ‘subversive’ literature and charged with sedition and unlawful political activity.\(^{652}\)

Satirical political songs and poetry are also recognised methods of nonviolent protest and persuasion. Giving the example of such songs, Sharp states that fourteenth-century France witnessed songs against prevailing corruption among the clergy and nobility of that time. In this context, he mentions the Buddhist struggle in South Vietnam in 1963.\(^{653}\) In South Asia, such
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\(^{648}\) Sa’adat Hassan Manto (1912-1955) was a famous short stories writer, who was tried for obscenity for his writing six times in total. Last year, he was posthumously awarded the Nishan-i-Imtiaz, the highest honour that can be conferred by the State of Pakistan.

\(^{649}\) Naheed, ‘Urdu Adab aur Sahafat’.

\(^{650}\) Jamil Omar, Tariq Ahsan, and Mohammad Salim in November 1983.


\(^{653}\) Sharp, *The Politics of Nonviolent Action*, pp.149-152.
satire has a particular emotional appeal. In Muslim culture, Mushaira (poetic symposium), and Mursiya (elegiac poem) are very important for disseminating ideas that can be adverse to social or political norms. T. Graham Bailey⁶⁵⁴ stated that Mursiyas historically narrate the story of the death of Imam Hussain, grandson of Prophet Muhammad (s.a.w); however, Muhammad Husain Zamir (Delhi) incorporated the historical events such as ‘accounts of battles, individual heroes, and their prowess’ with the view to increase religious fervour. Marxists poets in Pakistan, Faiz Ahmad Faiz and Habib Jalib, disseminated dissident ideas in this way during movements. The most popular poems of Habib Jalib,⁶⁵⁵ Dastur (Norms/Constitution), Main Nahi manta, Main nahi janta (I do not accept, I do not comprehend), presented during the anti-Ayub movement, and Dunya ki Tareekh Gwah hi, adal bina jamhoor na huga (World’s history is witness that democracy without justice does not prevail) by Barrister Aitzaz Ahsan during the Lawyers’ Movement invigorated the supporters of that time. So did dastur and other poetry during MRD.⁶⁵⁶ Talbot has elaborated the Sindhi literary resistance of the MRD, Sindhi Mursiyas of Khaki Joyo (MRD), Jameel Somro (Fateh Mulk) or Ahmed Faraz’s Urdu poem Paishawur Qatilo tum sipahi nahi (Professional killers! You are not soldiers), regarding MRD were a few popular verses.⁶⁵⁷ However, portraying the struggle of BB in poetry, Jalib’s poem named Nihati Larki (unarmed girl) ‘Darte hain bandooqon wale ik nehti
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⁶⁵⁵ He was also a member of NDP. He produced this poem during the Ayub Khan period.
⁶⁵⁶ Mehdi Hasan, Aslam Khwaja, Mazhar Abbas, Dr. Riaz Shaikh, interviews.
larki se, phele hain himmat ke ujale ik nihati larki se’ (armed men have a fear from an unarmed girl, the dawn of uprising has been spread by an unarmed struggle) became the talk of the resistance. It was a masterpiece of art that rejuvenated discontent against the dictatorship.

Civil Society On Board:

According to civil resistance scholars, defining a clear objective, as mentioned in Chapter 1, is the key to success of a movement. Good examples of effective demands were those of Solidarity in Poland for independent trade unions or in Argentina for ‘disappeared’ sons to be produced alive. Aijaz Ahmed, Hussain and the analysts at the US Embassy argued that there were too many disparate objectives in the MRD campaign, which led to a dilution of the protest movement. There was the demand of workers for nationalisation and union rights, the demand of journalists for an end to the Press and Publication Ordinances, the demand of progressive students for strong action against the hooliganism of IJT on campuses across the country, the grievances of lawyers over PCO 1979, and progressive women over the Islamisation programme. Hussain has argued that MRD needed to find a way to unite these various demands, and its failure to do so was a reason for its lack of success during its initial phase.

It is the argument of this dissertation that such an argument is overstated. The MRD leadership was aware of the need for a clear objective and they chalked out their plan of action and deployed people to follow up these
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actions. Important dates of commemoration were marked for increasing pressure on the regime. The various demands of specific civil society groups fed into this, strengthening the movement as a whole. As it is, many powerful civil resistance movements have incorporated multiple demands under a single umbrella. The Civil Disobedience Movement led by Gandhi in 1930-31 was inaugurated, for example, with a list of eleven demands that were designed to appeal to a wide range of different groups in India. Gandhi then focused on breaking the salt laws, but his followers in various parts of India took up a range of grievances, including high land taxes and agrarian rents.\footnote{Arnold, Gandhi, pp. 144-51.} None of this weakened the movement – rather, the multiple issues that it catered for actually strengthened its power, bringing the British to the negotiating table in March 1931.

In Pakistan, a number of grievances were expressed through the movement. In the case of the lawyers, there was a strike by those in Lahore, Karachi, and Peshawar on 2 March 1981, in which they demanded the restoration of democracy.\footnote{The Muslim, 3 March 1981.} In response, the regime promulgated ‘Legal Practitioners (Amendment) Ordinance’ that prohibited Bar Associations and Bar Council members from ‘indulging in any political activity, directly or indirectly’ on 15 July 1982.\footnote{Amnesty International, ‘Pakistan Update (since January 1982-December 1982), December 1982, MSS.34/4/1/Pk 17, MRC, pp.2-3.} The lawyers responded by announcing a series of actions across the country. A boycotts of courts, and resignations from bar councils starting from 21 July. Two thousand lawyers attended an All Pakistan Lawyers Convention in Lahore on 7 October demanding the restoration of civil
liberties and immediate lifting of Martial Law, with transfer of power to elected representatives. This all related to key MRD demands. The convention established a National Coordinating Committee of Lawyers (NCCL) ‘to implement resolutions passed during the convention’. Students and workers afterwards joined them in the procession. Several lawyers were arrested between 8 and 19 October. 19 October 1983 was organised as a day of protest by lawyers and the Bar Associations were urged to hold their own meetings and processions defying MLRs. On that day, 100 lawyers protested in Karachi and ten were arrested. The Pakistan Bar Association issued a public statement that arrested lawyers should not defend themselves before the courts due to the lack of ‘facilities for justice’. Likewise, in the following year, lawyers participated in the call of MRD for civil disobedience, and many were arrested under MLR 33 and 53.

William L. Ritcher has argued that religious parties – mainly IJT and Anjuman-i-Tulbai-Islam (ATI) – were growing in popularity in educational institutions at this time. The argument in this dissertation is otherwise. While it might appear that Ritcher’s argument is validated by the fact that the Peoples’ Student Federation (PPP’s student wing) was defeated in students’ bodies’ election in Punjab and Sindh in December 1983, in the case of Punjab out of 275 seats, independent candidates stood first in winning forty per cent of

668 In KPK and Baluchistan, student unions were banned since early 1983.
the seats, whilst IJT and ATI took second and third place. PSF stood the fourth in line, winning eight presidential and vice-presidential seats. As it was, PSF backed independent candidates in places such as Lahore and Rawalpindi to avoid violent confrontations with the notorious ‘Black Eagle squad’, the weaponised group of IJT – Ghafoor Ahmed. Hence stating that the progressive students were severely defeated in these elections was only one side of the coin. Otherwise, the progressive students courageously fought the hooliganism of IJT and the oppression of the regime towards them. For example, the ordinance of 1981 that authorised tighter control by the regime over educational institutions, led to countrywide protests and strikes. Along lines similar to the lawyers, progressive students came together and established Students Action Committee (SAC).

Amnesty International reported of the regime’s support to IJT by providing evidence that twenty seven IJT’s students were arrested in Lahore in March 1984 but set free on 4 June 1984. By contrast, three students detained since March 1981 – Imdad Hussain, Sher Muhammad and Mohammad Khan — were sentenced to 7 years imprisonment and 10 lashes by the Special Military Court in Hyderabad in November 1982. Responding to the peaceful protests and strikes, the government gradually banned student unions. However, the ban on the student union later backfired as JI opposed it strongly and made it a

---

671 National Student Organisation, National Student Federation, People’s Student Federation and various groups of Balochistan Student Organisation.
672 *Amnesty International* ‘The alleged Torture and Ill-Treatment of Students in Police Custody’, 15 June 1984, MSS 34/4/1/Pk 26. MRC.
reason for joining the struggle of MRD at a later stage, as discussed in the next chapter.

Eleven major trade union federations formed an alliance to struggle jointly for the rights of workers on 2 August 1983. Amongst the eleven point manifesto – price-control, fixation of minimum, inflation-related wages, jobless allowances, end of feudalism, and land reforms – the chief demand was for the restoration of the 1973 constitution and fundamental rights.674

Progressive women were involved in a fight against the discriminatory ‘Islamisation’ process. This was initiated by the Pakistan Women Lawyers’ Association and it culminated in the establishment of the Women Action Front (WAF) in 1983. There was resistance to the Hudood Ordinances and the new Law of Evidence, both of which made women submissive to the regime as well as to the patriarchal society at large. Besides these campaigns, some women associated with MRD spread the MRD’s message at an international level as well.675 These women’s organisations represented, however, a relatively small elite, and they failed to bring out the mass of women into the streets.

**Sympathy in the Army:**

The demand for the restoration of democracy received support from some members of the armed forces. Seventeen officers, civil and military, and a lawyer were tried by the regime on an allegation that they were plotting to mount a coup.676 One of the convicted soldiers, F.M. Shahzad (Sq. Leader-Air
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676 Lt. Colonel (Col.) Khalid Mahmood; Lt. Col. M Iqbal; Squadron (Sq.) Leader, Tahir Maqsood; Sq Leader M. Ismail; Major M. Sadiq; Major Akber Niazi; Lt Col M Akhter Shirazi; Sq Leader Shehzad Khan; Sq leader Karim Khan; Major Nisar Hussain; Major Abdul Qayyum; Major Aftab Ahmed; Major
Force) denied that there was any such plot, and that they had rather launched a campaign that they called *Soldiers for the Restoration of Democracy* (SRD). Historians have been either silent about this episode or have treated it according to the official line of that time. The regime claimed that information was received of a plot by soldiers to stage a coup, and Operation Galaxy was mounted near Indian border to arrest the culprits. They were prosecuted under three charges — waging war against Pakistan with the help of India, conspiring to overthrow the ‘legal’ government of the country, and concealing information about the conspiracy. The resulting trial was called Attock Conspiracy Case-II. 17 were tried, while five of those arrested became approvers who testified for the prosecution after being subjected to torture. Amnesty International reported that ‘the officers were subject to torture to confess their crime by stuffing chillies into their anus, electric shocks, strapped

---


Rizvi, for example, highlighted their arrest in 1984 at the Indian border while attempting to smuggle in weapons with the purpose of overthrowing Zia’s regime. Rizvi, *The Military and Politics in Pakistan*, p.241.

Jabbar Mirza, ‘Pakistan k Tarikhi Operations’, *Daily Jang*, 20 June 2014. F.M.Shahzad claimed that they were accused falsely of smuggling arms. It was alleged that Major Nisar Bukhari, Major Sadiq and Sq.Leader Tahir, were caught at Nawan Kot, an area near the Indian border in Kasur District, with one maund of Gold and 40 maunds of silver besides arms. They were alleged to be involved in an illegal arms and goods trade. F.M.Shahzad, interview.

The case against 17 military officers began on 19 January 1985 in Attock fort, on the charge of Section 121(A) of PPC, ‘with conspiracy to wage or attempt to wage war or abet waging war against Pakistan, through planning the kidnap of the President and planning assassinations and bombings, and Section 124A with Section 120B of PPC with sedition, in holding meetings and publishing seditious literature in an attempt to excite disaffection against the government. And Under 123 of PPC with concealing with intent to facilitate design to wage war.’ The 17 were convicted. Two, DIG Mian Zaheer and Inspector Riasat Ali, were from the police; Raza Kazim, an advocate, was a civilian while thirteen were from the army and one from the Air force. F.M.Shahzad, interview. Asia Times Online, 22 December 2004, accessed on 12-12-2012 at [http://www.atimes.com/atimes/South_Asia/FL22Df03.html]
to blocks of ice, burned with cigarettes, beaten, deprived of food and kept in shackles...”

In an interview, Shahzad told me one of their grievances was that prices of basic commodities had increased in the border areas near Afghanistan due to the UN dispersing dollars and expensive items of daily use to Afghan refugees. These Afghans would sell these goods in exchange for basic commodities. The local population held the army responsible for this problem. He said that although political parties were already agitating publicly for democracy, the hatred among civilians, friends and shopkeepers against the armed forces was the major reason to initiate the campaign within their own rank and file for the revival of democracy under Major Aftab, who was the main coordinator. The objective was the same as that of the opposition political parties, to bring democracy back in the country and get the army back to its barracks. According to him, their method was neither to join the political agitation nor to help them, but to educate and create awareness among the forces about the emergence of discontent against them by the general public. Organising talks, lobbying with their seniors and spreading the message through pamphlets and word of mouth for supporting the cause of civilian rule were some of their activities. Such activities were never intended to provoke an army coup, but were merely to persuade the army to support the restoration of democracy. In Shahzad’s opinion, Ghulam Mustafa Khar and Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi were used by the army to give credence to the allegation that they were working with India to overthrow the regime, and also as a means to make MRD

682 He was posted in Kohat, near Peshawar (KPK) at Inter-Services Selection Board (ISSB), where the candidates are examined before recruiting them in the Pakistan Army. F.M.Shahzad, interview.
appear to be working with traitors.\textsuperscript{683} This episode brought out the psychological impact of Zia’s unpopular rule on many lower officers and officials who were stigmatised and hated for their association with the regime. Shahzad also stated that BB, after being sworn in as Prime Minister in December 1988, immediately ordered their release.

\textbf{Al-Zulfiqar and PPP’s relationship:}

Besides the nonviolent struggle, a violent movement was launched to remove General Zia, which was named Al-Zulfiqar, and was operated by ZAB’s two sons – Murtaza Bhutto and Shahnwaz Bhutto. This dissertation not only analyses the movement but it produces new evidence that the formation of Al-Zulfiqar was executed after a green signal from PPP’s high command vis-à-vis Nusrat Bhutto and BB, which has otherwise been denied or left ambiguous.\textsuperscript{684}

During the late 1960s and 1970s a rhetoric of violent revolution became fashionable in progressive circles all over the world, with invocations of Mao, Castro, Che Guevara, and Ho Chi Minh.\textsuperscript{685} This had its impact in Pakistan, particularly among young members of the elite who had embraced leftist doctrines.\textsuperscript{686} Some radical young PPP activists decided to launch an armed struggle after the Lahore High Court sentenced ZAB to death. The main ones

\textsuperscript{683} Khar while in exile in England, had stated that he would return to Pakistan on an Indian Tank and he confessed of having relationship with SRD. Shahzad rejected his statement and accused him of being planted by the military junta.


\textsuperscript{685} On this, see Arendt, Hannah (1970), \textit{On Violence} (San Diego, Harcourt Brace Jovanovich), pp. 11-12, 19-21.

were Maulana Kausar Shah, a PPP worker from Lahore, Nadeem F. Paracha, and Agha Muhammad Waseem and they have subsequently provided details of the formative phase of such work. In 1978, they requested Colonel Muammar Qaddafi of Libya, a bosom friend of ZAB, for his support for a campaign of armed resistance to General Zia-ul-Haq. Agreeing to the proposal, Col. Gaddafi asked them to bring ZAB’s sons on board. At the time they were leading peaceful protests in London and Washington for their father’s release, and without their involvement Gaddafi was unwilling to play a very active role. He agreed however to allow the young radicals to come to Libya for training in armed struggle. The United Arab Emirates (UAE), another fast friend of ZAB, funded the People’s Liberation Army (PLA) as a Marxist guerrilla group and aided the establishment of Kabul camp base. Noor Muhammad Tarakai, a die-hard socialist, paved the way in Kabul for this organisation and establish its main station at Ali Mardan, an industrial area of the city. Libya, Syria, KHAD (Russian Intelligence agency through Kabul), bank robberies in Sindh, PIA labour unions, and Banks were the subsequent financers of the organisation.
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687 Maulana Kausar Shah was already involved in sabotage of railway tracks. Agha Muhammad Waseem, Interview, 1-12-2012, via Skype from Sweden.
689 Agha Muhammad Waseem, Interview.
690 Those who visited Libya for training were arrested on their return, and the case against them was popularly known as the Libya Conspiracy Case. Mushtaq Lasharie, Interview, Muhammad Agha Waseem, Interview, and See, for example, on Libya Conspiracy case Bukhari, Ye Bazi Ishq Ki Bazi hai, pp.85-107.
694 Abdul Aziz Menon, President of United Bank Limited, Usman Ghani of (Muslim Commercial Bank, and Habib Junejo of Habib Bank’s union presidents. Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.
India provided transit facility to Al-Zulfiqar members to travel to and from Kabul and organised the training of a few men close to the border with Sindh.\textsuperscript{695}

After ZAB’s execution, the PPP high command decided to launch a militant struggle.\textsuperscript{696} BB, in her book, blamed the circumstances for driving them to this.\textsuperscript{697} Strategy in this respect was discussed at the central executive’s meeting on the forty-days death anniversary of ZAB in Larkana.\textsuperscript{698} Nusrat Bhutto, referred to the fourteen underground militant organisations and stated ‘if we needed we can contact them’. She tried to bring other political parties on board, but failed.\textsuperscript{699} ZAB’s two sons Murtaza and Shahnawaz had been campaigning in England for the reprieve of their father. Shahnawaz had studied in France – a hotbed of radical thought at that time – and then gone on to Oxford. He had been impressed by romantic forms of violence through his reading of suspense novels as a hobby since childhood. After the execution of their father, they decided to join up with the armed struggle of the young PLA radicals based in Kabul. Shahnawaz secretly joined a Palestinian camp for training and coaching in armed struggle, where he spent one and a half months.\textsuperscript{700} The two sons then went to Kabul and took over the leadership of the organisation in June 1979 and renamed it as the Al-Zulfiqar Organisation


\textsuperscript{697} Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.283.


\textsuperscript{699} Sardar Abdul Qayum stated of her request was ‘we neither want any violent politics nor contacting with the underground organisations’. Shakir, MRD, p.43.

\textsuperscript{700} Benazir Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p. 238; Bhatti, Bhutto ka Beta, pp.12, 34.
The name has a double meaning – in Arabic it is associated with the celebrated sword captured by the Prophet Muhammad (s.a.w) in one of his early battles and it symbolises Islamic justice and militancy; and secondly, it refers to the name of Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto. Murtaza Bhutto was the head of the organisation, whereas Shahnawaz was said to be its operational head. Karachi, Lahore, and exiled PPP workers were the main recruiting ground. The CIA reported that there were 1600 to 1800 members with varying degrees of commitment to the cause. Despite heavy security at Pakistani airports since July 1978, the biggest achievement of AZO was the hijacking of Pakistan International Airline (PIA) flight 326 from Karachi to Peshawar on 1 March 1981 by Salam-Ullah Tipu along with his two aides. The objective was to barter the release of 55 political prisoners with 148 hostage passengers. The pilot was forced to fly to Kabul, and Murtaza Bhutto came to the airport there and declared the action to be a triumph in a press statement. The flight was then forced to go on to Damascus. The regime did not accept the hijacker’s demands until they killed Tariq Rahim, a Pakistani army officer who the
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701 Muhammad Agha Waseem, interview. He was awarded Medal of Democracy by PPP in August 1979. Daily Musawat, 30 August 1979. See, for example, Anwer, The Terrorist Prince; Bukhari, ye bazi ishq ki bazi hai, Butt, Kai Soolian sare rah thin.

702 Masroor Ahsan, Manzar Alam, (recruiters from Karachi), Mushtaq Lashari, interview. Qayyum Nizami, interview.

703 Major Imtiaz Ali, a former Military Secretary to ZAB, and PPP student activist from Faisalabad, Raja Muhammad Anwer (currently is the Advisor of Punjab Education Board under PML-N government). Cited in Viewpoint, V (4), 2 September 1979, p.11. Qayyum Nizami, interview, 26 February 2012, Lahore.


705 Viewpoint, IV(50), 22 July 1979, p.5.


707 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.174. Agha Muhammad Waseem, Farkhanda Bukhari, Asif Butt, interviews. The last two were among the exchanged prisoners.

hijackers wrongly believed was the son of General Rahimuddin Khan, an important member of Zia’s martial law regime. Zia then caved in and released a number of PPP activists. On 15 March, the remaining passengers and flight crew were set free at Damascus airport.

In public, the PPP denied any relationship between BB and Nusrat Bhutto and the hijackers, and this is repeated in histories of this period, including in the memoirs of BB and others. BB mentions an argument she had with Murtaza on adopting violent methods to remove Zia. In response to the hijacking, she made an ambiguous statement ‘All hijacking is bad, whether it is a
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709 See, for example, Ian Talbot, Pakistan: Modern History; Rizvi, The Military and Politics in Pakistan; Shakir, MRD; Ghafoor Ahmed, General Zia K Akhri Dus Saal, Arif, Working with Zia, Hussain, Politics of Alliances in Pakistan; Kutty, Sixty Years of Exile; Belokrenitsky, and Moskalenko, A Political History of Pakistan. Ahmed, 'The Rebellion of 1983.

710 See, for example, Bhutto, Daughter of East, Bukhari, ye bazi ishq ki bazi hai, Butt, Kai Soolian sare rah thin.

711 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.282.
plane or a nation’. However, Mushtaq Lasharie stated that BB directly led the AZO-related activities in 1979-81 and that she knew of the hijacking plan. Only once she saw how it had affected the MRD and the democratic cause did she disassociate herself from AZO. She sent a coded message to her brothers ‘yahan sardi khatm hu gai, kumble ki zarorat nahi’ (winter has come to its end, so we do not need blankets anymore). She quit any involvement in AZO’s activities and disowned its members in public.

Peter Ackerman and Du Vall argue that when violence is deployed alongside a nonviolent movement, victory may be compromised or delayed. For example, when Danish groups used sabotage to slow Nazi exploitation, there were harsh reprisals. Those who threw stones and Molotov cocktails compromised the Palestinian intifada. In Chile, the Popular Democratic Front (MDP) and Revolutionary Left Movement (MIR), the hardliner leftist guerrilla group fighting the regime of General Pinochet, launched ten missiles in Santiago, the capital city in September 1985, few weeks after the Acuerda Nacional (National Accord), which undermined it badly. Kurt Schock argues that the anti-apartheid armed insurgency of the African National Congress (ANC) alienated many non-white supporters of the movement. In their statistical comparison of predominantly violent with nonviolent movements since the start of the twentieth century, Chenoweth, and Stephan argue that nonviolent
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712 ibid., p.169.
713 He was an employee in PIA, and was in contact with BB through Irshad Rao and Masroor Ahsan. Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.
714 Agha Muhammad Waseem, interview; Javed Iqbal Moazzam, Interview,
716 Ackerman, and Duvall, A Force More Powerful, p.495.
717 Ackerman, and Duvall, A Force More Powerful, pp.293-94.
718 Schock, Unarmed Insurrections, pp.158-162.
movements had a higher success-rate. However, not all scholars agree on this. For example, Peter Gelderloos, argues that a violent wing helps nonviolent struggles as it makes the latter appear relatively moderate, so that the regime is more prepared to negotiate with it.

In the case of Pakistan in 1981, the hijacking backfired badly on the movement in general. General Zia took it as a 'blessing' and stated 'hijackers cannot bring democracy'. The MRD uprising against the regime had been gaining popularity among the masses and many civil society groups had already joined the cause, as stated above. In the week following the hijacking, one thousand leaders, as reported by Amnesty International, were rounded up and sentenced to rigorous imprisonment. Despite the ruthless torture of those arrested, they did not provide any evidence of the involvement of either Bhutto ladies in the act. The alliance cancelled the demonstration planned for 23 March. Sardar Abdul Qayyum and two other parties quit the alliance in protest, stating that the Bhutto ladies believed in the 'politics of revenge'. Even pro-movement elements in the army 'pulled strongly behind Zia and strengthened
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719 See, for example, Chenoweth, and Stephan, *Why Civil resistance works*.
722 Hussain, *Politics of Alliances in Pakistan*, p.132. Indeed, many opponents believed that it was engineered by General Zia’s regime itself to excuse his actions in front of the people within and outside of the country. However, I interviewed some of the Al-Zulfqar members mentioned here; and they proudly declared that they alone took the initiative in the hijacking.
his position.\textsuperscript{726} The moderate group in PPP openly started defying the policy of the radicals in the party, and called on the ladies to resign their leadership and leave the country.\textsuperscript{727} In short, it led to a hiatus for the movement between 1981 and 1983. It undermined all that MRD had been working for, allowing the regime to regain the initiative.

**MRD Failure and Success 1981-84**

**Gradualist Democracy:**

Stephen Zunes has used the term ‘gradualist democracy’ in cases of movements that failed to bring down a dictator immediately, but despite this paved the way for eventual democratization.\textsuperscript{728} Existing histories see the MRD as a failure as it did not achieve its major objectives while it was at its height – namely the end of martial law, holding of free and fair election within three months and transfer of power to the elected representative under the Constitution of 1973. The martial law regime continued and the restoration of democracy seemed as far away as ever. A number of reasons can be underlined for this failure.

Concerned scholars\textsuperscript{729} and almost all those engaged in the movement who were interviewed highlighted as a major reason the absence of fervour and


\textsuperscript{728} Stephen Zunes presented this argument in a seminar, attended via webinar on 31 Mach 2015 from Washington DC.

commitment to the cause in Punjab.\textsuperscript{730} Shakir, quoting Anwer Abbas, stated if all those who took part had been from Punjab alone, the outcome of the movement would have been different.\textsuperscript{731} Not only was Punjab the home of seventy per cent of Pakistan army personnel,\textsuperscript{732} but also Zia, being an immigrant from East Punjab was the first Punjabi ruler.\textsuperscript{733} Likewise, Talbot argues that Punjab was the largest receiver of remittances from Middle East labourers, and the chief beneficiary of economic aid in lieu of Pakistan’s status as a front-line ally in the war in Afghanistan.\textsuperscript{734} There is no doubt that Punjab is the driving force in the life of Pakistan. Analysis by US diplomats carried out during Zia time, argued that the Pakistan Army was not only the controlling authority in the country, but that its power rested above all from the support of conservative social groups in Punjab. It further stated that ‘no government is likely to long survive if it ignores either’s opinion.’\textsuperscript{735} When the defence and stability of the country and the interest of the conservative groups in Punjab was secured, and economic prosperity continued, all regimes (civilian or military) could be confident that they would retain power.\textsuperscript{736}

In their work on civil resistance, Chenoweth and Stephan give great significance to the degree of support a movement enjoys from the population as

\textsuperscript{731} Anwer Abbas Naqvi, cited in Ilyas Shakir, \textit{MRD}, p.104.
\textsuperscript{732} Cohen, \textit{The Pakistani Army} (Berkeley: University of California, 1984), pp. 44-45.
\textsuperscript{736} Robert M. Gates (Deputy Director for Intelligence), ‘Pakistan: Scenario for Dramatic Political Change’, \textit{Directorate of Intelligence}, 7 March 1984, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP89B00423R000100030045-3. CREST, NARA, College Park, MD.
a whole. They find that when about 1.5 per cent or more of the population participated in a resistance movement, the success-rate was eighty per cent or over. Unfortunately, no accurate figures are available of this type for MRD. Historians have struggled to appraise any such figures, even at the regional level, and the obstacles to doing so are formidable due to the strict press and publication ordinance. According to the Census of 1981, Sindh made up almost twenty-two per cent of the Pakistani population. Much of this population in Sindh was rural. A major chunk of the population of every district of rural Sindh, from Sukker to Badin, was actively on the streets in support of MRD at one point or another, with a lower degree of support coming from the people of Karachi and Punjab. The ruthless reaction by regime in rural Sindh that has already been mentioned provides evidence of this. Thus, well over one-and-a-half percent of the population of Pakistan was mobilised in support of the movement. Yet, the regime was not toppled. Against this, a few killing of civilians by the police in Lahore on 9 April 1977 during the PNA movement was provided as a reason for the army to topple ZAB’ government. This dissertation thus questions Chenoweth and Stephan’s argument in the case of Pakistan in the 1980s. There, the overall percentage of the population that was mobilised was less significant than the relative importance of that population in a country as a whole.

This dissertation argues, however, that the support for the movement from Punjab has been greatly underestimated. Despite the top leaders being

---

based in Sindh, large numbers from this province, according to various Amnesty International reports, stood firm against the regime even during 1983 Civil Disobedience.\textsuperscript{739} Owing to the imprisonment of ZAB in the Punjab cities of Lahore and Rawalpindi, the province was a focus of the resistance. Amnesty International reported in 1981 that between 1977 and 1979 more than eighty percent of the participants in protests were from Punjab, mainly from Lahore and Rawalpindi.\textsuperscript{740} 150 journalists were arrested when they went on hunger strike in protest of the closure of the pro-PPP daily newspaper, \textit{Musawat}, in May 1978 and four of them were flogged – all from Punjab. Women,\textsuperscript{741} lawyers,\textsuperscript{742} and students\textsuperscript{743} all participated in Punjab. Several hundred to several thousand political prisoners were held at any one time between 1977 and 1981. Furthermore, six of those who committed suicide in protest at the execution of ZAB between March 1978 and April 1979 were from Punjab, and only one – Abdul Aziz – from Sukker in Sindh. Among nine political workers executed by the regime, four were Punjabi, while two were settlers in Karachi from KPK and the rest were from Sindh. Of 192 people flogged, all except 18 times were from Punjab.\textsuperscript{744} The notorious Shahi Qila prison contained seventy-three prisoners including seven women, of whom ninety-five percent were from Punjab. Dr.

\textsuperscript{739} \textit{Amnesty International}, ‘Arrests, Imprisonment and Flogging in Pakistan (August-September 1983)’, MSS 34/4/1/Pk 20, MRC; \textit{Amnesty International}, ‘Widespread arrest in Pakistan’, MSS 34/4/1/Pk 19, MRC; \textit{Amnesty International}, ‘Arrest of Lawyers in Pakistan’, MSS 34/4/1/Pk 23, MRC.


\textsuperscript{741} Shahida Jabeen, Nasira Shaukat (wife of Rana Shaukat Federal Minister during ZAB government), Zubeda Malik, Aman Pathani from Sanawan-Lahore, Naheed Saeed from Multan Road-Lahore, Sardaran Begum

\textsuperscript{742} Viewpoint, IX (3), 25 August 1983, p. 12.

\textsuperscript{743} Special Report on ‘Pakistan: Human Rights Violation and Decline of the Rule of Law’, \textit{Amnesty International}, MRC, University of Warwick, MSS34/4/1/Pk14, pp.21.

Aslam Khan Naru, who was arrested on 24 February 1981 and spent fifteen months in solitary confinement in Shahi Qila – the longest such spell recorded for such confinement – was also from Punjab. The figures for those arrested who were from Punjab over this entire period are impressive. Likewise, the majority of the exiled workers were from urban centres of Punjab such as Lahore, Rawalpindi, Sialkot, and Gujranwala, and they continued struggling against the regime when abroad. We shall return to these exiles in Chapter 4. Besides contributing handsome support to the nonviolent movement, Punjab was to the fore also in the violent activities of AZO. Agha Muhammad Waseem and Asif Butt, who were guerrillas of AZO, told me that among 250 commanders of the organisation, 186 were from Punjab, whilst 40-50 were from KPK and FATA (Federally Administered Tribal Area), and 30-35 from Sindh. In the hostage-exchange deal, the majority of those political prisoners released were

745 For example, between 5-10 January 1981, thirty PPP activists from Lahore were arrested. Special Report on ‘Pakistan: Human Rights Violation and Decline of the Rule of Law’, May 1981, Amnesty International, MSS34/4/1/Pk14, MRC, p.21. On 24-25 February 1981, one hundred second-tier leadership was arrested in Punjab including from Lahore, Rawalpindi and other big cities. Amnesty International, ‘Special Report on Pakistan: Human Rights Violation and Decline of the Rule of Law’, May 1981, MSS34/4/1/Pk14, MRC, p.22. Khawja Khairuddin, Sheikh Rasheed, Nawabzada Nasrullah, who were MRD leaders from Punjab, were placed under house arrested or exiled from Punjab. Punjab MRD Secretary Rao Irshad along with five others were arrested on 19 December 1982. Sixteen prominent MRD leaders from Punjab including Farooq Legari (Secretary General of PPP), Rana Shaukat Mahmood (Secretary MRD) Major Ejaz Ahmad (Punjab President ML Khairuddin) Dr. Israr Shah, Student leader, Suleman Khokar and Abdul Qayyum (TI), Anjum Rashid (QMA), and second tier of leadership like Jahangir Badar, Aabid Hassan Minto, Begum Mehnaz Rafi mainly from Lahore were also put behind bars before the launching of civil disobedience movement in Punjab, cited in Viewpoint, IX(2), 18 August 1983, pp. 13-14.

746 Qayyum Nizami in Canada and USA, Sardar Mazhar Ali, Mian Iqbal, Mukhtar Rana, Khadim Nasir, Mushaq Lasharie, Ali Jaffar Zaidi, Shahid Nadeem, Prof. Amin Mughal, Qayyum Butt, Rao Raza Hashim in UK, Qayyum Nizami, Shahid Nadeem, Mushaq Lashari, Mian Muhammad Iqbal, interviews); Likewise, Farooq Tariq, Tanvir from Faisalabad, Ayub Goraya (those four who carried the banner ‘Accelerate the Struggle in Pakistan against the Zia-ul-Haq dictatorship in the hockey stadium Amsterdam during Pakistan versus Netherland match, in June 1982) were a few names in a long list. All were from Punjab. Nusrat Bhutto’s permission to travel abroad for her medical treatment in November 1982 was as a result of the untiring efforts of the exiled workers of PPP, cited in The Struggle, the exiled PPP’s workers journal founded in 1980-81, published from the Netherlands, UK, and Belgium, No.7, January 1983, 601/R/23/19, MRC.
Punjabis. Most Punjabi activists refused, however, to join this militant movement.\textsuperscript{747}

After the hijacking, six thousand political workers were arrested within three weeks, a large number of whom were from Punjab. The movement then lost its intensity in Punjab, with Sindh becoming the epicentre thereafter. Despite this, many Punjab activists came forward in the \textit{Jail Bharo Tahreek} (Voluntary Courting Arrest Campaign) 1983, including from smaller cities, and were incarcerated by the regime.\textsuperscript{748} When Sindhi villages were attack by air force planes and shot at and bombed during this campaign, there was not however any significant protest in sympathy in Punjab. However, the claim made by Aijaz Ahmed, and Belokrenistsky and Moskalenko that the upsurge in Sindh at this time was fuelled by secessionist sentiments and that the Pakistan army – still smarting from the loss of East Pakistan – crushed it so ruthlessly because of this is misplaced.\textsuperscript{749} As Lawrence Ziring has shown, none of the political parties involved in the civil resistance in Sindh at that time were

\textsuperscript{747} Agha Muhammad Waseem and Asif Butt, interviews.
\textsuperscript{748} On 10 August 1983, four persons including secretary MRD-Multan from Multan and Five MRD leaders from Pakpattan were detained even before the protest was formally launched for distributing the pamphlet ‘Lahore Chalo’ (let’s go to Lahore). \textit{Viewpoint}, IX(2), 18 August 1983, p.16. Besides a big show of strength at Quaid-i-Azam Mazar, Karachi on 14 August 1983, there were protests in Lahore at Lakshmi Chowk and Lohari Gate. Volunteers courted arrest there, and also in the cities of Gujranwala, Sargodha, Bahawalpur, D.G.Khan, Multan and Faisalabad. In its issue of 25 August 1983 \textit{Viewpoint}, gave the following numbers of the arrest for the previous few days. On 15 August: 13 leaders were arrested including Aitzaz Ahsan (TI) from Lahore. On 16 August: 11 were arrested. 17 August: Syed Imtiaz Ali Shah President PNP, 18 August: Syed Manzoor Gillani (TI), 19 August, despite heavy rain and walking through the streets knee-deep water, Aslam Gordaspuri and Ch. Liaqat Hussain courted arrest at Lakshmi Chowk. And SMC No.2 sentenced twelve persons under MLRs 13 and 33 to one-year rigorous imprisonment. Federal Information Secretary presented the data on arrested persons to the Federal Cabinet on 21 August, and said that seven hundred arrests were made in total since 14 August, composed of 117 from Punjab, 335 from Sindh, 209 from KPK and 54 from Balochistan. \textit{Viewpoint}, IX (3), 25 August 1983, p. 33.

secessionist.\textsuperscript{750} Only later did such parties emerge – namely Jiye Sindh in 1985\textsuperscript{751} and the Sindh, Pukhtoon, Baluch Front (SPBF) in 1986. These were established to fight the economic disparity between the smaller provinces and Punjab.\textsuperscript{752} According to a CIA report, Zia manipulated the ‘Jiye Sindhudesh’ slogan in an attempt to divide and rule the country.\textsuperscript{753} Zia, visited the home of G.M.Syed, the Jiye Sindh leader, and supported him as a counter strategy to PPP in rural Sindh. Syed in turn tried to manipulate this support to accelerate the separation process of Sindh from Pakistan.\textsuperscript{754} ZAB, BB and PPP never used any Sindhi separatist slogans, fighting only in the name of Pakistan. Even, after the assassination of BB in the Punjab city of Rawalpindi, her husband and successor Asif Ali Zardari ordered PPP partisans to refrain from any Sindhu desh slogans and cry only ‘Pakistan Khappe’ (long live Pakistan).

The outbreak of violence in Sindh in 1983 damaged the movement in Punjab, and divided its MRD leaders. TI Acting President, Mr. Fazal Husain Samra said that ‘violence would be fatal for the passive resistance’.\textsuperscript{755} Sympathisers in other provinces were similarly put off, so that the movement became more obviously a regional one after this. On top of it, as Burki has

\begin{footnotesize}
\begin{enumerate}
\item Dr. Hameeda Khoro was appointed Chairperson of the movement. G.M.Syed was amongst few who raised the issue of Sindhudesh. Mahmood Mirza, \textit{Aaj Ka Sindh}, pp.71-74.
\item They argued that the judges, who sentenced ZAB to death in the larger bench hearing, were Punjabis and those who were against of the verdict, were non-Punjabis. Sindh shared only two per cent in the armed forces while; local Sindhis ran five hundred out of two thousand industrial units in the province. Talbot, \textit{Pakistan: A Modern History}, 249; Omer Noman, \textit{Pakistan and Zia: era and legacy}, p. 53 also mentioned the imbalance division of resources between Sindh and Punjab. See also, for example, Shahid Javed Burki, ‘Zia’s eleven years’, in S.J.Burki, and Craig Baxter (eds.), \textit{Pakistan under the military}; Sayeed,‘Pakistan in 1983’, pp.219-228. Though, K.M.Arif denied this disparity by saying sixty per cent of industrial units were set up in Sindh, cited in Arif, \textit{Working with Zia}, p. 313.
\item Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Zia’s Divided Opposition’, March 1984, p.1.
\end{enumerate}
\end{footnotesize}
argued, Indira Gandhi’s statement that ‘Indians would support all democratic movements in Pakistan’, the organisation of an International Sindhi Conference in New Delhi under Congress’s auspices, and Moscow’s hue and cry in favour of MRD’s demands through its media all fed into Zia’s propaganda that the movement threatened the security and national integrity of Pakistan and was the interest of its main enemy, India.\textsuperscript{756} Faced with all this, the MRD leadership including Nusrat Bhutto had to call off the movement before it had achieved its goals.

One popular argument on the Punjab’s relative lack of response to the protest in 1983 has been that there was good economic growth there and as a result satisfaction with Zia’s rule.\textsuperscript{757} An influx of remittances from 1.5 billion workers sending $25 billion between 1975 and 1985, an increase of exports by 16.7 percent, and a growth rate of 8.5 percent in 1984-85 with $390 per capita increase, are some of the figures presented in support of this argument.\textsuperscript{758} Shrewdly, Zia’s inclusion of economic heavyweights into the Federal cabinet built his ties with the economically dominant class, so that they were not attracted to MRD.\textsuperscript{759} Nonetheless, besides the economic growth, the country was laden with a ‘burgeoning foreign debt, decreasing overseas remittances,


\textsuperscript{759} Ali Ahmed Talpur (Defence), Hoti (Railways), Mahmoud Haroon (Interior), Ilahi Baksh Soomro (Industry), Ghulam Dastgir (Labour and Manpower), Raja ZafrulHaq(Information), Niaz Muhammad Arbab(Tourism), Ahmed, ‘The Rebellion of 1983’, p.37.
and the uncertain social consequences of the continuing privatization of the economy.\textsuperscript{760} \$200 million was spent on the Afghan refugees\textsuperscript{761} On top of it, expenditure on the military increased by fourteen times, which was a big burden on Pakistan's economy.\textsuperscript{762} The Zia regime failed to ensure that the mass of the people benefited much from all this. Talbot has argued that the low investment in human capital led the 'youth bulge' towards extremist politics.\textsuperscript{763}

Ahmed Rashid held that the skewed nature of the economic policy was a major cause of criminal activities in Sindh, where the economic situation was particularly dire. Even graduates became involved in crimes such as robbery and kidnapping for ransom.\textsuperscript{764} Therefore, the employed and working classes, as discussed briefly in Chapter 2, protested intermittently against the regime during this period.\textsuperscript{765} For instance, rallies of striking teachers and doctors took

\textsuperscript{761} The social and economic impact of the Afghan refugees was the major reason of the launching of Soldier for the restoration of democracy's movement, as aforementioned.
\textsuperscript{762} Cohen and Weinbaum, 'Pakistan in 1981', pp.142-43.
\textsuperscript{763} Ian Talbot, \textit{Pakistan}, p.117.
\textsuperscript{764} Quoting a graduate's testimony, Ahmed Rashid narrated 'Ghulam Husain, with a Master degree, who has been jobless for eight years, says some of his MA friends are dacoits.' The writer further revealed the figures that during 1986-88, five thousand kidnap cases and murdering of one thousand common persons and fifty policemen occurred in dacoit-related violence in Sindh. Ahmed Rashid, Unrest in Sindh, \textit{Viewpoint}, XIII (22), 14 August 1988, p.10.
\textsuperscript{765} The strikes and protests organised between 1979-83 by an immense numbers of unions and groups requires separate research, especially the Karachi Shipyard Workers' successful \textit{Satyagraha} for seventy-five days to reinstate their six-thousand employees. For example, All-Pakistan Banks Officers Federation's 'one-hour pen down strike' started in April 1979 and lasted ninety-one days, affecting the National Bank of Pakistan, United Bank Limited, Habib Bank Limited, Muslim Commercial Bank, and Allied Bank Limited. Cited in \textit{Viewpoint}, 6 May 1979, p.13. There was the All Pakistan Postal Employees Union ten days strike, \textit{Viewpoint}, 10 June 1979, p.14, the Pakistan Nurses Organisation 18-days strike, joined by Doctors, and Surgeons, \textit{Daily Musawat}, \textit{Viewpoint}, August 1979, the Karachi Shipyard workers seventy-five days long strike, \textit{Viewpoint}, June-September 1979, the \textit{Anjuman-i-Mulazimeen-i-Baldia} (Organisation of Town Council Employees) in Punjab, including small units of unions such as Rickshaw drivers(Karachi), the Sahiwal's women sweepers strike (Punjab), \textit{Viewpoint}, June 1979, and the Fruit and Vegetables Associations (Punjab) strike, \textit{Viewpoint}, August 1979. All these strikes were mainly due to the price-hikes, with demands for increases in their pay and packages.
place at various locations. In Lahore, 20,000 teachers carrying banners protested against price-hikes shouting ‘*Give us Bread, not Islam*’, which was similar to the slogan of the Lenin Shipyard workers in Poland ‘we want bread! Down with Gomulka’ (Władysław Gomułka). Moreover, USA’s military and economic direct aid to the Budget of Pakistan meant that the government placed less emphasis on the culture of tax-paying, which was to be a big problem for future governments of Pakistan. Taking all this evidence, it is hard to sustain an argument that the people of Punjab were soothed by any great economic prosperity. The real reasons for their stepping-back from the MRD protest in 1983 were political ones.

**Problems of Leadership and Organisation:**

The scholarship on civil resistance places a lot of emphasis on the importance of good leadership. In some cases this has come from a charismatic leader such as Gandhi, Martin Luther King, Nelson Mandela, or Aung San Suu Kyi. Nonetheless, in cases in which these leaders have been jailed, it is important that there be a dynamic subordinate leadership that can step in. Thus, although Nelson Mandela was imprisoned for twenty-seven years, the African National Congress (ANC) was able to generate a succession of subordinate leaders who maintained the strength of the anti-apartheid movement in South Africa, until it


eventually achieved its ends.\textsuperscript{769} In other cases there have been successful movements that lacked any obvious single leader of this sort. This was true of the movement by the mothers of the ‘disappeared’ in the Plaza de Mayo in Argentina, the students movement against Pinochet in Chile, the Solidarity Movement in Poland, the \textit{OTPOR} movement against Milošević in Serbia, the Colour Revolutions in Eastern Europe, and the youth movement of the Arab Spring in Egypt and Tunis. Such movements were not led by charismatic leaders but by coteries of leaders who generally adopted a low profile in the media while they directed the protest on the ground.\textsuperscript{770}

In South Asia, however, there has been a tendency to elevate particular charismatic figures, as discussed in Chapter 1. In the MRD, leadership was however dispersed and rotating. Leaders at all levels were arrested and jailed or put under house arrest, and thus removed from the scene.\textsuperscript{771} There was a lack of good leaders at the secondary and tertiary levels. Local people ended up by adopting their own methods of protest that came into conflict with the principles of the movement as a whole. This caused the movement to fracture. Thus, when Sindh turned to violence, Punjab disassociated with it. Eventually, the leaders failed to bring a ‘positive culmination’ to the movement at this juncture.\textsuperscript{772}

Aijaz Ahmed has advanced a further reason for the failure of the protest of 1983. He argues that discontented groups failed to unite properly, so that


\textsuperscript{770} Ackerman and Kruegler, \textit{Strategic Nonviolent Conflict}, p.27.

\textsuperscript{771} Nusrat Bhutto, Benazir Bhutto, Asghar Khan, Nasrullah Khan, and Wali Khan, were in jail from 1979 except a brief period of out of the imprisonment, in which they tried to mobilise people.

\textsuperscript{772} Rehman, \textit{Pakistan under Siege}, p.261.
Sindhi protested as a Sindhis, workers as workers, women as women, while students were interested only in their ‘corporate politics’. While this is all true, we have to seek a deeper reason for this state of affairs. The argument of this dissertation is that there could have been a unity of all these groups that would have fed into and strengthened the movement as a whole, but that the leadership required for this was lacking. The leadership was, rather, fractured by political rivalries and mutual mistrust. The individual ambitions for power of the leading politicians held back the return of democracy for several years. If the leaders had been more united, there might have been the sort of unity seen in the Students’ Movement against General Ayub Khan in 1967-8 and the Lawyers’ Movement against General Pervez Musharraf in 2007-9. This would have placed much greater pressure on the regime and greatly enhanced the chances for its success.

Internal differences, couple with the Pakistan People’s Party’s desire to be the largest and most powerful party in the coalition, all delayed the return of democracy in the country. In contrast to the unity that was forged among KOR’s diverse groups, or in the anti-Milošević movement in Serbia of 2000, the movement in Pakistan was undermined by internal strife in MRD and PPP, as well as refusal by PPP to follow MRD decisions. As an example of this internal strife, Farooq Leghari, the acting chairman of PPP at that time, stated that the party was prepared to participate in local elections in defiance of a collective

---

773 Aijaz Ahmed define the term in the Gramscian sense where each group stands for their own particular interest, even after a formation of one larger group. Ahmed, ‘The Rebellion of 1983’, p.33.
774 The first fourteen founding members were historians, sociologists, lawyers, writers, philosophers, and priests having their ideology on Catholic intellectuals, social democrats, and liberals. Ackerman and Kruegler, A Force More Powerful, p.127.
775 Danijela Nenadić and Nenad Belčevic, ‘Serbia-Nonviolent Struggle for Democracy’.
decision to boycott all election taken by MRD.\textsuperscript{776} NDP's Punjab President, Rao Mehroz Akhtar declared this ‘a conspiracy against the MRD mass movement’.\textsuperscript{777} The radical wing of the party reacted similarly.\textsuperscript{778} Although PPP rescinded the decision a week before the launching of the 14 August campaign, the party workers wasted crucial time in preparation for the election.\textsuperscript{779} Leghari had hardly helped his own cause when he made a demoralising statement comparing the 210 million rupees of the PNA election funds to the less than 2.1 million for MRD’s campaign.\textsuperscript{780} This was criticised harshly by his colleagues in PPP. US consulate correspondence disclosed that Nusrat Bhutto had advised Farooq Leghari to boycott the elections; which contradicts the claim of Leghari himself that the decision to participate was taken ‘on the advice of Begum Bhutto’.\textsuperscript{781} The consulate further notes how Zia-ul-Haq used his influence to put pressure on moderate and conservative politicians in the local areas to disassociate themselves from the election boycott.\textsuperscript{782}

Leghari’s attempt to fight the election, though abandoned, widened the gap between the radicals and the moderates of PPP in Punjab. 

\textsuperscript{777} Ibid., p.12.
\textsuperscript{778} Sheikh Rasheed had almost declared the boycott the election. PSF and labour unions come out against the party. Ibid., p.12.
\textsuperscript{779} The decision was followed by the rejection of 1200 nomination papers out of 1409 of PPP’s allied candidates in Baluchistan on the ground that they were associated with PPP. \textit{Viewpoint}, ‘Grapes are sour’, 11 August 1983, IX (1), p.12. The moderate Punjabis wanted to control the local development funds for funding grass roots activities, whereas the Sindhis, on the basis of the 1979 local bodies election, argued that they could hardly control such resources through such means. US Consulate General Lahore, ‘Pakistan: Zia’s Divided Opposition’, \textit{Directorate of Intelligence}, March 1984, p.8.
\textsuperscript{781} Farooq Leghari announced that the five out of twelve steering committee members present at the meeting held in Lahore on 15 July decided ‘on the advice of Begum Bhutto’, who, according to Leghari, held that taking part in the local elections would be preferable to doing nothing under the prevailing conditions. \textit{Viewpoint}, 28 July 1983, VIII (51), p.12.
to lower level by the acting heads, a twelve-member steering committee was set up to look into the matter, but it failed to achieve anything of significance.\textsuperscript{783} Some key party leaders who had organised the mobilisation of urban areas resigned.\textsuperscript{784} The house arrest of BB and the sickness-based exile of Nusrat Bhutto, left a further vacuum in the conduct of party work. Every major leader wanted to put the party crown on his head.\textsuperscript{785} Party workers aligned themselves with one leader or another, causing rival grouping to develop within the party. There was no democracy in the party, with even Zia criticising it for its lack of internal elections.\textsuperscript{786}

The role of the ninety-three year-old Baacha Khan in shaping events in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province (KPK) at this time has been ignored, except for a brief passing reference by Aijaz Ahmed in his discussion of the Afghan refugees factor, and the fear of USSR's invasion.\textsuperscript{787} Although the National Democratic Party (NDP) leader Begum Nasim Wali (who had made history in 1977 as the first woman to be elected from KPK province) had condemned the execution of ZAB as the revenge of the tribunal,\textsuperscript{788} Zia-ul-Haq had made friendly overture towards Baluchis and Pushtuns, including Baacha Khan,\textsuperscript{789} since the imposition

\textsuperscript{784} Malik Azmah Hayat Khan of Tumman, Malik Salim Iqbal of Talagang, Malik Muhammad Aslam of Shamsabad, Ex-Advisor to ZAB Malik Muhammad Hayat Khan of Tumman were among them. Ahmed, ‘The Rebellion of 1983’, p.37.  
\textsuperscript{785} Sheikh Rasheed Ahmed, known as the Father of Socialism, Ghulam Mustafa Khar, Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, and Generals Tikka Khan were in this category.  
\textsuperscript{786} Ahmed, ‘The Rebellion of 1983’, p.36.  
\textsuperscript{788} Begum Nasim Wali Khan, interview.  
\textsuperscript{789} From the start of martial law, Baacha Khan was given special respect by Zia-ul-Haq, and allowed free movement between the Durand and Radcliff Lines vis-à-vis Afghanistan and India respectively.
of martial law. NDP had, however, joined MRD. Baacha Khan held a controversial Press Conference on 12 August 1983, just two days before the launching of the civil disobedience movement, that gave an irreversible setback to the movement in the two provinces of KPK and Baluchistan. He appealed to Pukhtoons to reactivate their energies for a course of action ‘in the light of the Bannu Resolution of 1947’. Despite his inactivity in politics by then and his advanced age, his long-standing political struggle for the community in the form of Khudai Khidamatgar was still highly respected in these two provinces. At a time when the movement needed the sort of inspired leadership that he was remembered for, his intervention had a demoralising impact not only in KPK and Baluchistan, but for MRD as a whole. The NDP leaders Ghulam Ahmed Bilour and Abdul Khaliq resigned from MRD. Even though Bacha Khan’s son, and the head of NDP, Khan Wali Khan and Begum Naseem Wali Khan courted arrest in Peshawar, Baacha Khan’s statement kept many of those loyal to him away from the protest. In general, the protest had little impact in these two provinces.

External Support:
Chenoweth and Stephen highlighted the skewed behaviour of the international community towards nonviolent movements by revealing the fact that less than ten percent of nonviolent movements throughout the world have received

---

790 In reaction to the killing of Arbab Sikander Khalil, the former Governor of Khyber-Pakhtoonkhwa, on 7 March 1982, NDP led by Wali Khan became more militant. Wali Khan and Naseem Wali Khan organised a protest rally on 14 March 1982 at Yadgar Chowk in Peshawar, but the police disrupted the crowd by tear-gas and baton charges and arrested thirty-eight persons. Nawa-i-Waqt, 9 March 1982.

791 The Bannu Resolution was adopted in the wake of Pakistan’s creation in June 1947 to hold a referendum for the Pukhtoons to choose between Pakistan and Independence, instead of Pakistan and India as the British had intended. Khan Abdul Ghaffar Khan, Press Conference, Peshawar, cited in Viewpoint, 18 August 1983, IX(2), p.15.
material support from foreign governments in comparison to thirty-five percent of violent movements.\(^{792}\) This dissertation argues that this was the case also in Pakistan. Western governments poured money into the war in Afghanistan, and alongside it a vast amount of funding for the Pakistan Army. BB criticised the $525 million aid given annually for military and economic purposes to Pakistan during 1980s as a violation of the principle that 'No assistance may be provided [...] to the government of any country which engages [...] in gross violations of internationally recognised human rights, including torture [...] prolonged detention without charges'.\(^{793}\) Despite MRD’s appeal to link US$3.2 billion military and economic aid to Pakistan to the restoration of democracy,\(^{794}\) the MRD failed to attract international backing from the Western governments. It received some irregular support from the Soviet Union, Libya, and UAE.

The international political situation in Asia led to support being channelled mainly to the Zia regime. The anti-western revolutions in Iran (1978) and Afghanistan (1979) left the Western nations looking to Zia as an ally in the region.\(^{795}\) Incidents such as the shooting down of the scheduled airplane KAL-007 (Korean Air lines) by the Soviet Union without warning due to it entering their no-fly zone route on 1 September 1983 all added to the tensions. They had a vested interest in opposing any movement to dislodge Zia, as it was

---


\(^{794}\) *Amnesty International*, ‘Pakistan Special Action-Update’, no. 1, MSS.34/4/1/Pk 15, MRC, pp.2-3.

felt it might destabilise the region and bring a less dependable government to power in Pakistan. In an interview, Stephen Cohen stated that 'we (the Republicans), as well as Mr. Wolson, leader of the gang in Congress want to defeat Soviet Union. Pakistan's nuclear programme was troublesome but not central. And Pakistan's Army ruling in Pakistani politics itself was not central'.796 Instead, US benefited from 'the [Pakistan] Army's good relations with the United States' and it attempted to preserve its rule.797 CIA reports suggested that the radical element in PPP, mainly worked under direct command of Nusrat Bhutto, would have been a threat to Islamabad’s policy towards Afghanistan, and eventually to the US government, as it was feared that a PPP-led government would re-establish ties with the Soviet Union and India.798 Although, the Bhutto ladies had agreed to withdraw their accusation that the US had been involved in ZAB’s removal, US intelligence reports indicate that even if Zia been removed through civil resistance, the USA would still have pressed for him to be replaced by someone from the army.799 Washington’s geo-political interests in this region ensured that the US authorities were deaf and blind to the crimes against humanity committed in Pakistan.

From the perspective of the Arab world, which had once pleaded with Zia to spare ZAB’s life, the fear of expansion of Shia Islam after the Iranian revolution saw them change their stance and support Zia rather than the

796 Stephen P. Cohen, interview.
movement led by ZAB's daughter. Shia Islam was seen to challenge the Wahabism of the Al-Saud ruling house of Saudi Arabia. They favoured the autocratic rule of a Sunni General in Pakistan hoping it would keep the expansion of Shiaism in check. The gender of the two main PPP leaders counted against them in Wahabi circles, and also the Shia identity that was commonly attributed to Nusrat Bhutto. The religious parties in Pakistan, hitherto marginalised in the politics of the country, saw their chance to assert themselves in alliance with Zia. They supported the war against the 'atheist' Soviet Union in Afghanistan, and benefited from the financial largesse that was showered on them in support of this agenda.\textsuperscript{800}

**Conclusion**

Its critics claimed that MRD achieved little.\textsuperscript{801} Yet, despite all the repression and the setbacks, Zia did respond to it with some concessions – albeit small ones. Such concessions helped gradually to remove Zia's pillars of support. Zia's position up until 1983 was that Pakistan was not ready for any democracy at all. In that year, faced with the reinvigoration of the MRD movement, he set out a roadmap towards a gradual restoration of electoral politics.

Anticipating a strong response to the call of civil disobedience for 14 August 1983, General Zia declared a political roadmap on 12 August, just two days beforehand, in an attempt to defuse the agitation. He announced that there would be multi-tiers election in three stages. Within one-and-a-half years, there would be local elections to local bodies, and later on provincial and then

\textsuperscript{800} Rehman, *Pakistan under Siege*, p.261.

national elections. Following the recommendation of the Ansari Commission report, Zia announced these multi-tiers elections would be on a non-party basis. The pro-Zia parties, ML (Pagaro) and JI, welcomed the announcement and these conditions. However, MRD reminded the public of his previous broken promises of holding elections. The promise to hold elections in ‘ninety days’ that he made on seizing power in 1977 had been forgotten and there had instead been six years of dictatorship. They threatened to boycott the election if there was no preceding lifting of martial law. Though the political plan of 12 August made no mention of a referendum on his rule, the pressure of MRD drove General Zia to seek legitimacy both inside and beyond Pakistan by later holding a referendum in support of his policies and to give himself some constitutional standing.

Cohen commented on this that Zia was doing ‘enough’ to show them [USA] for democracy but less on the ground in Pakistan.

Ruthnam Indhurty and Ritcher argue that the declaration of 12 August 1983 was perhaps due to the pressure from MRD. Roedad Khan is more certain that Zia was forced into these concessions by the campaign of MRD, as it caused him anxieties about the legitimacy of his position and he came to believe that his rule could be stabilised by democratic concessions, however small.

After the declaration of 12 August, the next task of the MRD was to ensure that General Zia did not turn back from this roadmap and also at the same time lift

---

802 Arif, Working with Zia, p.313.
803 ibid., p.326.
804 Stephen P. Cohen, interview.
806 Rehman, Pakistan under Siege, p.261.
martial law. By the beginning of 1984, BB was in exile in London after her request to go there for ear surgery was accepted. While the opposition to Zia was now driven by others within Pakistan, she now set about winning international support for her cause. The next chapter analyses these developments.
Ch. 4. Decline of the Dictator’s Power 1984-86

After the sudden calling off of the civil disobedience movement in December 1983, MRD’s protests started declining without any clear announcement of any further programme, which suggested to their opponents that the alliance had gone into the political wilderness. Many political scientists concluded that the MRD had failed to achieve its objective and dissolved discreetly. For example, not a single protest was taken out by MRD against martial law on the eight anniversary of its imposition on 5 July 1984.\(^{807}\) On his release from jail in January 1984, Mazari, one of the core leaders of MRD, stated that the movement had failed.\(^{808}\) Despite this, the MRD did not die away, as we shall see in this chapter.

**Benazir Bhutto’s departure from Pakistan and the Struggle in Exile:**

Having been under house arrest since March 1981 (after the plane hijacking case), BB was allowed to leave the country for her ear treatment on 10 January 1984 after immense international pressure.\(^{809}\) Since late 1982, Nusrat Bhutto had already been in Europe for cancer treatment. Her departure was also through international pressure. Some of my exiled interviewees, Farkhanda Bukhari, and Shahid Nadeem\(^{810}\), told how they struggled for the release of Nusrat Bhutto on medical grounds. Even Indira Gandhi, besides writing a letter to General Zia, in her letter to President Reagan dated 28 October 1982

\(^{807}\) Ahmed, *General Zia K Akhri Dus Saal*, p.158.


\(^{809}\) It was not an exile, as she stated herself in her book. She was sent to London for an operation on her ear. However, the title of the chapter in the book suggests otherwise. Bhutto, *Daughter of the East*, pp.251-52.

\(^{810}\) Farkhanda Bukhari, interview; A memorandum was handed in to the Indian High Commission London requesting Indira Gandhi to use her office for the release of Nusrat Bhutto on humanitarian grounds, cited in Bukhari, *Ye Bazi Ishq Ki Bazi hai*, pp.147-49. Shahid Nadeem, interview.
requested his good office for her release. Her departure was kept secret until she had left Pakistan. On her arrival at Heathrow airport BB told journalists that she had not been sent into exile but had come to the UK for medical treatment. PPP’s sources denied that she had pleaded with Zia in this respect, though Zia stated otherwise. General (rtd) S.M. Abbasi, ex-Governor of Sindh, claimed that her departure was allowed after she had agreed that though she might struggle while abroad for democracy and seek help from foreign sympathisers, she would not criticise Zia or the armed forces directly.

He failed to provide any documentary evidence for this claim, though it is significant to note that BB refrained from criticism of the Pakistan army’s political role during her trip to USA right after her arrival in UK. She supported USA’s military aid to Pakistan instead. PPP and BB, though, kept denying any secret deal with Zia. The advantage to Zia was that he could rule without the presence of any strong internal opposition. It nonetheless allowed BB to promote the struggle for the restoration of democracy in Pakistan and expose

---


812 Farkhanda Bukhari, interview; also cited in Bukhari, Ye Bazi Ishq Ki Bazi hai, pp. 147-49.

813 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.252.


816 Mushtaq Lasharie, interview.

817 The Struggle, No.12, May-June 1984, 601/R/23/19, MRC.
the brutality of Zia’s regime.\textsuperscript{818} In this, BB was building on initiatives that had dated back to the campaign to save ZAB from execution. Little has been said about this campaign in the literature, though it was behind the release of BB and Nusrat Bhutto themselves. We shall start by saying more about it here.

On the day of the sentence of death on 18 March 1978, the Bhutto brothers — Mir Murtaza Bhutto and Shah Nawaz Bhutto — sped up their struggle to mobilise international actors and media for saving their father’s life. From their base next to the Pakistani High Commission in London they travelled to Iran, USA, UAE and Libya meeting government functionaries and requesting them to put pressure on the Zia regime to save their father.\textsuperscript{819} After the final decision of Supreme Court, the Bhutto brothers planned an international convention for 7 April 1979 to expose the flaws in the case against ZAB case, it however became a posthumous event.\textsuperscript{820} The Netherlands chapter of PPP workers organised a Bhutto day in Amsterdam on 19 August 1979 in which Mustafa Khar, Mir Murtaza Bhutto, Shamim Ahmad Khan, and Mukhtar Rana gave speeches demanding a return to democracy.\textsuperscript{821} After experiencing a cold response from the international community, and with the failure of the nonviolent campaign to save their father, the Bhutto brothers launched the violent Al-Zulfiqar movement, as mentioned in the previous chapter.

Practically underground after the many unsuccessful attempts to murder General Zia, the brothers took shelter in France. Tragically, Shah Nawaz Bhutto died in July 1985 whilst the Bhutto family were on holiday in Cannes. His death

\textsuperscript{818} Bhola, \textit{Benazir Bhutto}, p.18.
\textsuperscript{819} Bhatti, \textit{Bhutto Ka Beta}, p.13-14.
\textsuperscript{820} Ibid., p.15; \textit{Musawaat}, 2 August 1979.
\textsuperscript{821} \textit{Musawaat}, 20 August 1979.
was mourned widely in Pakistan, irrespective of people’s party affiliations. PPP workers put out condolence messages and held protest rallies around the country. Students were to the fore in this. The Zia regime tried to discredit him by claiming that he had died from acute alcohol poisoning. The Bhutto family countered this by alleging that it was a murder committed by agents of the Zia regime. A massive crowd turned up to receive his dead body in Pakistan. SIPAF called a complete strike and boycotted the educational institution in Sindh. In Lahore, despite warning from the college administration, and the regime, PSF (Pakistan Student Federation) summoned all Punjab students to a mourning session in Dyal Singh College with the hoisting of PPP’s flag along with a black flag over the building. Similarly events were held in Okara, Gujrat, Rawalpindi, Sialkot Bahawalnagar, Qasur and Multan including in the jails in these cities where PPP workers were imprisoned.

International groups campaigned with some success also. There was for example a strong demand for the release of Khalid Chaudhry, a Pakistani journalist from Lahore arrested in April 1978. Miss Mybret of Amnesty International, who was based in Sweden, established a Khalid Chaudhry Release Committee and the campaign was backed by some leading human rights activists in Germany, France and the UK. They contacted the Government of Pakistan and took the issue up with journalists in various countries. They also
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823 ibid., p. 53-56.
824 Khalid Chaudhry had married a German woman while studying in Germany, and her father was the second highest authority in German Church. This connection also helped in his release. Her wife is the same person who, with her Swedish friend, smuggled ZAB’s jail diary out of Pakistan that was later published with the title ‘If I am assassinated’. Khalid Chaudhry, interview.
825 Musawaat, 17 August 1979; Khalid Chaudhry, interview.
prepared a report on the persecution of journalists by the Zia regime over the previous two years. The committee included the cases of other journalists such as Hussain Naqi, and Mazhar Ali Khan. Due to this pressure, Chaudhry was kept in Shahi Qila jail for only two months and then released.

At the same time, the PPP workers held marches and the rallies in key European capitals. In Belgium, over eighty members marched through Brussels waving PPP flags. In London hundreds demonstrated outside the Pakistan embassy. In the Netherlands, there was a Black Day march by hundreds of protestors to the Pakistani embassy in The Hague in response to the detention of Qayum Butt for five months as an illegal immigrant.

Seeing so much pressure built by such tiny groups and organisations, the Zia regime sought to counter this through diplomatic channels. This extended to feeding false information to foreign governments so as to harass anti-Zia activists. For example, 2500 planned to demonstrate in Bonn in August 1982 to highlight Zia’s human rights abuses in Pakistan. Having failed to stop the demonstration, the Pakistani authorities misinformed the German police about their legal status in that country. Coaches taking them to Bonn were diverted to police stations and their right to be there was investigated. Only the production of a special order from a judge forced the authorities to allow the march and a rally addressed by Dr. Ghulam Hussain. The Dutch police were similarly fed false information about an alleged plot to hijack a PIA plane from Schiphol airport on 17 October 1981. 500 plain-clothed and heavily armed Dutch police raided houses in various cities in the Netherlands and arrested eighteen PPP

826 Musawaat, 3 August 1979.
828 ibid.
workers, who were stripped and interrogated on the charge of the plot a hijacking. Tanks ringed Schiphol Airport. Some members of the Dutch Labour Party were also held for questioning. An elderly Dutch woman and her husband were picked up and stripped naked in a police station in the Hague as well. Sixteen of them were soon set free because of a lack of any evidence from the Pakistan government, but two were kept in custody as they were found to be in the country illegally. They later sought political asylum on the advice of human rights activists, and thus avoided being deported. These people later sued the Dutch Government for damages of fl. 18 million (over £4 million).\textsuperscript{829} The exiled resisters failed however to save six people from being arrested and detained in Vienna, which was a real failure for their campaign.\textsuperscript{830}

The MRD established an office in London in 1981, and Ghulam Mustafa Khar was made President of the organisation in the UK.\textsuperscript{831} A PPP convention was held in London on 16-17 October 1981, with resolutions being passed for an end Zia’s dictatorship, permission to be granted for Nusrat Bhutto to travel abroad for medical treatment, and for party organisation elsewhere in Europe.\textsuperscript{832} After Khan Abdul Qayum’s resignation from MRD Pakistan in March, however, the Kashmiri diaspora quit the movement. Later on, the unpopularity of Khar, and rifts among the PPP’s overseas leadership weakened the British organisation.\textsuperscript{833} So, when BB arrived in London in 1984, she had to revive this body, which she managed to do quite quickly.

\textsuperscript{829} Hartal, No.1, April 1983, 601/R/23/19. MRC, p.10.
\textsuperscript{830} Rafiq Babar, Yaqoob Cheena, Ashraf Manawa.
\textsuperscript{831} Anwer Abbas Naqvi, cited in Shakir, MRD, pp.110-111.
\textsuperscript{832} The Struggle (England, Holland, and Belgium, January 1983), No. 7, 601/R/23/19, MRC.
\textsuperscript{833} Anwer Abbas Naqvi, cited in Shakir, MRD, pp.110-111.
Ackerman and Kruegler have observed that ‘just as generals need adequate supplies of bullets, boots, and bandages’, financial, human and infrastructural resources are crucial for a nonviolent movement.\(^{834}\) BB was well aware of this as she rebuilt the opposition to Zia in London. She rented a flat in the Barbican complex, which soon became the ‘de facto command center’ of the party for England and the units abroad.\(^{835}\) She established an efficient management structure, with political workers-cum-volunteers being assigned a range of responsibilities. She ensured that their communication system was effective, with a good-quality fax machine, phone-lines, and audio and video cassette players operated by young volunteers.\(^{836}\) Bashir Riaz, a journalist, acted as a spokesperson and disseminated information and arranged media interviews. The former information minister Naseem Ahmed was put in charge of the lobbying of British MPs to support their call for an end to British aid to the Zia regime.\(^{837}\) In 1985, PPP established a young wing of the party with the objective of providing ideological training in preparation for future party activities.\(^{838}\)

Despite this, publicity was never easy, as in those pre-internet times there was no easy way of disseminating a point of view through the mass media. Access to television channels and the national press was very hard to obtain. One way round this was to self-publish material that could then be distributed. *Pakistan Today*, for example, was written by Qayum Nizami in Ottawa and
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\(^{835}\) Outside of UK, Germany, Austria, Netherland, Switzerland, Denmark, Sweden, Canada, France, Australia, Saudia Arabia, Bahrain and Abu Dhabi. Bhutto, *Daughter of the East*, p.260.

\(^{836}\) Ackerman and Kruegler, *Strategic Nonviolent Conflict*, p.31.


\(^{838}\) Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne’, *Near Eastern and South Asia Office*, 21 November 1986, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, NARA, p.29.
photocopied for distribution among interested persons in North America.\textsuperscript{839} The magazine 'Amal (Action) was launched in London under the direct supervision of BB and sent to political workers and prisoners in Pakistan to keep their morale high.\textsuperscript{840} The regime managed to put obstacles in the way of printing of such magazines abroad. For example, the calligrapher of 'Amal suddenly quit the job on the instigation of Pakistani embassy in London. Nevertheless, the magazine continued to be published as the editor retained a pool of calligraphers to replace them in such an emergency.\textsuperscript{841}

In order to convincingly demonstrate the regime's brutality to the outside world, documentary evidence was necessary. So the party in exile established a secret network of sympathetic jail guards, policemen, post office workers, airline workers, and relatives of exiled activists who helped smuggle such evidence out of Pakistan to London, from where it was disseminated internationally.\textsuperscript{842}

BB stated that 'I decided to mount an international campaign to expose the regime's maltreatment of the 40,000 political prisoners still in jail in Pakistan.'\textsuperscript{843} She travelled extensively in the UK, Europe and North America demanding the release of political prisoners in Pakistan. She met parliamentarians, executive heads of governments, and leaders of non-governmental organisations. She strengthened and expanded the movement's

\textsuperscript{839} Qayyum Nizami, interview. 
\textsuperscript{840} Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p.264. 
\textsuperscript{841} Bashir Riaz, currently, Chairman Bhutto Legacy Research Foundation; Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p.265. 
\textsuperscript{842} Interviews with Aslam Khwaja (Karachi), Mushtaq Lasharie (London), Qayyum Nizami (Lahore); Bhutto, \textit{Daughter of the East}, p.262. 
\textsuperscript{843} ibid., p.255.
network of foreign offices. According to Qayyum Nizami, ex-Minister of Information:

BB made me representative of North America. I established party units in New York, Detroit, Montreal, Washington DC and Toronto and kept in contact with BB from London. [In] 1984, BB came to USA and I visited with her. I invited her to Canada, but [she] could not come due to the murder of Shahnawaz in the following year.\textsuperscript{844}

BB lobbied to save the lives of opposition activists sentenced to death by the Zia regime. She failed to achieve this in the cases of Nasser Baluch and Ayaz Samoo, who were executed in March and June 1985 despite strong international mobilisation against their sentences, with mercy letters, diplomatic pressure and Amnesty International pleas to Zia.\textsuperscript{845}

Two weeks after going into exile, BB travelled to the USA. The USA was the main international supporter of the Zia regime, providing financial aid while being blind to its abuses of human rights.\textsuperscript{846} Speaking before an audience of State and Defence department officials, members of Congress, former Ambassadors and members of the press at Carnegie Endowment for International Peace she stated: ‘We in Pakistan are confused and disappointed by the backing given to Zia’s illegitimate regime’.\textsuperscript{847} She recalled her participation in protests against the American involvement in Vietnam a decade before, and said that she was now in the USA to protest to them about another injustice, namely US support for a regime in Pakistan that was committing

\textsuperscript{844} Qayyum Nizami, Interview.
\textsuperscript{845} Bhutto,\textit{ Daughter of the East}, pp.274-77.
\textsuperscript{846} ibid.
\textsuperscript{847} ibid., p.259.
atrocities against its own people. BB found that US newspapers were eulogising General Zia as a 'benign dictator'.\footnote{Ibid.} She met as many influential politicians and persons as she could.\footnote{Meeting with Senator Claiborne Pell, Senator Edward Kennedy, Senator McGovern, Senator John Glenn, and Alan Granston, Peter Galbraith, Former Attorney General Ramsay Clark, Meetings with the top editors of the Time Magazine, cited in Butto, Daughter of the East, pp.256-59.} For example, she Directed the attention of US Congressman Solarz's to the execution of four political activists – Nasser Baloach, Essa Baloach, Malik Ayub, and Saifullah Khalid – for their alleged involvement in the hijacking of the PIA plane in 1981. He was unaware of the military's brutal policy against political activists, and he took immediate action after confirming the report from Amnesty International by sending a confidential telegram\footnote{From US Embassy London to Secretary of State Washington DC, ‘Possible Human Rights Violations in Pakistan’, in November 1984, CIA-RDP90B01370R00081110016-4, NARA.} to the Assistant Secretary Elliot Abrams to take action against the execution. Likewise, she drew the attention of Senators Kennedy, and Moynihan to the cases of Raza Kazim\footnote{Confidential Correspondence of US Embassy Islamabad to Secretary of State Washington DC, ‘Raza Kazim Case: Senator Kennedy Interested’, in April 1984, CIA-RDP90B01370R000801120108-1, NARA.}, who was charged on the attempt of assassinating General Zia-ul-Haq, and Rasool Bakhsh Pallejo,\footnote{Confidential Correspondence between US Embassy Islamabad to Secretary of State Washington DC, ‘Rasul Bakhsh Pallejo: Senator Mdynihan’, in April 1984, CIA-RDP90B01370R000801120094-7, NARA.} General Secretary Sindhi Awami Tehreek and under arrest since 1981. When asked by these US political leaders, the US embassy officials in Islamabad professed ignorance of the events. BB already personally knew some leading figures in the US establishment, such as Peter Galbraith – then a staff member for the U.S. Senate Committee on Foreign Relations – whom she had befriended earlier at Harvard. Galbraith lobbied for her cause at that time.\footnote{Stephen P. Cohen, interview.} She also contacted the
UN Secretary General. Not only did these activities help make the US government accountable to its covert-cum-overt operation in this region, but they put pressure on the Pakistani regime as it knew that it was now being scrutinised for its activities.

US Senators John Glenn and Alan Cranston presented an amendment to the Foreign Relations Committee on 28 March 1984 that required the President of the United States to assure in writing that Pakistan ‘neither had a “nuclear explosive device” nor was acquiring material to manufacture or detonate one’ before releasing aid to Pakistan, which was passed in the committee unanimously. BB expressed her concerns about this to Senator Percy, the head of the committee, stating: ‘Senator, cutting off the aid will only create misunderstandings between our two countries’. She argued that aid should, rather, be linked to the restoration of human rights and democracy in the country. The amendment – due to immense pressure from the Reagan’s administration – was changed into a requirement of a certificate from the US President stating Pakistan did not have the nuclear bomb, after which aid was continued. Some opposition leaders in Pakistan were critical of BB in this respect. Sh. M. Rashid condemned strongly BB’s support of military aid to Pakistan. He wanted to ‘stop aid to army dictatorship completely’, the continuation of the class struggle, and a dialogue between Afghan and Pakistan to solve the refugee issues. BB also called for the withdrawal of the Soviet army
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from Afghanistan, contrary to Nusrat Bhutto’s decision in a party meeting in 1980. Moreover, the party workers had been chanting slogans against imperialism vis-à-vis USA since 1967, as had ZAB.\(^{859}\) BB was thus working for a radical change in direction for her party and the opposition in Pakistan.\(^{860}\)

BB also campaigned in Europe. She sent case histories and photograph of political prisoners who were under sentence of death in Pakistan to Members of Parliament in the UK, European foreign ministers, lawyers, syndicates and international trade organisations, and to Amnesty International, appealing to them to put pressure on the Pakistan government to lift the sentences. It was through her campaigning in these respects that many influential politicians and activists in the USA and Europe began to question their governments’ support for the Zia regime. Her work led to pressure being brought to bear by the European Parliament on Prime Minister (PM) Junejo regarding the political detainees. Commissioner Claude Cheysson of the European Economic Community discussed the matter with Junejo and reported back to the European parliament in January 1986, stating that Junejo assured him that ‘all political sentences passed by the special military courts will be referred to the civil courts…In my opinion it represents the best guarantee possible in a country returning to democracy’.\(^{861}\) The Voice of America reported the protest of a New York based Human Rights organisation, which accused Reagan’s administration of underplaying cases of human rights violations in Pakistan.\(^{862}\)

\(^{859}\) *The Struggle*, No. 12, May-June 1984, 601/R/23/19, MRC.

\(^{860}\) BB herself stated the differences inside the party on her trip to USA. Marxists group was active in that regard who wanted to send her to Soviet Union. Bhutto, Daughter of the East, pp. 267-68.


This work of BB abroad played an important role pressurising the regime to restore some elements of democracy in the coming years. On a visit to Pakistan in early 1984 – before she began this work – George Bush, the then Vice-President of USA, had not uttered a single word in MRD’s favour during a four-day official trip. Afterwards, when during her brief visit to Pakistan for the burial of Shah Nawaz Bhutto BB was placed under house arrest, the US State Department stated that this punitive action provided a hurdle towards the ‘restoration of constitutional government’.863 The British parliamentarians Max Madden, and Lord Avebury also expressed their concerns directly to General Zia on her house arrest.864 Moreover, five-hundred protestors gathered against the action in Bradford. Unfortunately PPP’s newly nominated CEC refused to launch a campaign to free her. They claimed it could delay the lifting of Martial Law promised for the beginning of the year – a ‘Wait for January 1st 1986’ policy. BB also responded to the demand of a movement by the workers to ‘wait for January 1986’. So did the CEC.865

Restoration of Elements of Democracy:

Zia had reneged on his promise to restore democracy on 12 August 1983, though as a token gesture on 4 March 1984 he appointed some civilians as ministers in charge of departments that dealt with civil affairs. Zafarullah Jamali and Sirtaj Aziz replaced General Rao Farman Ali and General Abbas Khan Abbasi as Federal Ministers, and thirty-nine new non-military members were nominated for the Majlis-i-Shoora. When Lt. General Jahandad Khan was made

864 ibid.
865 The Struggle, No.6, Winter 1985-6, p.2.
Governor of Sindh, twelve members of the cabinet appointed from the Sindh assembly were from political parties, including five ex-PPP members.\textsuperscript{866} Already, in January 1984, Zia had allowed BB to leave Pakistan. During the course of the next four months between January and April 1984, 288 political leaders and activists were set free.\textsuperscript{867}

Zia had been making ambiguous statements about the future for a time. Despite promises that the censorship of newspapers under the Press and Publications Ordinance would soon be lifted; he continued to clamp down on freedom of expression throughout 1984.\textsuperscript{868} He hinted that he might hold a general election and then retire and play golf. In an interview with the \textit{Financial Times} of 4 August 1984 he changed his stance and said when asked that he would accept the post of President if offered it. Right after that, Baluchistan passed a resolution to request him to be a candidate in the Presidential election.\textsuperscript{869} He then took the public by surprise when he suddenly announced on radio and TV on 1 December 1984 that a referendum was to be held on 19 December.\textsuperscript{870} During a whirlwind tour of the country in the following days, Zia played the Islamic card in an attempt to whip up support for his candidacy. He advocated the principles of the Quran and promised to promulgate \textit{Zakat} and the \textit{Ushar} system, the Hudood Ordinances, \textit{Nizam-i-Salat}, and the end to the paying of interest on debt. He maintained that casting a vote

\begin{footnotes}
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\item[868] ibid. p.151.
\end{footnotes}
in his favour was basically a vote for *Sunnah*. The state-run TV and Radio propagated him as the saviour of Islam and champion of an Islamic nation. He was projected by his cronies as *Mard-i-Momin*, or ‘the pious man’ for his ‘services to Islam’. JI supported the referendum, as did the Shia Ulemas, who had supported civil resistance against his Zakat Ordinances during 1979-81.\(^{871}\)

The question posed in the referendum was:

Do you agree with the actions of General Zia-ul-Haq, President of Pakistan, which he has started to strengthen the ideology of Pakistan by the implementation of Islamic laws of the country according to true spirit of Quran and Sunnah? And, do you support the idea of the continuation of the process of handing over the powers of the government to the elected representatives of the country?\(^{872}\)

In this underhand way, the notion that Zia would now rule Pakistan as President was slipped onto the ballot paper without that being the actual question posed.

All parties except JI and ML Pagara opposed the referendum.\(^{873}\) On the call for a boycott by MRD, the regime tried to counter their opposition by promulgating three ordinances on 5 December. Anyone inciting boycott or even refusing to vote could be punished with up to three years imprisonment and/or five-hundred thousand rupees fine. Such persons would also be banned from running for any elected public office for the next seven years. Zia also demanded that the one million councillors and 35,000 members of the *Zakat* and *Ushr* committees turn out to vote, as he expected them to vote in his

---


\(^{873}\) Mirza, *Aaj Ka Sindh*, p.78.
favour. Despite all government’s efforts and General Zia’s whirlwind tour of twenty-four major cities of the country in eight days 8-16 December, the boycott ensured an embarrassingly low turnout. Independent sources including US embassy’s reports indicate less than thirty per cent cast valid votes. Government officials rectified this by filling the ballot boxes with fake votes. Syed Yousaf Raza Gillani, (ex-Prime Minister of Pakistan, who was at that time with General Zia) exposed the rigging in his book by stating that on his visit to one polling station in Shujabad, Multan, he found it out that numbers of the votes were more than the registered voters. Fazal Ullah Marwat commented on the referendum that his father, who was a lawyer, was told that his vote had already been cast when he went to the polling station on the day of the referendum. General Fazl-i-Haq also commented that it was due to the MRD boycott that they could fill in the empty boxes with votes. Ghafoor Ahmed, a member JI – a party then supporting Zia – expressed surprise at the declaration of the result the very next day by the regime, which seemed impossible due to lack of time to collect the returns from far-flung areas. According to the official figures put out by the Election Commission, of the 62.17 per cent of the electorate that cast a vote, 97.21 per cent voted in favour of Zia’s resolution.

Political commentators saw it as a twofold success for MRD. Firstly, the pressure of MRD and the people’s power had pushed General Zia to try to
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legitimise his position with a public poll, and secondly the success of the boycott proved the public’s loyalty to the forces of democracy. Should the MRD have campaigned for a ‘no’ vote instead? In Chile, for example, when General Augusto Pinochet held a plebiscite in 1988 that was aimed at perpetuating his rule, the opposition successfully mobilised the public to vote ‘no’. While failure to vote could be interpreted as being due to apathy or laziness rather than the result of an active boycott, it was only by putting ‘no’ on the ballot paper that the full extent of the opposition to the dictator could be revealed. The crucial difference in Pakistan was that ‘Islam’ was the candidate rather than a secular leader, and asking the public to vote ‘no’ to Islam would have been a non-starter for the opposition. Despite this difference between Chile and Pakistan, the oppositions in both countries were similar in that they kept up their opposition by one means or other despite reverses until they eventually prevailed. The same was true of the opposition to General Jorge Rafael Videla in Argentina.  

Following the referendum, with resistance to his rule continuing and under growing international pressure, the General decided to hold a general election, as promised originally on 12 August 1983. Khalid B. Sayeed, though, doubted on the General’s intention to hold the election once the General unfurled his political roadmap on 12 August 1983. In public, he had kept stating that holding of the election on party-basis or without parties would be a

---

decision of the Federal Cabinet, and Majlis-i-Shura. On the query of the mechanism and characteristics of the election, General Zia always responded that everything was in my head. This was a cover for him to do whatever he wanted according to circumstances as they arose. As it was the decision to hold the election on a non-party basis had in fact been taken before 19 January 1984, according to the US consulate secret documents. The decision was made public on 12 January 1985. Zia also issued a MLO 65, which permitted him to allow the participation in the election of any member of a defunct political party. This was designed for the pro-Zia parties such as JL rather than anyone else.

Zia sought to create a parliamentary system that would be entirely malleable to his strong control. Contrary to his previously stated objective of creating a balance of power between the Executive and the Head of the State, he ensured that most power was held by President. The Revival of Constitutional Order of 1973 in 1985 (RCO), later on the Eighth Amendment, promulgated by Zia even before the oath-taking ceremony of the newly-elected Assembly was even held. Twenty-five per cent (67 out of 280 articles) of the 1973 constitution was changed through this amendment. The notorious clause 2(b) of article 58 of the Amendment put the major decisions including the appointments of chiefs of the armed forces in the hands of the President. The
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incoming Prime Minister was made a puppet in the hands of the President. The notorious Article 58-2(b)\(^{892}\) strengthening the authority of the President over the elected Prime Minister by providing the power to remove him. Zia was to use this power on 29 May 1988.\(^{893}\) In all, this provided for a radical shift of power in favour of the President.

Besides assuming these new constitutional powers, Zia also sought to turn Pakistan into an Islamic state, with him in the role of Caliph. Following his ‘Islamisation’ programme, the words ‘Muslim’ or ‘Islam’ were inserted into legislation. For example, ‘Muslim’ was added before the word ‘members’ in article 51 of the constitution, with people of minority religions being given separate seats. Many legal experts including Zia’s former Chief Justice, Anwar-ul-Haq, criticised such legislation, stating that it changed the genre of the constitution.\(^{894}\) As it was, such measures satisfied hardly anyone. The opposition questioned Zia’s authority to make such changes, while Islamic groups, such as JI, felt that he had not gone far enough in the Islamization programme.\(^{895}\)

Zia rejected the multi-party democratic systems of the UK and USA, stating that Pakistan required a form of Islamic Caliphate in which there were no political parties.\(^{896}\) After the exit of BB, and the waning position of MRD, he became increasingly arrogant and contemptuous towards the opposition, as

\(^{892}\) Due to that article, three national and provincial assemblies were removed from the offices between 1990-1997 at various occasions.

\(^{893}\) It was used again subsequently, by President Ishaq and President Farooq Leghari in 1990, 1992, and 1996 – twice against BB, and once against Nawaz Sharif respectively.


\(^{895}\) ibid., p.189.

\(^{896}\) ibid., p.153.
shown by Ghafoor Ahmed. Despite his declared commitment to an election, political parties continued to be banned in 1984. Zia appointed a Constitutional Amendments’ Commission chaired by Maulana Zafar Ansari to review the issue, which obediently reported in favour of a non-party based system. The American authorities bent over backwards to accommodate him in this, with Vice-President George Bush implicitly accepting the legitimacy of a non-party system when he stated during his trip to Pakistan: ‘America, though, does not take non-party election as a democratic norm; however, many countries around the world follow it’. By contrast, Zia’s own hand-picked Chief Justice for the Federal Sharia Court, Justice Aftab Hussain Sheikh, stated that there was nothing in Islam that forbade a party-based polity and that 1973 constitution could be considered Islamic as many Ulama (Muslim scholars) had put their signature to it.

The elections for the national and provincial assemblies were held on 25 and 28 February 1985 respectively, with no political parties being allowed to contest as such. The election to the upper house involving 83 seats was held subsequently in mid-March. No party symbols, public gatherings or criticism of the regime was permitted. 1,093 individuals fought for 237 seats (which included ten reserved for minorities and twenty for women) of the National Assembly and 3,631 for the four Provincial Assemblies. There was no debate on major issues such as policy towards the war in Afghanistan and problems
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caused by the influx of Afghan refugees, the powers of state governments within the federation, or various major social problems. Instead, the candidates generally focused on local issues such as ‘sanitation, education, and property right.’

The government propagated the idea of a ‘divine’ agenda, which meant creating a polity and society that accorded with Islamic principles. Candidates were meant to be selected on the basis of their supposed ‘Muttaqi aur Perhaizgar’ (virtue and piety), with Zia stating that ‘I did not want to flood the efforts of last seven years to make the country Islamic’ by allowing previous corrupt politicians back into parliament.

Zia limited the expenses for the national and provincial assemblies to 40,000 rupees (US$2600.00), and 25,000 rupees (US$1620.00) respectively.

Of the 237 members elected to the national assembly, most were moderates or conservatives. Of them, 176 were from the traditionally privileged classes – 117 were rural landlords, 42 were businessmen, and 17 were tribal chiefs. Among the rest, twenty-one women and ten non-Muslims elected from the reserved seats. Rather than being a sweeping away of the supposedly discredited old political order, two-thirds of the new MNAs were found to have had previous political careers.
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member of the JI, found that over eighty per cent of the MNASs were former
elected politicians or belonged to the same families.\(^{909}\) Only thirty-six per cent
had twelve years of education. Despite the rules that specified imprisonment
and/or fine for anyone who exceeded the specified financial limits, the regime
spent lavishly on the election. No one was prosecuted for this, and Zia then
ruled that no newly-elected MNAS had to submit their expenses to the Election
Commission.\(^{910}\) The so-called ‘Islamic’ election was, so far as Zia was concerned,
a show that he had put on to consolidate his rule.

The election helped to worsen social and religious divisions in Pakistan,
as candidates exploited every difference in society based on gender, sex, class,
and religion to whip up support, rather than appeal to party loyalties. Zia had
anticipated that he could control the Assembly through a divide-and-rule policy,
though after the election was over he was faced with the daunting task of having
to win support on an individual basis.\(^{911}\) He tried to do this through financial
inducements, horse-trading\(^{912}\) and/or arm-twisting. He used such measures to
ensure the passage through parliament of the Eight Amendment to the
Constitution and the annual budgets.\(^{913}\) He also handed out a five million

---


\(^{909}\) Almost all the previous politicians including the members of Federal Advisory Council and the
municipal councils stood for the election, despite being previously tagged as morally and financially
corrupt. Directorate of Intelligence, “Pakistan: Election at Last”, *Near East and South Asia Review*, on
15 February 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301330001-6, NARA.

\(^{910}\) Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Elections at Last’, *North East and South Asia Review*, in 15

\(^{911}\) Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Prospects for the New Political System’, *Near Eastern and
South Asia Office*, in September 1985, CIA-RDP86T00587R00003003800002-4, NARA, p.3.

\(^{912}\) The term was coined after the 1985 election when MPs were offered money to vote in favour of
the regime’s candidates for ministerial offices. This practice continued whenever any legislation
promoted by the regime required their approval. Votes tended to be cast for money rather than
through ideological commitment.

\(^{913}\) Javed Hashmi, Syed Yousaif Raza Gilani, interviews. Hashmi, *Han Main Baghi Hoon*, pp.93-107;
rupees development fund to each member of the National Assembly without any effective audit. This all served to further corrupt the polity.

The MRD boycotted the election in protest at its non-party form. Previously, BB had rejected proposals for a boycott of the local elections in 1979. ‘Electoral fields should never be left open’, as her father told her. In July 1984, now in exile in the UK, BB had set out a nine-point election manifesto that demanded a general amnesty for all political prisoners (resumption of the activities of political parties; handing over the government to the largest winning party after holding the election; and smooth participation in the election). BB stated her intention of running in the election being promised at that time by Zia. She had also expressed interest in returning to the country if the party had decided to fight the election. She welcomed, however, the call of the MRD to boycott the referendum, and told US diplomats that ‘they would win two-thirds of the vote in a fair election’. She now threatened expulsion from the party of any members who partook in the election. And, later on, she endorsed the decision of the Abbotabad meeting giving the reason that the election was not being held under the 1973 Constitution. The MRD wanted to use the boycott to put more pressure on the regime to declare party-based elections; however, it did not work out like that. BB hoped that a successful boycott would encourage junior Army officers to demand that the regime hand
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919 Bhutto, Daughter of the East, p.272.
920 Mirza, Aaj Ka Sindh, p.78.
over government to the largest civilian party. And in her vision, the largest party was the PPP. However, a poll suggested where ‘twenty-four per cent said PPP is the most popular party, forty-nine per cent made the party they disliked the most.’

As it was, BB and Nusrat Bhutto, living abroad, could not comprehend the realities on the ground in the country, and accepted the advice of the party’s core committee, especially Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, to boycott the election.\textsuperscript{921} In interviews, Taj Haider, and Mazhar Abbas told me that Jatoi was being controlled by the army, and that his return from self-exile in 1984 had resulted from an agreement he had made with the army.\textsuperscript{922} US intelligence reports noted that Jatoi made a special trip to Lahore to discourage any possible participation by the Punjab PPP in the election, as it would ‘undercut his leverage with Zia.’\textsuperscript{923} Moreover, General Zia told Mir Khalil-ur-Rehman, Editor-in-Chief of Jang, that he was in a constant contact with Jatoi during the meeting in Abbotabad at which the boycott was discussed, and when the decision went in favour of boycott, Zia offered prayers of thanksgiving.\textsuperscript{924} It appears that Jatoi thus played a duplicitous role. There were severe rifts between the Punjab and Sindh PPPs over the boycott. Seventy per cent of former Punjab PPP parliamentarians from Punjab were estimated to have stood for election despite the boycott.\textsuperscript{925} The boycott was thus ineffective. Fifty three per cent of voters turned out for the
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National Assembly election, and fifty eight per cent for the election to the provincial assemblies, which was only slightly, lower than the previous two elections of 1970 and 1977. Anti-Zia candidates mostly won, with four out of five of Zia’s former ministers being defeated. The assemblies were thus elected, but the MRD was now out of the picture. BB subsequently regretted her decision to support the boycott.

The other political parties in the MRD held that Zia’s constitutional package was intended to create pliant assemblies so that he could get constitutional cover for his illegitimate rule. They denounced any attempt to make him a democratic head of the state since their whole struggle sought to present the General as unfit for such a role. In response to the MRD boycott, the regime turned its full weight against the leadership. 3000 political opponents were held under house arrest or behind the bars. The CIA’s monthly review that was based on reports from US diplomats in Pakistan described the repressive measures at that time. The media was not allowed to say that there was any boycott or publish statements by ‘individuals not participating’ in the election. Anyone who violated these rules was threatened with grave consequences. PPP members were targeted in particular. The opposition resorted to circulating handbills or encouraging the boycott by word-of-mouth.

In an attempt to divide the opposition, Zia allowed members of any political

927 This was the analysis of US diplomats. Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Election at Last’, Near East and South Asia Review, in 15 February 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301330001-6, NARA.
929 Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Election at Last’, Near East and South Asia Review, in 15 February 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301330001-6, NARA.
party including the MRD to participate in the election, so that he could gain the people's admiration for his supposed statesmanship and political generosity.\textsuperscript{930} Members of parties who did compete filled many seats at the cost of those parties that remained in MRD, and particularly PPP. Members of JI won fifty-three seats, and PML (Pagara) sixty-eight.\textsuperscript{931}

Boycotting the election in 1985 was a blunder for the MRD's blunders as it changed the whole face of the political struggle in Pakistan. Later on, BB confessed that they had made a mistake. Being in exile, the control and command of the party was loose; therefore they followed the decision to boycott of MRD's central committee meeting held on 19 January in Abbottabad. Moreover, if the party had gone for the election, BB and her close aides feared that it could have been hijacked completely by politically strong members such as Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi. The worst immediate outcome of the decision was the further division among the leaders and the rank and file of the parties of MRD, which further diminished their attractiveness to the public after their boycott call. US diplomats of Lahore and Karachi identified the concerns in a report sent to their government. A few leaders tried to engage themselves with the regime\textsuperscript{932}, including Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi\textsuperscript{933} of PPP. MRD failed to expose the false propaganda of the regime over its supposedly effective economic policies, or its cynical use of Islam. This all left the field open for those who opposed the


\textsuperscript{931} Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Election at Last’, \textit{Near East and South Asia Review}, in 15 February 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301330001-6, NARA.

\textsuperscript{932} Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Election at Last’, \textit{Near East and South Asia Review}, in 15 February 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301330001-6, NARA.

\textsuperscript{933} Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: The Pakistan People’s Party Braces for Elections’, \textit{Near East and South Asia Review}, in 15 February 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301290002-0, NARA.
radical politics associated with the PPP. Many anti-PPP landlords felt that they needed to participate in the election to safeguard their interests.\textsuperscript{934}

Chile’s resistance against the Pinochet regime’s referendum in 1988 and the defeat of the regime’s candidate in subsequent election provides a good example for civil resisters against dictators of the advantages of participation in the electoral process.\textsuperscript{935} The election in Pakistan had the potential to strengthen democracy in the country and undermine military rule. Although the party-less format of the election made it hard for campaigning by parties, MRD candidates could have fought as individuals and then worked to unseat Zia from the Presidency. After the removal of Chilean dictator by people’s power, Chile has yet to see a return to military rule in the country. Pakistan, conversely, had seen nine years of another military rule afterwards.

**Post-election Scenario:**

Hoping to gain credibility in Sindh, Zia decided to designate Muhammad Khan Junejo, who came from that province, as his new Prime Minister.\textsuperscript{936} Zia soon found that some of the new MNASs were less malleable than he had anticipated. An Independent Parliamentary Group (IPG) emerged through the efforts of few MNASs.\textsuperscript{937} This opposed the regime’s candidate for speaker – Khawja Safdar – and put up instead Syed Fakhar Imam, who won the election. Moreover, the group demanded the lifting of martial law as well as inviting party leaders such as BB and Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan to their gatherings.\textsuperscript{938} As US diplomats

\textsuperscript{934} Mirza, *Aaj Ka Sindh*, p.86.  
\textsuperscript{936} Ramu, *Badshah Khan*, p.137.  
\textsuperscript{937} Hashmi, *Han Main Baghi Hon*, p.92.  
\textsuperscript{938} ibid., p.92.
had anticipated before the elections, a significant number of MNAs refused to act as Zia’s lackey, adopting the attitude of ‘You are stranger in the House’.\textsuperscript{939}

Rather than acting as a rubber stamp, the government benches went through ‘line-by-line’ reviewing the regime’s internal and external policies before passing the first budget.\textsuperscript{940} Designated Prime Minister Muhammad Ali Khan Junejo made clear to the regime that civil government could not perform well under martial law; therefore, the sooner martial law was removed, the better the government would perform for the country.\textsuperscript{941} He, at once, established a special committee of delegates to examine the post-martial law legal repercussions; so that on the advice of the committee, he could advise the Chief of Army Staff-cum-President of Pakistan to abrogate martial law.\textsuperscript{942} US intelligence feared the Army generals would take action if the civilian setup challenged the army’s interests in foreign policy, the supply of new weapons, and the ongoing penetration of the army into the bureaucracy, diplomatic corps, and state corporations.\textsuperscript{943} As it was, Junejo started confronting the Army in these respects from the very first day. In his maiden speech, he called for simplicity in public life, and demanded that the generals, bureaucrats and politicians replace their Toyota Coronas with Suzuki cars.

In May 1985, MRD announced as its objective a comprehensive reform programme in the areas of economic, political and foreign affairs. This was devised in April by a Karachi-based Central Action Committee under the convener ship of Ghaus Bakhsh Bizenjo. The committee opposed Zia’s constitutional amendments and demanded greater provincial autonomy. Observing the rhythm of the newly National Assembly, especially during the Speaker’s election, and Junejo’s concern about the martial law, the MRD built oppositional pressure to weaken the General’s grip on the government. Owing to the fresh civilian government, and the relaxation of repression, the opposition leaders held many public gatherings and meetings throughout the country to solicit public support for their new demands. The alliance built a relationship with the IPG.

Despite all this, Zia ensured that his Eighth Amendment Bill was passed in October 1985. It skipped some of the proper constitutional process before being presented to the National Assembly. The IPG made a big hue and cry about this, to no avail. A Ninth Amendment was promised for the future that would implement supposed ‘Islamic principles’, but this never happened. The military junta’s programme for Islamisation was thus not altogether fulfilled.

945 Attended by Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan, Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, Abdul Wali Khan, Asghar Khan, Khwaja Khairuddin, Sardar Mazar, Syed Qaswer Gardezi, Malik Muhammad Qasim, Ghulam Ahmad Bilour, Abdul Khaliq Khan, Musheer Pesh Imam, Fateh Yab Ali Khan, Asif Virdug, Iqbal Haider, and Mahmood ul Haq Osmani. Whereas Nusrat Bhutto, and BB were out of the country at that time.
946 Ibid.
947 The Bill was not presented to the National Assembly Standing committee for reviewing it thoroughly. One hundred and eighty eight members voted in favour of the bill, and forty-six members were absent from the session.
Before 1985, Zia had taken advice from regular Martial Law Administrators (MLA) conferences that had discussed foreign and internal policy. After the 1985 election, with civilian governors taking charge of the provinces, there were fewer MLA conferences on provincial issues.\textsuperscript{948} Responding to the opposition’s taunts that he was a ‘puppet and disabled Prime Minister’, Junejo empowered civil representatives in the cabinet and made them the decision-making body rather than the MLA conferences. He further asked Zia to remove two of his caps – Chief Martial Law Administrator and the Chief of the Army Staff (C-in-C) – and become a full civilian President as promised earlier. The revival of the political parties in the country was another of his demands.

Zia argued in response that it was an advantage if he held multiple offices, as he was ‘playing a role like a bridge between the civilian government and the military.’\textsuperscript{949} He promised to retire as C-in-C in 1990, when the next election was due. He refused to allow party politics.\textsuperscript{950} The National Assembly had a heated discussion on the issue on the grounds that it was unconstitutional for the President to hold military office as well. On 1 June 1985, the Provincial Assembly of KPK including the handpicked Chief Minister, Arbab Jahangir, was the first to pass the resolution demanding Zia’s resignation from military office. They were followed by the Punjab and Baluchistan assemblies on the same day, and the Sindh assembly on 16 June. The Senate established a committee to discuss the restoration of political parties in July 1985 that recommended that they should be restored after registration with the government on the condition
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of not receiving any funds from abroad and not proclaiming any anti-Islamic or anti-Pakistan sentiments. On 2 June 1986, the opposition group in the assembly protested against Zia’s extension as COAS. General K.M. Arif also asked for a fulltime and professional COAS instead.

During the celebrations at Minar-i-Pakistan on Pakistan’s day of independence on 14 August 1985, Junejo declared that ‘citizens of Pakistan would not wake up on 1st January of the next year under martial law but Islamic democratic country. Pakistan was not made to live under martial law.’ Both the National Assembly and Senate followed him by passing resolutions supporting his statement. This pressure, combined with the ongoing MRD opposition, led Zia to announce that martial law would be lifted on 1 January 1986, and he promised to hold talks with all those, including the MRD, who had been struggling for the restoration of democracy. Right at the end of December 1985, he declared: ‘The Declaration of 5 July 1977 is cancelled; all powers to the Chief Martial Law Administrator has been confiscated, and the military courts have been dissolved.’ Martial law offices were closed and all martial law orders except those which were passed under the Eighth Amendment were annulled as well. Punjab and KPK got civilian governors on 29 December. In this way, Pakistan emerged from martial law after eight-and-a-half years. The leading politicians all tried to claim credit for this. BB, in her
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book, stated ‘on the eve of my departure from Zurich to Pakistan with my brother’s body’ the regime took the decision to uplift martial law to silence the thousands of protestors who came to greet me on my arrival. Conversely, Syed Yousaf Raza Gilani, Minister of Railways in Junejo’s government, stated that it was he who advised the Prime Minister Junejo to declare ‘the end of martial law and the emergency’ on the 38th Independence Day of the country.

Cohen has pointed out how these political developments provided a face-saver to the USA in its support of Zia, although they hardly brought major changes on the ground. Zia continued to manipulate political developments as best he could. He followed a carrot and stick policy, rewarding those who went along with him and punishing those who did not. Opponents continued to be thrown in prison, tortured and killed.

Even after declaring a non-party based election, and the MRD’s decision to boycott it, draconian actions continued to be taken against those loyal to the opposition parties. BB states that when she left the country; 40,000 political prisoners were still in jail. Eighteen were under trial in Rawalpindi military court, accused of conspiring against the government; fifty-four were being held in Kot Lakhpat jail in Lahore, charged with criminal conspiracy and sedition for their alleged involvement with Al-Zulfiqar. MRD leaders were rounded up on various occasions: for example, on 21 October 1985 when they were heading towards 70 Clifton for a meeting.
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was being debated in parliament, MRD activists came on the streets in protest. The government responded by placing the leaders either under house arrest or banned them from entering Punjab province. The government made the holding of MRD’s session in Lahore on 23 September 1985 impossible owing to the arrests of many leaders.\textsuperscript{963} 200 persons including twenty women were arrested when they tried to participate in the MRD public meeting outside Mochi Gate on 25 December 1985.\textsuperscript{964} Amnesty International estimated that at least 100 persons were detained as a result of their ‘nonviolent political beliefs and peaceful political activities’.\textsuperscript{965} It also recorded that sixty other political prisoners were tried in Haripur, Attock, and Karachi jails in 1985. A few hundred prisoners were sentenced by military court to terms of 10-25 years. The government failed to provide any evidence to refute this claim by Amnesty International.\textsuperscript{966} Rather, Zia responded by stating that ‘political prisoners are as less in numbers as paisa in a rupee’, and that these few would be freed before the election, and that they were being basically being allowed a period of rest to rethink their misguided attitudes.\textsuperscript{967}

Despite a national and international outcry, political activists continued to be executed during the period. Ignoring protests by Amnesty International, the Bar Association, and international human rights activists mobilised by BB, three young men were hanged in August 1984 after being found guilty of

\textsuperscript{963} Nawabzada Nasrullah Khan, Malik Qasim, Mairaj Muhammad Khan, Khursheed Mahmood Qasuri, and many more, cited in Ahmed, \textit{General Zia K Akhri Dus Saal}, p.204.
\textsuperscript{965} \textit{Amnesty International}, Background Note on Arrest in Pakistan: December 1984/Early 1985, MSS.34/4/1/Pk30, MRC, p.3.
\textsuperscript{966} \textit{Amnesty International}, Violation of Human Rights in Pakistan, MSS.34/4/1/Pk31, MRC.
charges of murdering a police officer and involvement in Al-Zulfiqar. They were Usman Ghani aged 19 – who was reported to have been *under 18* at the time of the commission of the offence – Idrees Khan aged 20, and Idrees Beig aged 21. The regime described them as terrorists.968

Among civil society groups, students remained the worst hit. They were persecuted regardless of political affiliation. The ban on students’ unions initiated in early 1983 in KPK was gradually imposed on the rest of the country.969 Reacting to the ban, students’ bodies launched countrywide protests, which were answered brutally by the regime. Students were jailed on a wide-scale in 1984, especially after the eight blasts in Punjab University in March. Ghafoor Ahmed suggested that after muting the voice of MRD, the regime took more severe actions against the students. They even took into custody parents, including the mothers970 of those students who were not caught in the police raids on their homes. A procession of the Sindh Students Federation on 17 October 1984 was fired on, with several students being killed and many injured.971 Bashir Qureshi, leader of *Jie Sindh Mahaz*, was imprisoned many times during the reign of Zia.972 On 18 October 1985, police attacked the students of Sindh University Jamshoro and killed five persons including three students. Eighty-eight people including fifty-one students were arrested on charges of speaking against the government, burning the Pakistani flag, and

968 Tony Benn, a labour Member of Parliament of UK, was amongst who wrote letters to the Pakistani regime against the action. Bhutto, *Daughter of the East*, p.261-62; *Amnesty International*, ‘Violation of Human Rights in Pakistan’, MSS.34/4/1/Pk31, MRC.
969 The ban is imposed on the student union till today. PPP promised to remove the ban before coming to the power; however it was failed to do so.
972 On 23 March 2012, he led a large procession of Sindhis in Karachi to seek independence of Sindhu Desh from Pakistan.
robbery. This incident enraged students across the whole province and invigorated their movement.

Zia continued to implement his policy of Islamisation. Before the referendum, he had introduced *Nizam Salat* Ordinance on 14 August 1984, which required all adult men to have prayer breaks during their working time. Salat committees were set up under *Nazim Salat* in each district who directly reported to the Governor of the concerned province. The committees lost this power when some of the *Nazim* abused their authority, and the President issued a clarification that these *Nazim* did not have any political authority. Zia ordered in October 1984 that anyone standing in future elections would have to take an oath of allegiance to the policy of implementation of Islamic teaching in the country. In December 1984, *Sharia* Law (Islamic jurisprudence) was established in Pakistan. In 1985, Zia put pressure on the new civilian government to establish a ‘true Islamic system’ in the country. Twenty-five members of the National Assembly responded with a declaration on 16 June that the President of the country had the responsibility to execute Islamic principles in the country since he was given a vote in the referendum for that purpose.

---

973 Mahmood Mirza stated the story quoting the Karachi High Court Bar’s report that two buses of students were going to Chandka Medical College Larkana to attend a seminar without permission from their university administration. The police were informed that two ‘wanted dacoits’ were travelling in the bus, and they stopped it at Thori and opened fire, cited in Mirza, *Aaj Ka Sindh*, p.54; Ghafoor Ahmed mentioned 15 killings. Ahmed, *General Zia K Akhri Dus Saal*, p.164.


Zia was well aware of how the Western powers were trying to use Islam to their advantage in countering the Soviet Union in Afghanistan, and sought to turn this to Pakistan’s advantage. Barely a few months after the implementation of Sharia Law in Pakistan, President Ronald Reagan issued National Security Decision Directive 166 (NSDD 166) in March 1985, which authorised ‘stepped-up covert military aid to the Mujahideen’ as well support for religious indoctrination. Michael Chossudovsky assessed the imposition of Sharia in Pakistan and the promotion of “radical Islam” as serving American geopolitical interests in South Asia, Central Asia and the Middle East. Many present-day ‘Islamic fundamentalist organizations’ in the Middle East and Central Asia, were directly or indirectly the product of US covert support and financing, often channeled through foundations from Saudi Arabia and the Gulf States. Missions from the Wahhabi sect of conservative Islam in Saudi Arabia were put in charge of running the CIA sponsored madrassas in Northern Pakistan.978

Because of all this, US diplomats stationed in Pakistan continued to consider Zia the person most likely to support American interests in the region.979 Although they appreciated the strength of the opposition to Zia,980 they were suspicious of what they saw as the close ties that the MRD had with Soviet Russia and China. There appeared to be too many risks involved in either

979 Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: The People’s Party Braces for Election’, North East and South Asia Review, in 18 January 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301290002, NARA.
replacing Zia with another General or the coming to power of an MRD-led civilian government. They thus ignored the ongoing human rights abuses of the regime.

The MRD – its Failures and Achievements

The MRD was undermined at this time through intense internal divisions. There were ongoing tensions between Punjabis and Sindhis, and also amongst Sindhis after the departure of BB from Pakistan. In a report of March 1984, the CIA judged that ‘the parties are badly fragmented, and have little leverage’. Zia of course did his best to foment such splits in the opposition. One such divide related to the sentence of death passed on ZAB in which the regime played Punjabi and non-Punjabi judges off against each other to get a judgement in its favour. Forgetting the stance that many Punjabi political workers took in agitating to save ZAB’s life, the blame game was initiated on the provincial basis. In Punjab, Farooq Leghari, a moderate PPP secretary general and a prominent landlord of Southern Punjab caused a big setback to the party by tendering his resignation from the position as well as the party membership to make a deal with General Zia. Some years later, Ghulam Mustafa Khar stated that he used to meet with the regime on the advice of ZAB and that the
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PPP could have saved his life if they had acted more cautiously. At the time, BB had been highly suspicious of Khar, and later, instead of designating him as President of PPP-Punjab, appointed Jahangir Badar – a person who proved ‘too small a man for his post.’ The divide became particularly apparent at the time of the elections in 1985, when many MRD members from Punjab wanted to fight the election, while the majority of Sindhi members did not. Significant elements of Punjab’s PPP disobeyed BBs orders despite her threat to expel the ‘rebels’.

To counteract the influence of BB and PPP in Sindh, the regime sought to use three leaders whose support-base was in rural areas – Mumtaz Bhutto, Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, and Mukhdoom Amin Faheem. The regime was less concerned about the cities of Karachi and Hyderabad as they were dominated by non-Sindhis and non-PPP constituencies. Except for Mukhdoom Amin Faheem, the other two leaders fell into the trap. Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi was already unhappy with certain decisions of BB. He enjoyed considerable authority in rural Sindh because of his longstanding association with ZAB, his opposition to the Zia regime, and his fifteen months in detention. He was now prepared to adopt a more conciliatory policy towards the Zia regime. He stated in a private meeting with a US diplomat in 1984 that ‘the 1973 Constitution is
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not sacrosanct,\textsuperscript{990} and he was ready to shrink the power of Prime Minister in favour of the President. He also promised that not a single army man would be castigated for deposing ZAB and his government. He had however to be cautious over his relationship with Zia as being seen to be too close to the dictator would have lost the respect of many of the PPP workers.\textsuperscript{991} Though both Jatoi and Zia denied any agreement between them, there were rumours circulating of a secret deal - ‘Jatoi Wazir-i-azam na manzoor, Bhutto family hero hero, baqi sub zero zero’.\textsuperscript{992} The PPP expelled both Jatoi and Mumtaz Bhutto on the grounds that they were associating with the Sindhi, Baluchi, and Pashtun Front (SBPF), and both have remained in the political wilderness until today.

BB’s position in PPP was also challenged by the so-called ‘uncles’ who had been prominent in the party under ZAB.\textsuperscript{993} Once in exile, BB had time to reflect on her strategy and devise ways to reinvigorate the party. This was a time when the old jyalas were being replaced with the fresh blood, such as Jahangir Badar, who was appointed Party President for the Punjab instead of Khar. Likewise, Jatoi’s brand of compromises was abhorred by the rank and file of the party. Moreover, ‘the failure’ of anti-Zia protest movement made these leaders reconsider their strategy towards the regime.\textsuperscript{994}

Regionalism gathered strength during the Zia period, which also weakened the over-arching national cause of restoration of Democracy in the

\textsuperscript{990} Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: The People’s Party Braces for Election’, \textit{North East and South Asia Review}, 18 January 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301290002, NARA.

\textsuperscript{991} ibid.

\textsuperscript{992} Mazhar Abbas, interview.

\textsuperscript{993} The term ‘uncle’ was coined for those who were old party members, and who had held privileged positions under ZAB’s tenure. These old members resented BB’s Chairpersonship of the party, and wishing to drive her out, were a focus for intrigues within the party.

\textsuperscript{994} Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: The People’s Party Braces for Election’, \textit{North East and South Asia Review}, 18 January 1985, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301290002, NARA.
country. A *Muhajir* students’ group formed *Muhajir Qaumi Movement* (MQM) in 1984 in Karachi to fight for the rights of this community of immigrants. A Sindhi, Baluch, and Pashtun Front (SBPF) was set up by Mumtaz Bhutto and Hafeez Pirzada to represent people from these regions who were living in Karachi. Wali Khan, President of the Awami National Party that had its main base in NWFP, focused mainly on local issues, such as renaming the province as PukhtoonKhwa, and the devolution of economic power and foreign trade to the local government. There were other linguistic and sectarian divides, particularly in Karachi – a city populated by people from all parts of Pakistan. These antagonisms could flare up violently at times. For example, the killing of a college girl by a speeding bus driven by a Pustun in Nazimabad (*Muhajir* area) was turned into a communal clash between *Muhajirs* and Pushtuns. Pamphlets, and handbills were distributed to instigate the hatred between the communities. During the subsequent riots, 51 people were killed, and 117 injured in less than a month. Likewise, on the basis of political ideology, the Left-wing parties of MRD – Pakistan Musawat Party, the Pakistan National Party, and the Mazdoor Kissan Party – merged into a single party in January 1986. Such division further limited the power of MRD.

The MRD missed a good opportunity to expose the failures of the regime’s so-called economic development. From the start, Zia had maintained that his rule would lay the basis for a new economic prosperity in Pakistan. Initially he was fortunate, as there were bumper crops during the early years of
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995 *Muhajir* means immigrant, and refers to people who migrated from India after the formation of Pakistan.
997 *Viewpoint*, XI (23), 16 January 1986, p.34.
his rule. Zia claimed that his regime was being blessed by God for his Islamisation of the country. The main beneficiaries were nonetheless the elites of the Punjab, merchants and businessmen, rather than the peasantry. Government expenditure increased by 300 percent during the period of martial law, from Rs. 20,197.4 million in 1977-78 to Rs. 69,717.9 million in 1984-85. The defence budget increased from Rs. 4 million in 1977-78 to Rs. 14 million in 1984-85. In 1984-85 the budget deficit was Rs.3.8 billion. Despite the 400 percent increase in workers’ remittances from $577.74 million to $2,450 million, and the US war aid of $3.2 billion, there was a balance of payment deficit, rising from $941 million in 1976-77 to $1928 million in 1984-85.

The situation became critical in the mid-1980s, when there were disastrous harvest failures that in particular affected cotton – Pakistan’s main cash crop. Nothing was said now by Zia about divine judgement. There was a decline in remittances from overseas labourers. Although considerable sums were coming from aid for jihad from USA and Arab Countries, much of this was used to purchase the support of politicians or manufacture new political cronies.998 By 1985, the economy was in disarray.999 The government asked for an IMF stand-by loan to stabilise the economy, which was given on condition that the rupee was depreciated, and the subsidies on exports, wheat, fertilisers and edible oils were eliminated.1000 Asma Jahangir pointed out that widespread and growing tax-evasion as one of the causes of the economic crisis.1001

1000 Viewpoint, XI (23), 16 January 1986, pp.11-12.
1001 Asma Jahangir, interview.
Therefore, the expansion of the domestic tax net was one of the conditions IMF imposed in 1986.\textsuperscript{1002} The government cut subsidies on basic items such as foods, fertiliser, and reduced spending on defence. This all hit the poor hard. Zia tried to blame the newly elected government for the economic deterioration.\textsuperscript{1003} The opposition could have made much more about the economic failures of Zia’s government.

Despite these various failings, MRD also had its successes. Although still banned after the election of 1985, with many of its leaders remaining in jail or under house arrest, the fact that elections of any sort were held and martial law lifted can be judged as an achievement for the movement. Also, on 10 March 1985, 252 out of 280 articles of 1973 constitution were restored. The extremist parties – Jamiat-i-Ulema-i-Pakistan (JUP), Jamaat-i-Ahle-i-Hadith (JAH), Tehreek-i-Fiqh-i-Jafaria (TFJ)\textsuperscript{1004}, and most importantly JI – gradually stepped back from supporting the regime as well. According to the well-known aphorism that ‘my enemy’s enemy is my friend’, JI eventually publicly declared it would work with MRD for the restoration of democracy in the country.\textsuperscript{1005}

The scholarship on civil resistance reveals how important it is for opponents to remove the main pillars of support for an authoritarian regime. One of the main such pillars is that of the army and security forces. The success of civil resistance in Chile, East Germany and the Philippines during the 1980s can be attributed above all to defections from the regime by the security forces.

\textsuperscript{1002} Viewpoint, XI (23), 16 January 1986, p.12.


\textsuperscript{1004} Ayaz Amir, ‘Dubious means and noble objectives’, Viewpoint, X (22), 3 January 1985, p.9.

\textsuperscript{1005} Mian Tufail Muhammad, addressed a public gathering in Karachi on 27 September 1985, cited in Ahmed, General Zia K Akhri Dus Saal, p.204.
In Chile, for example, many navy, air force and police commanders refused to impose martial law after the plebiscite vote, which paved the way for Pinochet’s downfall.\textsuperscript{1006} BB eventually realised that her hitherto strong anti-army stance in which she blamed the military as a whole for the killing of her father was unlikely to undermine Zia, and began working to build allies against him within the armed forces. Neither BB nor the PPP leaders were prepared to admit this in public – it would have been political suicide for them to have done so.\textsuperscript{1007} Nonetheless, after meeting a close colleague of BB, a US diplomat reported to the CIA in March 1984 that after the ‘unsuccessful’ struggle against Zia-ul-Haq, BB began believing that ‘the PPP must cultivate Army support against Zia’.\textsuperscript{1008} The fact that the US government was prepared to put pressure on Zia to allow BB’s return to Pakistan also suggests that they were confident that she would not try to undermine an army that supported the anti-Soviet war in Afghanistan.

Although there were some weak attempts by army officers to dislodge Zia from early on in his period of rule, their discontent was becoming far more serious by the mid-1980s. Eight years of authoritarian rule had undermined respect for the army among citizens and increasing numbers of military men resented the way that they were losing support within the wider society. Few officers had gained personally from martial law, and they resented the ‘dishonest policies’ associated with those who administered martial law.\textsuperscript{1009} They could see that a relatively small group that had vested interests in

\textsuperscript{1006} Nepstad, *Nonviolent Revolutions*, pp. 128-9.
\textsuperscript{1007} PPP members whom I interviewed still refuse to accept that such a shift occurred, taking it as a criticism of their commitment to their long struggle against military rule.
\textsuperscript{1008} Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Zia’s Divided Opposition’, *Office of Near Eastern and South Asian Analyses*, in March 1984, CIA-RDP84S00927R000300100002-9, NARA, p.7.
perpetuating a system that protected their personal power. Consequently, for them, the end of martial law was necessary to regain the esteem of the people. The politician Ayaz Amir noted that there was pressure by the Army generals on Zia to relinquish his post as army commander.\footnote{Ayaz Amir, ‘The Lifting of Martial Law’, Viewpoint, XI (21), 2 January 1986, p.18.} The tussle, indeed, had created a disciplinary issue in the sole disciplined organisation of Pakistan. Politically, the low turnout in the referendum, and the defeat of key players of Zia’s cabinet in the recent election indicated the unpopularity of the General. His hand-picked candidates and three hundred members of Majlis-i-Shoora all failed to be elected.\footnote{Ramu, Badshah Khan, p.137.} These sentiments were reinforced by similar feelings amongst many US intelligence agents. They saw that the US support for Zia was being criticised publically by some army officers and officials in the Pakistan Foreign Office.\footnote{Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: Prospects for the New Political System’, Near Eastern and South Asia Office, September 1985, CIA-RDP86T00587R000300380002-4, NARA, p.10.} In an interview, Stephen P. Cohen responded in a laconic way to my question in this respect: ‘well, yea we were getting tired of Zia by that time.’\footnote{Stephen P. Cohen, interview.}

Conclusion:
The New York Times wrote that ‘simply ending emergency rule did not end oppression in Poland, Chile, and the Philippines’.\footnote{The New York Times, 4 January 1986.} Similarly, in Pakistan the lifting of the martial law did not mean an end to military rule. Democracy is not a gift that falls from heaven, it is rather achieved through the exertion of sweat and tears. General Zia-ul-Haq was not removed from power during the period covered in this chapter; however, the continuing pressure of the MRD, and
particularly PPP, forced the regime to hold an election, which brought parliamentary politics back to life in the country. One great achievement was that of the mobilisation of external pressure through meetings with foreign parliamentarians, and holding of public meetings, press conferences and seminars. After hearing from the exiled leaders of the PPP of the human rights abuses perpetrated by the Zia regime, several American senators raised questions in public about US support for Zia. Although American intelligence had at that time little confidence in the ability of MRD to mount an effective challenge to Zia, their opinion began to change as a result of the work of Benazir and Nusrat Bhutto.

Nonetheless, Zia did his best to hang onto his power. On 29 December 1985, the day before he announced the lifting of martial law, he issued two MLOs. MLO 106 transferred power from the Chief Martial Law Administrator to the Prime Minister, while MLO 107 transferred cases that were pending before the military courts to the ordinary courts. Zia anticipated that the new prime minister would be in his pocket, and that the ordinary courts would inflict similar punishments on dissidents as had been passed by the military courts.\textsuperscript{1015} Commenting on this, the renowned jurist Abid Hassan Manto remarked that there had hardly been a restoration of fundamental rights in Pakistan since the 30 December order only revoked the Proclamation of 5 July 1977; whereas the Provisional Constitutional Order of 24 March 1981 was standstill.\textsuperscript{1016} Such legal and political issues drove the movement forward to its final phase.

\textsuperscript{1016} ibid.
Ch. 5. Revival of Democracy and End of the Dictator 1986-88:

On the declaration of the annulment of martial law on 30 December 1985, Khan Abdul Wali Khan made the prophetic comment, “it is like facing a person who has not used his gun for some time but who continues to hold it in his hand and can wield it any moment”.1017 This ‘gun’ was turned towards Zia’s handpicked Prime Minister Muhammad Khan Junejo when he removed him from office along with the national assembly in June 1988 and called a fresh election in three months’ time. MRD, the alliance for a democratic Pakistan had condemned the so-called ‘democratisation’ process in 1985 and had declared the elections illegitimate at a meeting held in January 1986. Despite the revocation of martial law, Zia continued to oppress his opponents and curb the freedom of the press. On 10 April 1986 Benazir Bhutto received a mammoth welcome on her return from exile, which set alarm bells ringing in the house of the dictator, suggesting that people were still demanding their right to democracy, and not just the political leaders. Benazir Bhutto asked the General ‘to leave the country gracefully’ as she did not believe in revenge.1018 The MRD failed, however, to put adequate pressure on Zia, and failed to bring him down before he was killed suddenly in an air-crash. This meant that he was never strongly discredited with either the military or foreign supporters. This chapter analyses the history from the end of martial law to Zia’s death.

After the establishment of Muhammad Khan Junejo’s government with the 1985 election, the political landscape had changed. One of the major

demands of the opposition parties including MRD was fulfilled. Due to the party-
less election under the Political Parties (Amendment) Act 1979, MRD and JUP
boycotted the election. Many political scholars such as R.B Raees1019, Babar
Ali1020, and Hasan-Askari Rizvi1021 were of the opinion that the post-martial law
period saw the civilianisation of the martial law, and that the Junejo government
did have reasonable freedoms. Freedom of the Press, liberty of political
opposition, and protests were permitted. Rather it was the ‘most open, and in a
sense, most democratic government’1022 in the history of Pakistan. However,
before the oath-taking ceremony for the members of the National and Provincial
Assemblies General Zia issued the ‘Revival of the Constitution 1973 Order’
which had altered the basic face of the constitution and shifted the power into
the hands of the forthcoming President of the country, as examined in the
previous chapter. On 23 March 1985, the day the members took their oaths,
they also elected General Zia-ul-Haq as the sixth President of Pakistan as per the
pre-poll conditions to elected members. In its first session, the assembly passed
the notorious Eighth Amendment to the constitution of 1973 – ‘granting
constitutional protection to the military take over of 1977, the Presidential
Referendum, and the RCO’ –and thus cut its sovereignty in favour of the
President. Declaring the abrogation of martial law from the country, the General
stated however that they were not in a period of transition from one regime to
another but were continuing the previous system – enforcing Islamic principles,

1020 Babar Ali, ‘Where is the Opposition in Pakistan?’, Economic and Political Weekly, XXII (47), 5-12
continuing domestic and foreign policies, and maintaining the advisory role of Parliament. The General’s own original ‘power-sharing formula’ sought to constrain the power of the Junejo government. Junejo did not however prove to be as malleable as Zia had anticipated when he had hand-picked him as Prime Minister.

However, the opposition (mainly MRD) questioned the legitimacy of both Zia and Junejo and rejected the ‘controlled’ democracy as a sham, with Zia still holding a gun to their head. I.A. Rehman stated that all parties except two boycotted the election, so, under the universal conventions, the victorious party could hardly claim to be the democratic and representative government of the country, particularly as it was held under a dictatorial regime with restricted voting rights. Hence, they continued to call for civil resistance until the holding of a ‘free and fair’ party-based election, with full ruling power being transferred to the newly elected government. Sharon E. Nepstad has noted five key factors in any campaign of civil resistance. They are: widespread grievances, such as economic failure, material deprivation, rigged elections, and restrictions on freedom; the winning over of an opponent’s strong pillar of support in your favour; highlighting the injustice of the regime to the public; unity among the civil resisters; and the recruitment to the cause of civil society groups – all of which together provide the necessary strength. The civil resisters in Pakistan of that time could have turned the situation to their favour strongly at

\[1024\] I.A.Rehman, Pakistan under Siege, (Lahore, 1990), p.275.
\[1025\] Sharon Erickson Nepstad, Nonviolent Revolutions: Civil Resistance in the Late 20th Century, (New York, 2011), pp.5-6.
this time, as many of these elements were in place in Pakistan. They failed, however, to do this.

The Junejo Government:
The people of Pakistan's continuing thirst for democracy never allowed their dictators to rule unchallenged. Although they might have either welcomed martial law or stayed quiet when it was declared initially, they invariably turned against despotic rule after a time. As a result, the dictators tried to manipulate the democratic system to win support from politicians and provide a veneer of democracy to their autocratic rule. As it is, time and again in Pakistan, those in power have selected seemingly humble and harmless people for key subordinate roles, anticipating that they will be easily-controlled, who then suddenly show their teeth. In this way Bhutto selected General Zia, Nawaz Sharif selected General Musharraf, and Musharraf in turn selected as Chief Justice Iftikhar Chaudhry – all of whom turned against the ruler who had promoted them. In the case of Zia, he selected Junejo as his Prime Minister – a seemingly nondescript and malleable politician. Junejo was proposed by Pir of Pagaro and Zia selected him in preference to a stronger candidate, Illahi Bukhsh Soomro, on 18 March 1985.\textsuperscript{1026} General Zia met him for the first time on 20 March 1985 and informed him that he had accepted the proposal that he be appointed Prime Minister. Junejo, without thanking him, asked when he was going to lift martial law, and 'Zia was shocked.'\textsuperscript{1027} Zia allowed him, however, to be sworn in on 23 March.

\textsuperscript{1026} Arif, Working with Zia, pp. 334-7.
\textsuperscript{1027} Arif, Working with Zia, pp.335-6.
Junejo’s biggest challenge was to restore democratic culture, with the General being the biggest hurdle to this. What started as petty differences between the two gradually turned into a wider gulf. During the first year of controlled civilian government, rifts between Junejo and Zia surfaced.

First of all, Junejo made it his mission to bring an end to martial law. He promised the nation on 14 August 1985 that it would welcome the sunrise on the New Year of 1986 free from martial law. He made this announcement on the suggestion of Syed Yousaf Raza Gillani, who himself later served as Prime Minister from 2008 to 2012. Gillani revealed to the researcher that in the Cabinet meeting held to organise the celebration of Independence Day 1985 in Lahore, he suggested that Junejo could make the occasion memorable by declaring the date for the end of martial law; otherwise it would have been better to cancel the political gathering. Junejo later called Gillani on the official phone line and told him that he was going to do this.1028 In taking cover under the electoral process, Zia was manoeuvred into lifting martial law.1029 However, the President reneged on his pre-poll agreement to cease wearing his uniform.

After the removal of martial law, the next challenge to Junejo was to restore democratic culture in the country. Laying down five points – establishment of an Islamic democratic system in the country on the basis of Pakistani ideology, a just economic system, an end to illiteracy, scientific progress, jihad against corruption and bribery, and following a non-aligned foreign policy in favour of strengthening national sovereignty – as per his government policy statement, he began to work on them. Moreover, right after the establishment of the
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1028 Syed Yousad Raza Gillani, interview 26 July 2014, Multan.
truncated democracy, the MNAs began to demand greater parliamentary powers, and a parliamentary group was set up to address constitutional issues on 3 June 1985. 190 out of 207 MNAs participated in the meeting to organise this, which was a shock to Zia. A special committee was set up to look into the matter of the legal position post-martial law and examine the issue of restoring party politics. Likewise, to create a British-style democratic structure, some members set up an Independent Parliamentary Group (IGP) under Haji Saifullah as an opposition to the government’s group. Prompted by the criticism of General Zia regarding the functioning of National Assembly, Haji Saifullah moved a privilege motion in the assembly. Similar demands for greater democracy were heard in the provincial assemblies as well.

Junejo declared the Pakistan Muslim League to be the governing political party, and in June 1985 the General reluctantly began to work towards this goal. Zia, who earlier had publicly denounced political parties as being contrary to Islamic political principles, amended the law to allow this. Junejo had joined the Pakistan Muslim League before it was registered. 170 out of 237 MNAs and 50 out of 87 Senators joined the official Muslim League.

1030 Near Eastern and South Asia Office, Directorate of Intelligence, “Pakistan: Prospects for the New Political System”, in September 1985, 24 November 2013, p.3. CIA-RDP86T00587R000300380002-4, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD.
1031 Javed Hashmi, Han Main Baghi hun, p.
There was now a ruling party headed by Junejo. Parties had to register under new rules provided by the government – they had to have a distinctive name, register their financial transactions, and submit their accounts and audit reports. Nonetheless, the General was still sitting in the President’s house wearing military uniform, and MLR-48 of 1979 still remained in place. This regulation required all new political parties to register themselves with the election commission before contesting elections held under the Electoral Commission of Pakistan. BB subsequently challenged these rules in the Supreme Court, which decided in her favour in June 1988 by ruling that the registration requirement was null and void in any future election. Despite all this, Zia’s cronies still controlled the key federal ministries. Dr. Mahboob-ul-Haq was Finance minister, Iqbal Ahmed Khan, ex-Shura council member, was the minister of Justice and Parliamentary Affairs, Aslam Khattak, an ex-Shura member, was the Interior minister, and so on. Junejo, however, gradually reduced the numbers of the Zia-backed ministers to two alone – Foreign Minister Yaqub Khan and Planning Minister Mahboobul Huq – in the Federal Cabinet. The rest were now his supporters.

To reduce the government’s expenditure, Junejo successfully adopted the policy of ‘simplicity’ following the principles of Islam. He announced this policy in his first address in 1985, and he was keen to demonstrate this by ordering that everyone, including ministers and generals travel in Pakistan-made Suzuki cars. Such an ‘Islamic’ simplicity was hard to stomach for the self-styled

---

1038 Azhar Sohail, *General Zia ke Gyara Saal* (Lahore, year is missing), pp.91.
‘preacher of Islam’ for the last eight years – General Zia. Junejo’s most provocative act was placing the Defence Ministry in his own hands. The Defence Cabinet Council – the top policy making body on defence and internal security issues – on which General Zia-ul-Haq sat as Chief of Army Staff, now came under Junejo’s signature. Within the first year of his government, Junejo ordered all military personnel that had already spent three years in civil departments on deputation back to the barracks; he appointed a new Navy Chief of Staff who was not Zia’s candidate; and his efforts to control military assignments and retirements showed him to be more than just a ceremonial Defence Minister. In this role, Junejo also established a good working relationship with General Arif, which alarmed Zia. Later on, General Arif was sent to Beijing as an Ambassador. To replace Arif, Junejo named the most senior General without consulting General Zia on the matter. Also, according to the US embassy, Junejo took the political decision to open the Khokrapar-Munnabao Southern Rail service between India and Pakistan ‘almost overruling security officials’.

The breaking-point was reached in 1988 over two issues. The first concerned the negotiations then going on to resolve the Afghan issue which culminated in the Geneva Accord of 14 April 1988, in which the Soviet Union agreed to start its withdrawal from Afghanistan and Pakistan agreed not to
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1040 The Council consists of three services chiefs of forces, the Ministers of Interior, and Foreign Affairs, Chairman of Joint Chiefs of Staff, and Director of Inter-Services Intelligence.
1043 Azhar Sohail, General Zia ke Gyara Saal (Lahore, year is missing), p.88.
interfere in the internal affairs of that country. Junejo organised an All Parties Conference (APC) of all political leaders to discuss the issue, including BB but excluding Zia. Seven MRD leaders were in favour of signing of the accord, as were the other political parties. BB was in favour of setting up an interim government to resolve the local feuds to avoid bloodshed in the country. Zia did not want to end the war until Russia was on its knees, and in R.B. Raees’s opinion, Junejo was acting here in an ‘unauthorised’ manner, and it caused a fissure between the two.

The second issue was that of the explosion on morning of 10 April 1988 of the Pakistan army ammunition stockpile at Ojhri, Faizabad. More than 100 died and thousands more were injured in the blast. Junejo set up two committees – one military and one parliamentary – to probe the cause of the disaster. Although the reports have yet to be published to this day, it was said that the military report recommended that General Akhtar Abdur-Rehman, the right hand of General Zia, and then ISI chief, be court marshalled. The parliamentary committee that was led by the Defence Minister concluded on the other hand that ‘no one was responsible, it was the Act of Allah.’ However, the Minister of State for Defence, Rana Naeem Mahmood accused the senior generals including General Akhter Abdur Rehman of being involved in and mishandling the incident, and recommended they be court marshalled. Dr. Kamal Hussain stirred things up by arguing that the money spent on the

1045 Viewpoint, XIII (30), 3 March 1988, p.34.
armaments that were lost at Ojhri should have been used for social and economic uplift of the country instead. According a the report, published in *The Nation* on 20 April, Rs.6.75 billions- worth of weapons were burnt in the disaster, along with the loss of life, injuries and destruction of surrounding properties and materials. Dr. Hussain argued that with this money 25.44 million people could have been provided with clean drinking water and 50.94 million with health cover, 0.228 million people could have lived in their own houses, and 4.4 million children could have gone to schools to brighten their futures.\(^{1049}\)

Therefore, the protest rally against the existence of the ammunition dump in Ojhri that was led by the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan and attended by all political parties in opposition, including MRD, brandished slogans such as “Send Ojhri to the Pentagon”, “Peace, not Bombs”, and “Food, not Ammunition.” The committee set up by Junejo, and its statement ‘to punish the culprit whoever they are’\(^{1050}\) was the final nail in his government’s coffin. Zia ul Haq could never have thought his hand-picked Prime minister would cause him so much difficulty.\(^{1051}\) So, on 29 May 1988 he ordered the dissolution of the government and the national assembly, which was followed by the dissolution of provincial assemblies by their respective Governors, and the chief Election Commissioner was told to hold a general election in ninety days. There was no

---


\(^{1050}\) Muhammad Khan Junejo, speech in the Parliament, *Viewpoint*, XIII(38), 28 April 1988, p.34.

declaration of Emergency.\textsuperscript{1052} Zia established an accountability board to review cases of corruption against 140 MNAs of the defunct parliament.\textsuperscript{1053}

Zia’s allegation that the government had not made the implementation of Islam a priority was one of the publicly-stated reasons for the dissolution. Religious leaders such as Maulana Shah Ahmad Noorani (JUP) in addresses at Mochi Gate on 10 April 1986 were still looking for a Nizam-i-Mustafa system to solve Pakistan’s problems.\textsuperscript{1054} So too did Zia, who in his speech to Parliament on 7 April 1988 criticised the apathy of the government on its implementation of Islam. He further clarified his powerful role in the government by stating ‘he is neither Chaudhry Fazal Ellahi nor Queen Elizabeth’\textsuperscript{1055} who sat idly by whilst their governments performed poorly. In response, the Independent Parliamentary Group in the National Assembly brought a motion against the General, which further provoked him. General Zia had himself admitted that he had failed to establish a complete Islamic system in Pakistan in all the years that he had ruled absolutely, and asking the limp democracy to implement it in a shorter period was clearly unreasonable. After the dissolution of the assembly, he issued the Sharia Ordinance.

According to CIA and the US embassy reports, the dissolution of the Junejo’s government had already been mooted before all this, with the Afghan agreement and the Ojhri Camp disaster being used as excuses. General Arif quoted General Fazal-i-Haq on the issue of the gulf between General Zia and Junejo, who forecasted that by the end of 1987 “Mr Junejo has completed his

\textsuperscript{1054} Maulana Shah Ahmed Noorani, \textit{Viewpoint}, XI (36), 17 April 1986, p.16.
days.” US embassy reports claimed that ‘should Zia use his authority against Junejo, we believe the military – by all accounts still loyal to Zia – would side with him’. The absence of the army’s resistance to the dissolution of Parliament shows that this was the case.

**MRD: Shift from Restoration of Democracy to ‘People’s Sovereignty’**

Publically, the MRD leaders categorically rejected the claimed ‘new dawn’ for democracy in Pakistan. BB’s statement issued from Cannes (France) was in this vein:

> Gen. Ziaul Haq’s latest moves are nothing more than a cunning act of political camouflage, designed to dupe the people of Pakistan and placate political and opinion leaders in the Western countries whose support and economic assistance are vital for his regime.

American intelligence claimed that this opposition was only a façade. Whatever the truth of this, the repeal of martial law gave the MRD a breathing space. On 29-30 January 1986 the MRD Action Committee met to revisit its policy and decide on a course of action for the post-martial law era. It was the first open gathering of the MRD after the end of 102 months of martial law. Every party in the MRD marched towards the Mochi Gate in a big procession carrying the symbols and placards of their respective leaders and parties. The workers of the Khaksar Tehrik let off firecrackers, and the others chanted
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1058 In January 1988, Central Executive Committee of MRD launched a ‘public contact campaign’ for bringing People’s Sovereignty in the country.
1060 Directorate of Intelligence, “Pakistan: The Opposition since the Election”, *Near East and South Asia Review*, on 7 June 1985, 24 November 2013, pp.11-12. CIA-RDP85T01184R000301490002-8,CREST, NARA, College Park, MD.
slogans against the government.\textsuperscript{1061} This provided not only a show of strength to their opponents but to the fellow parties in the alliance as well.

One major issue that came up for the MRD was that of the balance of power between provinces. Weak provincial self-determination and an imbalance of power between provinces have always been major issues in the politics of Pakistan. Although a federal system, crucial powers have been held by the centre. The same problem was found in the 1980s also in India, where the Congress Government practised an overbearing and centralised form of rule. This was a legacy of British imperial rule, which had always had a highly centralised authority. The proposals under the 1935 Government of India Act for a federal system were not realised in a genuine way, as the centre could always dismiss governments. The same issue has also plagued Pakistan. This led to demands for secession. The failure of handling this issue had already resulted in the separation of East Pakistan in 1971, which further set back the legitimate demands of the smaller provinces as the centre became more vigilant in protecting its power citing security risks to the state as its justification. The national leaders, and their parties including ZAB and his party’s government who had witnessed the break-up of the country, missed the chance to solve the issue for good. S. Akber Zaidi divided them into two groups. The former is liberal, and the latter right-wing.\textsuperscript{1062}

In the aftermath of martial law, the issues of the status of the federated units was made paramount. The MRD working body recommended reserving six subjects to the national government while representatives of the smaller

\textsuperscript{1061} Viewpoint, XI (26), 6 February 1986, p13.
provinces wanted only four in this category – defence, foreign affairs, currency, and communication. NDP rejected this, as it felt that the MRD was dominated by the larger provinces, and particularly Punjab. After prolonged discussions, it was eventually agreed on 3 August 1986 that there would be full provincial autonomy, with only the four subjects centralised, with other powers devolved to the provinces.\textsuperscript{1063} Maulana Fazal-ur-Rehman, the current convener of the MRD, declared in the press conference that:

\begin{quote}
...the document is a commitment to the people of Pakistan that when the Alliance or any single party in it comes into power, it will amend the 1973 constitution suitably to ensure that the Autonomy Declaration is implemented in letter and spirit so that undue interference in provincial affairs ends once for all.\textsuperscript{1064}
\end{quote}

However, the declaration could not be implemented until 2009 following the Charter of Democracy (CoD), signed in 2006 in London. Interestingly, the MRD declaration proposed compulsory military training for ‘all adult citizens’, which seems to include girls and boys.\textsuperscript{1065}

The alliance partners had to resolve the internal issue of turning the movement into an electoral alliance. The smaller parties pressurized the partners to provide a joint programme to mobilise the general public.\textsuperscript{1066} It was argued that this would strengthen them against the regime and its allies. The smaller parties reminded the bigger parties, like the PPP, of the support they gave them during their lowest moments, and the debt of gratitude they were

\begin{flushright}
\textsuperscript{1065} \textit{Viewpoint}, XI (52), 7 August 1986, p.12.
\textsuperscript{1066} Rehman, \textit{Pakistan under Siege}, p.264.
\end{flushright}
subsequently owed. For example, TI asked for forty per cent. Opponents of a joint electoral pact argued that this might be counter-productive, especially at the local level, where the candidates of each party had their own various personal preferences and levels of public approval. They argued that the election could resolve the issue without the need for joint electorates. Thirdly, the joint election agenda would diminish the individual identity of each party, which was unacceptable for the big parties. PPP, for example, insisted on maintaining its separate electoral identity. BB however gave an assurance that cooperation would continue with the MRD both “in struggle and the government.” Drafting a joint declaration, overhauling the existing policies either in favour of smaller nationalities or removing their historical grievances and dealing with the socio-economic deprivation of federating units, while maintaining the integrity of the nation as a whole were all issues that had to be addressed by the political parties. Because of all these competing agendas, the MRD was unable in the end to build a joint platform to contest the election, and later on, the PPP having a simple majority in 1988 election had to kneel down before the terms and conditions of the establishment and took the oath of a ‘truncated’ democratic government.

Of all the parties, Tehreek-i-Istiqlal proved hardest to integrate within the alliance. It declared that it would associate with the MRD only at the

\[1067\] Rehman, *Pakistan under Siege*, p.264.
\[1068\] Rehman, *Pakistan under Siege*, p.265.
\[1069\] Rehman, *Pakistan under Siege*, p.266.
\[1071\] Rehman, *Pakistan under Siege*, p.265.
national level. The party later on declared its opposition to ‘Bhuttoism’ in a way that damaged the alliance. In the party’s views stated in an open letter to the Secretary General of the MRD, Malik Qasim, in August 1986, it was alleged that Bhuttoism ‘meant conspiring some Generals to break up Pakistan in order to gain power…the killing of factory workers and the murders of political opponents…strangulation of the promises of the Press and a betrayal of the promises made to the “kisans” and the poor of the country.’ Moreover, it rejected BB’s assertion that the 1977 elections were not rigged and that the PNA movement was financed by the USA. TI also criticised BB’s alleged understanding with USA and Saudi Arabia for her to win power in Pakistan. The ANP’s leader’s provocative statement that the establishment of Pakistan was ‘a sin’ was condemned as well. Because of these issues, TI eventually quit the alliance at the time when unity was needed to oust the regime.

Since the beginning of the MRD, having a woman as a potential head of state remained controversial. This was despite the fact that most of the parties including JUI, Jama’at-i-Islami’s, had supported Miss Fatima Jinnah in the Presidential election against General Ayub Khan. However, the parties in the alliance were reluctant to place any woman as head of the state during the anti-Zia movement. JUI (Fazal-ur-Rehman group) refused to accept BB as Pakistan’s
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1074 Asghar Khan, Open letter to Malik Qasim, Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.16.
1075 Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.16.
1076 Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.16.
future leader on grounds of her gender.\textsuperscript{1077} Women’s rights group such as Punjab Women Lawyers’ Association declared the stand ‘a hypocrisy.’\textsuperscript{1078}

Except for the submission of financial accounts to the Election Commission to fulfil constitutional requirements, the meeting of 29-30 January 1986 could not decide unanimously on the burning political issues – provincial autonomy and the conversion of the movement into an electoral alliance. But, the committee wisely agreed to let the issues remain open for discussion in their particular party’s committees and to re-meet after two months.\textsuperscript{1079} However, the alliance re-pledged their stance on the rejection of the 1984 Presidential Referendum, the illegitimacy of the 1985 General Election, and the instalment of the civilian government afterwards. In front of a mammoth crowd at Mochi Gate, the alliance declared its opposition, and its continuous struggle against the regime. The action committee also passed resolutions to strengthen its ties with the workers as well with civil society. They demanded the release of all political prisoners including Rasool Bakhsh Paleejo, and Fazil Rahu of Awami Tehreek; the repeal of Press and Publication Ordinance, and an end to restrictions imposed on newspaper publishers requiring them to deposit advanced securities of between Rs. 500,000 ($3333.33) and Rs. 3 million ($20 thousand).\textsuperscript{1080} The committee warned the government of the negative impact of the un-checked infiltration of Afghan refugees into the country’s domestic economy and politics, which was overseen by Jamaat-i-Islami and the

\textsuperscript{1077} Viewpoint, XI (27), 13 February 1986, p.34.
\textsuperscript{1078} Viewpoint, XIII (24), 21 January 1988, pp.15-16.
\textsuperscript{1079} Viewpoint, XI (26), 6 February 1986, p.5.
\textsuperscript{1080} Viewpoint, XI (26), 6 February 1986, p.5.
government.\textsuperscript{1081} They also decided to hold frequent meetings. The next two meetings were organised in the following month in Faisalabad and Multan.

At the Faisalabad meeting of February 1986, two demands were presented to government – the Resignation of General Zia-ul-Haq, and the holding of a fresh election on a party basis. A peaceful movement would be launched to achieve these objectives.\textsuperscript{1082} Tehreek-i-Istiqlal did not show up and registered its party independently. The Multan meeting on 21 February reiterated their demands. It also attracted hundreds of protestors. The central Action committee meeting in August in Lahore demanded a firm schedule for the election by 20 September 1986.\textsuperscript{1083} The committee reaffirmed its threat of launching a peaceful movement against the regime if the election had not been held. The CEC meeting on 2 August 1986 under the convenership of Maulana Fazal-ur-Rehman reiterated its stand that the martial law of 1977 had no justification. The meeting also paid tribute to the sacrifices of the workers during the 1983 campaign.\textsuperscript{1084} After the declaration, Ayaz Amir, the renowned political analyst, warned the MRD that it would need to be strong to avoid an embarrassing failure. The current conditions were favourable to be exploited by MRD.\textsuperscript{1085} Husain Naqi on the other hand felt that the alliance had sufficient strength already. As it was, despite the issuing of the long-awaited declaration on provincial autonomy, the alliance was still not adequately united.

\textsuperscript{1082} Viewpoint, XI (28), 20 February 1986, p.12.
\textsuperscript{1083} Viewpoint, XI (52), 7 August 1986, p.12.
\textsuperscript{1084} Viewpoint, XI (52), 7 August 1986.
Individual parties in the alliance continued to squabble with each other. There was an attempt to force greater unity among parties on the Left. Five Left-wing parties – MKP, NDP, PNP, QMA, and Awami Tehreek – were eager to merge the parties into one, and finally emerged as a united Awami National Party (ANP). The parties such as SAT, and ANP who were part of the MRD established the Sindh National Alliance under the leadership of G.M. Syed, the anti-MRD leader of Jiye Sindh Mahaz. Nawabzada Nasrullah declared in an interview that this was due to the failure of MRD to keep the parties intact.

**The Return of Benazir Bhutto:**

According to the civil resistance scholarship, the leader or group of the leaders plays a key role in the success of a movement. In the culture of South Asia, the importance of the leaders is like that of a devta (deity); the devotion accorded to leaders in South Asia frequently has a quasi-religious quality, with followers having a faith in their leader that is generally unrealistic about what they are capable of achieving. It also tends to preclude questions and prevents any debate about their suitability or qualities as leaders.

After a decade-long struggle against the regime, BB had become the devta of the majority of the Pakistani people, who considered her as a Messiah. The receivers and bearers of the longest and toughest punishments, the workers had built up highest expectations from her. Likewise, being the largest party and having
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1088 According to a US embassy report, the alliance was supported with the help of Soviet Union and Afghanistan. On the day of its formation, several Afghan diplomats were present there. Abdul Wali Khan.
strong public support, PPP indeed was believed to strengthen the alliance. Unlike the 1981-84 period of the anti-Zia resistance, BB mainly remained free during the 1985-88 period with the exception of a few brief arrests, so the smaller parties in the alliance had expected more from her and her party to run a successful campaign against the regime. Unfortunately, BB failed to respond to both groups for two major reasons. One was the unmanageable split in the PPP due to numerous reasons, including her undemocratic behaviour in running the party. The other was its go-it-alone policy.

On the declaration of the annulment of the martial law, BB was in exile in the UK. Seeing the Junejo government’s commitment to restore the political parties and the democratic culture in the country, BB decided to return to Pakistan. Reorganising her party, securing the federation of Pakistan, struggling for holding the free and fair election under 1973 constitution and establishing a people's government in that result were her major political targets on her return to Pakistan. She had taken the lesson from her father of not being rigid and dictator in party affairs, which led to his downfall and death.\textsuperscript{1090} She chalked out her programme to pressurize the government in three steps.\textsuperscript{1091}

1. 'Seeing and meeting' the people.

2. To organize peaceful demonstrations/court arrest.

3. After December, if the regime failed to lift up the martial law as promised, then decisive struggle would be launched.

\textsuperscript{1090} Abbas Kazim, Benazir Bhutto Beti, p.32.

\textsuperscript{1091} The Struggle, issue no.7, Winter 1986-7, p4. 601/R/23/19, MRC.
Some scholars\textsuperscript{1092} as well as the government took the return of the BB to Pakistan as the revival of the democratic culture in the country. The concerned quarters assumed that an agreement between the General and BB paved the way smooth to her return. The current research confirms that there was a deal that was secured between the General and BB under very strong pressure from America. Junejo’s push for greater democracy was not an element in this.

To check the political water in the country, Mumtaz Bhutto, and Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi returned to Pakistan after fifteen months of self-exile on 7 February 1986 and 21 March 1986 respectively. After the reshuffling of PPP in Sindh, Jatoi was believed to have become an opponent of BB’s leadership. His followers planned a mammoth welcome for him and were supported in this by pro-Zia MNAs. The sources report that more than three million rupees were spent for this welcome show. Newspapers gave a figure of 50,000 who came to receive Jatoi. However, the PPP’s die-hard workers took over the show chanting the slogan \textit{wazir-i-azam} Benazir (Prime Minister Benazir) instead.\textsuperscript{1093} However, the assassination of Noora, the servant of ZAB, in his house along with his niece on 3 February 1986 led BB to suspect that it was a political assassination.\textsuperscript{1094}

\begin{flushleft}
\textsuperscript{1092} Abbas Kazim, \textit{Benazir Bhutto Beti}, p.28.
\textsuperscript{1093} Viewpoint, XI (33), 27 March 1986, p.29.
\textsuperscript{1094} Viewpoint, XI (26), 6 February 1986, p.22.
\end{flushleft}
Figure 6 welcome receptions to BB on her return to Pakistan on 10 April 1986. Taken from Viewpoint 17 April 1986.

On 5 February 1986, BB announced that she would return to Pakistan. Following this, the MRD organised twenty-three public rallies in Punjab alone on 23 March 1986 demanding a fresh election and the resignation of General Zia.\textsuperscript{1095} On BB’s revealing the intentional plan of creating unrest in Punjab, the US Embassy sources\textsuperscript{1096} stated that BB believed that blocking roads and closing down the factories would create unrest in Punjab province. To counter the unrest, the regime would call in the army, which would refuse to fire on its fellow Punjabis. Resultantly, the army would remove General Zia and hold elections.

\textsuperscript{1095} Viewpoint, XI (33), 27 March 1986, p.22.
BB arrived in Lahore on 10 April 1986 to a mammoth welcome. This showed that the province was still the stronghold of PPP.\textsuperscript{1097} In the last nine-and-a-half years, the regime tried hard to root Bhutto(ism) out of the country using the tactics such as buying off big political families like Jatoi in Sindh and Khar in Punjab,\textsuperscript{1098} through media and judicial trials;\textsuperscript{1099} and the torture and the murders of PPP workers. However, the reception on her arrival rejected all their evil efforts.\textsuperscript{1100} Viewpoint, in its editorial, summarised her arrival stating 'Can the Phoenix of democracy in Pakistan rise again from its ashes and obtain for itself a longer span of life? ...the prospects of such a resurrection have become much brighter.'\textsuperscript{1101} The stupendous reception to BB revealed the affection and adoration of the people towards their leader who had stood firm against the harsh regime for eight-and-a-half years. It was the demonstration of their reverence to the dead body but living soul of ZAB. The fifteen-kilometre passage from Lahore airport to the Iqbal Park, the place of the public gathering, took ten hours to cover the distance, in which, according to conservative counting, 700,000 persons participated.\textsuperscript{1102} Likewise, on 5 July 1986, 100 small and large towns in Sind came to a standstill to welcome her back to her home province. Fruit, vegetables, and cigarettes were not available that day.\textsuperscript{1103} It demonstrated that the people of Pakistan still stood for democracy, and their right of freedom to live, think and act freely in the country.

\textsuperscript{1098} Azhar Sohail, General Zia ke Gyara Saal (Lahore, year is missing),p.42.  
\textsuperscript{1099} Abbas Kazim, Benazir Bhutto Beti, p.23.  
\textsuperscript{1101} Viewpoint, XI (36), 17 April 1986, p.5.  
\textsuperscript{1102} Viewpoint, XI (36), 17 April 1986, p.13.  
\textsuperscript{1103} The Struggle, issue no.7, Winter 1986-7, p.3. 601/R/23/19, MRC.
On her arrival, every politician, friend and foe, political analysts, national and international media and the general public, were anxious to hear of her plans in the current political scenario. Amongst the domestic and foreign policies, she affirmed her struggle for democracy, rejected the December referendum, asked General Zia-ul-Haq ‘to leave (the country) gracefully’, and expressed her readiness to accept Junejo’s government as a caretaker to hold free and fair election on the party basis. Her action plan was “Throw Zia out, Bring in Democracy.” Addressing the suppressed sections of the society – the labourers – she made her commitment to raise the minimum wages of a labour to 1,000 rupees ($63) per month. Moreover, she repudiated any policy of revenge for her father’s murder. By refraining from vengeance, she gave the message to the Pakistan army in general that her access to the power of the country would neither bring any misery to either the institution or any individual. Pakistani political analysts and workers compared her return to Pakistan with Cory Aquino’s struggle and return.

1104 Domestic policy: Resignation of President Zia and new party-based elections, release of all political prisoners, full restoration of 1973 Constitution, raising the minimum wage to 1,000 rupees ($63) per month, rehiring of workers fired from government enterprises, mixed economy; no further nationalization of industries, ceiling on landholdings, reduction of taxes for small farmers while imposing agricultural taxes on large landowners. Foreign policy: genuinely nonaligned foreign policy, equally good relations with US and the Soviet union, aid with no strings attached, withdrawal of Soviet troops from Afghanistan and return of Afghan refugees (PPP was publicly silent on issue of direct talks with Kabul), and gradual normalisation of relations with India on basis of 1972 bilateral Simla Accord. Cited in Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, Directorate of Intelligence, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.28.


1106 Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, Directorate of Intelligence, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.27.


1108 The Struggle, issue no.7, Winter 1986-7, 601/R/23/19, MRC.
Revealing her three-staged strategy to achieve her objectives to a US diplomat,\(^{1109}\) she said that the first would be a series of political rallies across Pakistan in April and May to exhibit the popularity of the party. Boosting up the morale and enthusiasm of the party workers before the reorganisation of the party, and the call for ‘nonviolent civil disobedience movement – fasts, labour strikes, and demonstrations’ – as stated by BB were laid down the second and third strategies respectively for the campaign. Her aim was to force the government to hold a new election by the autumn of 1986.\(^{1110}\)

A deal between BB and General Zia-ul-Haq was made before her return to Pakistan, which was managed by the Americans. This was revealed both in interviews\(^{1111}\) and documented evidence. BB, who before going into exile publicly blamed the Pakistan Army vis-à-vis Zia and the USA as murderers of her father and refused any agreement with the regime and the General on any terms, now returned to Pakistan after a secret understanding with her adversaries. She had understood the realpolitik of the world.\(^{1112}\) Stephen P. Cohen, while denying any written agreement between the parties, saw it as an

\(^{1109}\) Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, Directorate of Intelligence, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000008500001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.27.

\(^{1110}\) Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, Directorate of Intelligence, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000008500001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.27.

\(^{1111}\) Asif Butt, the student leader of Pakistan People’s Party, and a member of the militant anti-Zia struggle from Kabul 1979-84. Interview with the researcher, 26 February 2012, Lahore; Dr. Mehdi Hassan, Professor of Journalism, and PPP worker, interview with the researcher May 2013 Lahore; Dr. Mubashar Hassan, the architecture of Socialist manifest of PPP, Federal Minister during ZAB government, ex-General Secretary of PPP, interview with the researcher 28 May 2012 Lahore.

\(^{1112}\) Asif Butt, interview with the researcher.
‘understanding’: ‘It was not the deal but the understanding. These are not formal agreements but probably verbal understandings between officials.’

During the last decade of the Zia regime, the USA exerted a powerful influence over his regime due to their unrestricted penetration in the government's business. Knowing the fact on her return, Benazir developed her strategy not to antagonise USA and its allies but Zia-ul-Haq alone during her resistance campaign. Analysing the evidence, Abdullah Malik in his book ‘Teen Virastain’, and Babar Ali in his article 'Where is the Opposition in Pakistan’ stated that BB not only stopped four party workers burning the USA flag during her welcome rally but expelled the workers from the party who did that. Moreover, she clarified that her democratic struggle was not against the Pakistan Army but General Zia. Ian Talbot does not confirm the existence of any evidence showing an agreement; however he does not root out the possibility either. 'I mean there is not much evidence of this. BB would probably be given the green light to return. And that’s kind of indication, do not forget that Zia has civilianised his martial law by this stage.' He further stated that the General was looking around for some kind of exit strategy, some kind of working agreement, as long as it was on his terms, with BB and PPP.

However, her trip to Washington and Saudi Arabia before returning to Pakistan, the absence of the popular slogan, Asia Surkh Hai (Asia is red vis-à-vis communist) in PPP’s meetings before the arrival of BB in Pakistan and, on
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1113 Stephen P. Cohen, Ex-Official of State Department USA on Pakistan and the best friend of General Zia-ul-Haq, interview with the researcher, 20 November 2013, Washington D.C.
1114 Rehman, Pakistan under Siege, pp.277-80.
1116 Ian Talbot, Interview with the Researcher, 9 July 2013, The University of Warwick (Coventry).
the day of arrival, BB's forbidding her workers from chanting slogans such as ‘America ka ju Yaar hi, Ghaddar Ghaddar Hi’\textsuperscript{1118} (A friend of America is a traitor) indicated the agreement among BB, USA and the Army. The uncharitable comment from her rivals was that she was ‘an Aquino’\textsuperscript{1119} returning along the “old route” to political power – London-Washington-Saudi Arabia-Pakistan.’\textsuperscript{1120}

She responded that the purpose of her visit was to ensure that aid – the USA approved $4.02 billion after her trip – was given to Pakistan conditional on the human rights situation in the country, and to thank the State Department for getting her released last summer.\textsuperscript{1121} However she later criticised the Western aid for strengthening the Zia regime.\textsuperscript{1122}

Moreover, on the eve of Libya-USA crisis, the call of the US’s Seventh Fleet Ships at Karachi port, the action in Gadoon, and her own party's protest against the USA’s aggression, BB's trip to Washington DC brought harsh criticism from her rivals as well as from her own party workers. Col. Moammer Qaddafi\textsuperscript{1123} of Libya was a close ally of ZAB, and it was he who had supported Pakistan financially on ZAB’s request after the East-Pakistan debacle. The US intelligence reports of November 1986 clearly defined the US’s cordial relationship with BB, though was still wary of her.\textsuperscript{1124} It stated BB would maintain cordial relationships with USA; however, her government in future

\textsuperscript{1118} Mazhar Abbas, Interview with the researcher, 13 March 2012, Karachi.
\textsuperscript{1122} Benazir Bhutto, Interview to BBC Urdu Service, 11 May 1988.
\textsuperscript{1123} He was the Libyan leader and the USA was hostile to his regime.
\textsuperscript{1124} Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, Directorate of Intelligence, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.31.
could diverge from US policy interests on issues such as Afghanistan, narcotics, and nuclear non-proliferation.\textsuperscript{1125}

Mazhar Abbas stated in an interview that BB had understood where power lay in the world, therefore she adjusted with it.\textsuperscript{1126} Her stepping away from her previous position led to a difference of opinion with Murtaza Bhutto, who claimed that she must be power-thirsty to shake hands with their father’s murderers.\textsuperscript{1127} Her assurance to not create any problem for the civil government on her return\textsuperscript{1128} indicated the \textit{Noora kushti} (fake wrestling) between the two parties. It meant that she had accepted the general election of 1985 and compromised on the demand of MRD for the reelection. Contrarily, BB asserted that ‘this represented a message to the United States to stop supporting dictatorships’.\textsuperscript{1129} It was not always easy for BB to win support for her change of stance from the rank-and-file of the PPP workers. In the CEC meeting in Karachi from 30 January to 1 February 1988, the party passed a resolution to maintain its previous position of ‘Islam is our creed. Democracy is our polity, socialism is our economy, and all power to the people.’\textsuperscript{1130} Senior Vice-President of the party Shaikh Mohammad Rashid reiterated that the party’s manifesto for the election to come would be a socialist one.\textsuperscript{1131}

The biggest challenge for BB lay in re-organising the party and keeping it united. Despite the welcome she received in April 1986, BB’s control over party

\textsuperscript{1125} Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, \textit{Directorate of Intelligence}, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.31.
\textsuperscript{1126} Mazhar Abbas, Interview with the researcher, 13 March 2013, Karachi.
\textsuperscript{1127} Agha Muhammad Waseem, Skype Interview with the researcher, 2013 from Sweden.
\textsuperscript{1130} \textit{Viewpoint} XIII (27), 11 February 1988, p.11.
\textsuperscript{1131} \textit{Viewpoint} XIII (28), 18 February 1988, p.16.
workers had decreased. It was visible when BB directed the party workers in Multan to vote for Yousaf Raza Gillani’s group for the Chairman Multan district. All except one favoured the opposing candidate, Shah Mahmood Qureishi.\textsuperscript{1132} Without unity, BB knew it would be hard to accomplish her plans as mentioned above.

M.B. Naqvi, a renowned journalist and an activist, placed two important issues before PPP after BB’s return. One was to get the army to return to the barracks, and the second was to enthuse the masses for their continuous participation in politics.\textsuperscript{1133} In effect, a revolution was needed to remove the military from political power, and for this, the party needed mass enthusiasm and support. The journalist pointed out that three major steps were required to mobilise the general public: the charisma and credibility of the party leadership should be revived among the masses; the idealistic programme of the revolution that would inspire the youth should be developed; and the third capability was to guide the people on all questions as they arose from day to day.\textsuperscript{1134} BB did not act democratically in her nominations of office-bears for the party. She depended on names given to her by her close aides. These were often based on personal friendship or companionship in jail.\textsuperscript{1135} Names that the aides disapproved of were absent from the list handed over to BB.\textsuperscript{1136} A dissident group in PPP accused her of violating party procedures in this respect. This so-called ‘Gang of Four’ – Malik Meiraj Khalid, Rao Rashid, Afzal Sandhu, and Mian

\textsuperscript{1135} Jahangir Badar, interview with the researcher, 5 May 2012, Islamabad. Shahid Sheikh, interview with researcher, 5 May 2012, Islamabad
\textsuperscript{1136} Asif Butt, Interview with the researcher, 26 February 2012, Lahore.
Ehsanul Haq – resigned from the CEC of the party in protest. One of their major grievances concerned the appointment of Rana Shaukat Mahmood as the President of PPP-Punjab. Undoubtedly many of the nominations were based on merit such as those of Salman Taseer, Malik Hakmeen Khan, Gen (rtd.) Tikka Khan; however such nomination not only strengthened the rifts between the dissident group and the Co-Chairperson, but it also set the undemocratic tone of nominating the office bearers of a democratic party, which has continued ever since. Sh. Rashid, the Senior Vice-Chairman of PPP, in his interview accused the party of abandoning its socialist principles and acting in a neo-imperial way in this respect. To back this up, he stated that his party workers were beaten in the party meeting of Qilla Gujjar Singh on the ‘charge’ of raising socialist slogans. His membership was also suspended.\textsuperscript{1137} Dr. Mubasher Hassan, a member of the Principles Committee, reiterated the demand for holding of intra-party elections from the levels of Chairperson down to union councils so as to strengthen the party throughout the country.\textsuperscript{1138} This all revealed that BB and other senior leaders had not learnt from their own experiences during eight years of harsh struggle and exile and were continuing in the old authoritarian and unjust ways. Furthermore, BB failed to reconcile the right-wingers and left-wingers in the party. Right-wingers of PPP eventually made BB remove Dr Ghulam Hussain, a left-winger, from the Secretary General position of the party, being replaced by General Tikka Khan.\textsuperscript{1139} Workers formed a committee against the action. 3000 workers in Pakistan marked the first anniversary of the hanging of Irdees Toti,
Idris Beg, and Usman Ghani in Lahore to show solidarity with Dr. Ghulam Hussain.\footnote{The Struggle, 6 (Winter) 1985-6, p.3. 601/R/23/19, MRC.}

Moreover, she failed to apprehend the emerging circumstances in Sindh. The weekly magazine \textit{Viewpoint} reported similar reasons behind the resignations –‘lack of democracy in the party, top-down decision making, and the enforcement of these decisions without the active involvement of the cadre and the absence of a responsive organisational structure.’\footnote{Viewpoint, XIII (24), 21 August 1988, p.14.} In less than two years, Sindh saw three provincial heads of the party: Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi, President of the party in Sindh was dismissed on grounds that he had enjoyed a suspiciously long and firm relationship with the Zia regime; his successor, Makhdoom Khaliq-uz-Zaman, was then removed; and his successor, Mir Hazar Khan Bajrani, resigned in January 1988 after his failure in the local election in December 1987. An MNA told US diplomats that Zia had contacted Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi and Ghulam Mustafa Khar as potential partners to break the power of PPP and to campaign against BB.\footnote{Near Eastern and South Asia Office, Directorate of Intelligence, “Pakistan: Prospects for the Junejo Government”, November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP86T00587R000380002-4, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.10.} After some time, Jatoi and Khar from Punjab had established National People’s Party\footnote{The opposing group of PPP named it National ‘Puppet’ Party due to its split done by the establishment.} in August 1986 in retaliation, collecting the moderate and anti-Bhutto elements of PPP.\footnote{Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, Directorate of Intelligence, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R0003008500001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.29.} The government continued hounding PPP-Sindh leaders to join Zia’s party, and
achieved this when Talib-ul-Maula of Hala joined the official Muslim League in March 1988.\textsuperscript{1145}

The rivalry of the SPBM of Mumtaz Bhutto – who also shared ZAB’s legacy – and the rise of ethnic-based politics in the shape of MQM dramatically altered the course of politics in the province. The major reason of the change of BB’s policy was the defeat of PPP in local elections December 1987 throughout much of the country including Punjab and Sindh, with further divisions in the election between urban and rural politics. The recently-emerged Muhajjir Qaumi Mahaz (MQM)\textsuperscript{1146} swept the election in Karachi and Hyderabad, whereas Sindh-Baluchistan-Pukhtoon Front (SBPF) won in Northern Sindh as well as in the majority of Karachi District councils.\textsuperscript{1147} The only major exception to this was in victories under Aftab Sherpao in Peshawer. In general, PPP, vis-à-vis BB, was outclassed in the local body elections of 1987.

PPP was now at the lowest ebb in its decade-long struggle against the regime. The optimism felt when the mammoth crowd received BB at Lahore airport less than a year before vanished as the results of the polls came in, caused by the party’s (and BB’s) missteps. Seeing the defeat of his archrival, General Zia – on 29 December 1987 – firmly rejected holding a general election before the term of the existing parliament expired in 1990. On the other hand, the civic polls led to a change in BB’s demands, from re-election to the ‘guarantee for free and fair elections in 1990’, and she appealed for renewed struggle for justice and people’s rights in the new year of 1988.\textsuperscript{1148} In her

\textsuperscript{1145} Viewpoint, XIII (35), 7 April 1988, p.34.
\textsuperscript{1146} MQM represents Urdu speaking migrants from India except those who came from East Punjab.
\textsuperscript{1147} Viewpoint, XIII (23), 14 January 1988, pp.9-10.
\textsuperscript{1148} Viewpoint, XIII (22), 7 January 1988, p.34.

BB confessed that ‘wherever the party was divided...we did not do well.’ She attributed it in part to the employment of untrained political workers in the elections instead of those who had experienced ‘jail, street and back’. Equally, she also pointed out the conspicuous role of money: ‘a minimum of Rs.100,000 per constituency were needed by the PPP to give a decent fight’ in the election, which also worked against them. Not only was it a setback to the party itself, but also to the MRD, as the party represented a large chunk of the alliance’s strength.

Reorganisation of the party was sorely needed after this debacle. BB called for a complete overhaul of the party’s office bearers, and she appointed a new team in Punjab under Rana Shaukat Mahmood, President of PPP-Punjab. She held an internal party election in Punjab in June 1987. According to the US consulate to Lahore, the elections resulted in a stronger and more unified PPP in Punjab. However, the most conspicuous outcome of the new team was the lack of focus on the struggle to remove General Zia and demand for fresh elections. BB was now accused by radicals of adopting a quietist approach. The Struggle, a bi-annual journal, criticised her policy of ‘wait and see’ and talked of ‘Benazir’s Gandhism’, as BB refrained from deploying any violence to achieve her objectives.

In all of this, there were tensions between the strategies of BB and the MRD. This had started when BB was still in the USA, when she stated the Junejo government was the first step towards democracy. Her statement received heavy criticism in the Central Action Committee’s meeting of MRD in March 1986 in Karachi.\textsuperscript{1153} This committee described the Junejo’s government as a ‘gimmick’; democracy was yet to come. The committee condemned the continued incarceration of hundreds of political workers and demanded their immediate release. One resolution also demanded the release of workers of other parties such as Rasool Bakhsh Paleejo of Sindhi Awami Tehreek. The meeting, nonetheless, seemed to be confronted with its own internal conflict, as it also said the emergence of factions would not be tolerated.\textsuperscript{1154} The party held a public meeting alongside this in which 15,000 party workers participated. The meeting asked the unconstitutional government to quit, and re-hold the election according to the 1973 Constitution.\textsuperscript{1155}

Seeing the stupendous reception on her return to Pakistan, BB wanted to exploit the situation in her favour in an early election.\textsuperscript{1156} She was at that juncture reluctant to enter into an electoral alliance with MRD, whereas the smaller parties of the alliance were well aware of their fate in the proposed immediate election. According to the US consulate in Lahore’s cable, they were reluctant to support the PPP’s demand, and asked for a ‘prearranged
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apportionment of parliamentary seats’ between the PPP and the other MRD parties.¹¹⁵⁷

In the Central Action Committee meeting of MRD on 6 August, PPP agreed upon collective action against the regime if the government did not meet the election demand by 20 September. However, snubbing the collective decision, PPP called on a civil disobedience campaign on 14 August. The party asked the workers to be peaceful and disciplined during the continuous course of struggle against the post-martial law regime.

**Black Thursday (14 August 1986):**

The unilateral decision by PPP to launch a campaign of civil resistance on 14 August was a humiliation for the MRD, which had agreed that individual parties should not act on their own in this respect.¹¹⁵⁸ The MRD had already planned a public meeting at Mochi Gate in Lahore on 14 August 1986, and PPP took the lead in turning this into the launch of the civil disobedience movement. PML had also planned to organise a public gathering at Minar-i-Pakistan on the same day, to be addressed by Prime Minister Junejo.¹¹⁵⁹ TI and JUP decided not to participate, but its local organisations were involved individually in the campaign. This all created an explosive situation on that day. The MRD leaders tried to maintain peace. When Junejo and the MRD had their public assemblies in Lahore not very far from each other, the senior leaders of MRD took quick

---


¹¹⁵⁹ I.A. Rehman stated that Junejo was on USA trip and he was not asked before the finalisation of the public gathering, which was mainly PML-Punjab planned to do so. Junejo did not want to confront with MRD at this stage, therefore, he called a halt to the gathering on 11 August. (*Rehman, Pakistan under Siege*, p.270.)
action to stop its workers from engaging themselves with the government’s supporters who were tearing down their posters.\textsuperscript{1160} They were unable however to stop the violence that then exploded throughout the city.

The Shaheen Squad of the Punjab police took charge of dealing with the protest of 14 August at Mochi Gate. One of the accounts of the day stated that ‘in the morning the situation was deceptively calm. A group of us pundits who toured the city confidently said that nothing “much” would happen. A few hours later we were eating our words as the Circular Road and the innumerable lanes feeding it erupted into the worst street battles the city has seen since the height

\textsuperscript{1160} \textit{Viewpoint}, XII (1), 14 August 1986, p.5.
of the PNA agitation in 1977.\textsuperscript{1161} The Lohari Police Station, on the way to the Mochi Gate, was besieged and attacked by protestors. According to a Government Press note, ‘the police used tear gas shells and fires in the air to disperse the mob which was bent upon setting the police station on fire’.\textsuperscript{1162} The press, however, accused the police of the embattled garrison of using excessive force: ‘Forget about lathis and teargas...Bullets were used with an abandon rare ever by our permissive standards. Shaheen Squad toughs were firing their Chinese-made Kalashnikovs at the slightest provocation’.\textsuperscript{1163} Four people were killed at the spot. A joint press conference of District Magistrate Lahore and the Deputy Inspector-General of Police agreed that ‘none of the processionists was found carrying firearms.’\textsuperscript{1164} Nonetheless, Shabbir Ibn-i-Adil stated in his book that it was policemen who were killed on the day by the mob.\textsuperscript{1165} The media reported that undoubtedly the protestors had brick-batted the police from two sides, from Bansanwala Bazar and Shah Alam Market. But the arrival of the reinforcements to rescue them altered the power in favour of the police. Firing with Lee Enfield rifles, they killed a protestor on the spot, followed by three more.\textsuperscript{1166} These four were PPP workers, and were named as Sheikh Muhammad Sarwar, Secretary PPP Okara Tehsil, Muhammad Zafar Iqbal of Kharian, Azmat Butt of Akbari Mandi Lahore, and Babu of Seetla Mandir Lahore. The government press note on the day did not mention the killing of any policemen during the attack on the police station but stated rather that

\textsuperscript{1161} Ayaz Amir, ‘Courage at the Barricade’, Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.18.
\textsuperscript{1163} Ayaz Amir, ‘Courage at the Barricade’, Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.18.
\textsuperscript{1165} Shabbir Ibne Adil, General Zia k dus saal, pp.133-34.
\textsuperscript{1166} Ayaz Amir, ‘Courage at the Barricade’, Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.18.
twenty-six members of law-enforcement agencies received injuries of various description...\textsuperscript{1167} Ayaz Amir in his article blamed Nawaz Sharif, the then-Chief Minister of Punjab and his advisors for being culpable in the killing of the protestors.\textsuperscript{1168} And Husain Naqi, a journalist, described it as revealing the ‘power and might of the rising strength of Muslim League under Nawaz Sharif’.\textsuperscript{1169} The use of violence against the demonstrators, the large majority of whom were peaceful, validated the MRD’s belief that the country was still under martial law.

Already on 13 August a major crackdown had started all over the country, including in Multan, Faisalabad, Rawalpindi, Lahore in Punjab. The People’s Lawyer forum took out a procession on 13 August in favour of MRD’s recent agenda as well to demand the release of political prisoners that was baton charged by the police, with seventeen being taken into custody briefly. On 14 August, it was reported that magistrates of the areas were asked to be in the police station with ready-made orders of detention from three to fifteen days for the political arrestees.\textsuperscript{1170} There were similar measures in Sindh also. BB was arrested in Karachi and sent to the Central Jail for thirty days. Later on, the government registered a case of rebellion against her; however she was released from the jail on 8 September. Two deaths occurred after street clashes in the city.\textsuperscript{1171} In Peshawar and Quetta arrests continued before and after the 14 August. Police carried out \textit{lathi} (wooden stick) charge and tear-gassed crowds

\textsuperscript{1167} Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.13.
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in Peshawar. On 29 December during the question hour in the Senate, it was stated that 37 persons were killed and 217 were injured in total during the campaign, whereas 150 political leaders and workers were detained on 14 August alone for fifteen days, according to the government functionary’s press conference. Thirty deaths, mainly in Karachi and rural Sindh, were recorded over a two-week period of the movement. Thirteen people were killed on 14 August alone. The regime banned the public rallies, and rounded up five thousand political leaders and workers including BB, as claimed the Sind PPP leaders. The violence mainly initiated by the regime was contrary to Junejo’s stated policy of respecting the opposition’s right to protest. The regime blamed the MRD protestors for the disturbances, but this lacked credibility.

This attempted campaign of civil disobedience failed. The MRD leaders except those of PPP were reluctant to launch such a campaign at an immature stage, and there was a lack of overall coordination. The gathering of over a million to welcome Benazir had led her to have inflated hopes of such a protest, which was a miscalculation. As it was, she could not even make the most of the five deaths in the police firings of 14 August. According to US embassy sources, BB blamed Punjab for the failure of the campaign. She asserted that she felt deceived by the local Punjabi leaders who kept away from the campaign. This
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was unfair, as there was strong Punjabi involvement. From Sialkot to Multan, party workers, lawyers, student bodies of MRD including Tehreek-i-Istiqlal’s Sialkot President Mr. Khurshid Alam, and Mr Khudai Nur of Quetta were arrested.\textsuperscript{1179} Workers were on the streets chanting slogans against the regime. More than 500 were arrested or injured. This all reveals that BB’s accusation against Punjab was wholly unjustified. Although BB publicly stated that the removal of Makhdoom Khaliq-uz-Zaman from his post was because of his failure to mobilise the people during this campaign, the American embassy reported that it was due to his public criticism of PPP’s Punjab President Jahangir Badar for his failure to bring ‘Punjabis’ out in support of the campaign.\textsuperscript{1180} BB’s ambitious plan to win over Punjab had faltered badly.\textsuperscript{1181}

PPP resumed public rallies in Sind and Punjab after the failure of the August civil disobedience in an attempt to restore the morale of the party’s workers. However, according to the US consulate in Lahore, she attracted only relatively small crowds.\textsuperscript{1182} A peasant rally was held at Toba Tek Singh by PPP in October 1986 that BB addressed. Due to the less mobilisation by the PPP’s workers 30,000, fewer than expected came to the gathering.\textsuperscript{1183} Later, BB confessed that the opposition movement was unprepared for the August
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confrontation\textsuperscript{1184}, and her political party direly needed (re)organisation to transform its popularity into a force that could remove the general.

**MRD and PPP**

Smaller parties in MRD complained of the highhandedness and ignoring of their opinions by PPP. TI left the coalition on the issue of the registration with the election commission as well as the *Bhuttoism* preaching by PPP. Moreover, the muted criticism by BB towards the USA made for a hostile relationship with the far-left parties of the coalition, which wanted to have a more radical approach to the political events in the country especially given the role of the USA in ‘prolonging the Afghan war and endangering Pakistan’s security’.\textsuperscript{1185} PPP condemned the resolution proposed by the six leftist parties in MRD’s meeting in June against the US’s policy towards the war.\textsuperscript{1186} Likewise, PPP had strained relations with the ANP on the issue of provincial autonomy.

In mid-August 1987, MRD held the conference of opposition parties including those outside of the MRD, but PPP walked out over the issue of the signing the declaration of the conference at the end, leaving the meeting in disarray. MRD was inactive over the next few months, holding only insignificant meetings. A *Viewpoint* editorial of January 1988 stated of the MRD that ‘the setback has not resulted from their inability to achieve the results promised but more from their


\textsuperscript{1185} Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, *Directorate of Intelligence*, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.29.
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failure to make earnest efforts to do so.\textsuperscript{1187} In February 1988, for the first time in the history of the struggle, the families – sisters, wives, mothers, brothers, and friends – of the political workers of PPP and NPP demonstrated in front of residence of Sheikh Rafiq Ahmad where the meeting of Central Committee of MRD was going on, to push the alliance to agitate more strongly for the release of their loved ones.\textsuperscript{1188} There was a strong feeling that the party leaders were not doing enough for the workers who had suffered much for their party work over the past decade.

Nevertheless, it did keep pressurising the government. Realising the diminishing participation from the common persons, the Central Executive Committee announced the launch of a ‘public contact campaign’ in the meeting in Rawalpindi, and set 12 February 1988 as the date to initiate a struggle for ‘People’s Sovereignty’, starting in Lahore. The objective was to pressurise the government to hold an election under the 1973 Constitution. The meeting, however, did not come up with any timeframe to the government for holding the election. That was the results of the failure of earlier campaigns and thus a face-saving device for the alliance. Nawabzada Nasruallah Khan, head of PDP, was also furious at the ‘state of dormancy’ of the alliance; he rather stated it should be disbanded, if it could not lead the masses against the Zia’s puppet government.\textsuperscript{1189} For political analysts, the alliance looked as if it was ‘waiting for Godot’ instead.\textsuperscript{1190}
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A resolution of the Afghan issue had also become a matter of urgency, and the MRD considered how this could be brought about in a way that brought peace and benefited Pakistan. Junejo’s invitation to MRD for an all-political party’s roundtable discussion on solving this problem focussed their minds in this respect.

Besides these internal divides, MRD was faced with a continuing onslaught from Zia. This took several forms. One was an ongoing campaign of propaganda against the alliance. It was alleged that MRD was in alliance with the Soviet bloc and that if it gained power it would damage the interests of Western powers. The agitation in Sindh was said to be steered by India. The opponents of PPP also spread rumours that a victory for the party would lead to martial law. It was also alleged against the alliance that party-based elections would create political anarchy and a return to power of criminals. PPP was said to be financed by some foreign hand such India, Iran, Libya or the Soviet Union. General Zia publicly insinuated that India backed the movement financially. However, he could not produce any proof of it. A close friend of Zia told US officials that Iran supported Nusrat Bhutto due to her being Shia. The US embassy reports, however, could not find any evidence supporting this; rather, they found that funding was coming from Pakistanis sympathetic to the

---
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movement at home and abroad as well as the Bhutto family assets.\footnote{Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”, \textit{Directorate of Intelligence}, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, p.30.} Khwaja Alqama, son of the Khwaja Khairuddin (founding General Secretary of MRD) revealed to the researcher that PPP asked the Ahmadis – a sect which was declared to be non-Muslim by ZAB – for funding.\footnote{Dr. Syed Khwaja Alqama, Vice Chancellor Bahauddin Zakarya University Multan, September 2014, Multan.} Such rumours were spread tactically to discredit the PPP and MRD in the eyes of the public.

The government also threatened the large landowners and businessmen who funded the campaigns of PPP.\footnote{Near Eastern and South Asia Office, Directorate of Intelligence, “Pakistan: Prospects for the Junejo Government”, November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP86T00587R000380002-4, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD. p.9.} The Junejo government announced a $120 million National Employment Fund for unemployed youth and they raised the lowest wages in an attempt to counter the BB’s popular slogan of Roti, Kapra \textit{aur Makan} (food, clothes and housing).

Besides this, the martial law courts continued punishing the political workers on the basis of their ideology. The Peshawar Special military court sentenced ninety-eight workers including Murtaza Bhutto to long terms of imprisonment and fines for anti-state activity.\footnote{Viewpoint, XI (21), 2 January 1986, p.22.} Karachi Prisoners Aid Committee expressed misgivings about the government’s declared intent to release detained political prisoners.\footnote{Viewpoint, XI (21), 2 January 1986, p.22.} The Political Prisoners’ Release Committee, comprised of talented lawyers, had been working to lessen the miseries of the political prisoners. For example, in the Libya Case, in which five persons were awarded life imprisonment, and two fourteen years, the committee’s lawyers managed to get the terms reduced to a maximum of seven
years as per the law. Its secretary general Mr Liaqat Warraich released a list of seventy-seven persons in Punjab, forty-five in KPK, and 143 in Sindh and Baluchistan who were still in jail after the declaration of the government that it had released all the prisoners in 1986, whereas the World Movement for the Restoration of Human Rights reported 1,112 political prisoners in Pakistan by January 1988. Amnesty International also urged the government to release 150 political prisoners who were convicted after unfair trials under martial law, and asked it to respect the assurances given to the European Parliament that ‘all political prisoners convicted by military tribunal would either be released or have their judgements referred to civilian courts’. However, the government categorically rejected the former claim, and stated that military courts had convicted those claimed as political prisoners for crimes other than political. Negating their own statement, the Punjab Home Department allowed a team of nine advocates to interview twenty-four political prisoners in the following weeks. Moreover, the national government also disclosed that it was keeping seventy political persons on exit-control-list. In February 1988 the government offered a conditional amnesty to political prisoners who could be considered ‘hardship cases’, which included those tried in absentia and those persons aged under eighteen or over sixty-five who were
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sentenced to death who should never been awarded capital punishment in the first place, or those who could prove themselves as the sole bread-winner of their family.\textsuperscript{1209} There was however a difficult procedure required to be classed as a ‘hardship case’. The prisoners had to submit their application within two months of the declaration of the amnesty after collecting all the relevant documents. 300 applications were submitted by March 1988, mostly by the families of the prisoners.\textsuperscript{1210}

Speeches against the regime were prohibited and thirty PPP workers were registered in a Police Case under the charge of making objectionable speeches (MPO-16 and Section 188 of the Cr.PC).\textsuperscript{1211} Likewise, Pakpattan Police, one of the districts of Punjab, arrested political workers of ANP, PPP, PNP, and several others under section 144 on the charges of speaking against the police atrocities in the city.\textsuperscript{1212} BB was attacked at night at the house of a PPP leader whom she was staying with in Lahore by Major (rtd) Abdul Qayyum who said that he was looking for BB with a weapon. He was caught red-handed, but the police later declared that he was mentally ill.\textsuperscript{1213}

At this time, the Punjab government carried out public hangings of five persons.\textsuperscript{1214} Civil society organisations such as the Movement for Service of Humanity protested against this inhumane act. Prime Minister Muhammad
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Khan Junejo nonetheless came forward to support the Punjab government’s action.\textsuperscript{1215}

**The Role of Civil Society in the Resistance:**

Civil society groups also agitated against the regime during the period of the Junejo government. Despite the removal of martial law, student unions were still banned – as they had been since 1983. There were protests about this in Lahore and other towns in Punjab.\textsuperscript{1216} The Pakistan Democratic Students Federation (Lahore Branch) held its annual convention on 29 March 1986 to demand the release of the student leaders, the end of the ban on student unions, and the end to violence at the educational institutions.\textsuperscript{1217} The student body disclosed in 1988 that 61 students were killed, and 587 were injured during the past four years in student-police encounters on various universities campuses of the country.\textsuperscript{1218}

Progressive writers came together to organise a four-day conference for the establishment of freedom, peace, justice and humanism in the country. Along with their other demands, the writers asked the government to release political prisoners and repeal the Press and Publication Ordinance and restore freedom of speech. The declaration of the conference also rejected the Presidential Referendum 1984, the General Election 1985, and demanded fresh polls under the 1973 constitution.\textsuperscript{1219} Two poetry books on the resistance against the regime and the deaths of ZAB and Murtaza Bhutto – *Khushboo ki Shahdat*, and
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Jue-i-Khoon – were published in August 1986. The Chair of the launching ceremony Ms. Alys Faiz commented that these publications ‘give new hope and courage to the people.’\textsuperscript{1220} The banning of books continued during this period as well. The Punjab Government banned books such as *Haq ki Talash* (In search of Truth) by a Shia scholar, the pamphlet *Shia Mazhab ki Kahani Shia kutub ki zuban* (The Story of Shia Religion through the Sources of Shia’s books) and the Netherlands-based magazine *‘The Struggle’* amongst others.\textsuperscript{1221}

Civil Society groups, including poets, jurists, intellectuals, opinion makers, leaders and women activists, raised their voice for the release of political prisoners, and the rescinding of military court sentences on political workers. They also demanded a declaration of a general amnesty to those who opted to go into exile due to the regime’s atrocities on them. Mrs. Tehmina Khar, the wife of Ghulam Mustafa Khar, organised a seminar on the issue in February 1988.\textsuperscript{1222} After two years of consistent struggle, the government gave some niggardly relief to certain political prisoners.

The establishment of the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan as an institution was another hallmark of the civil society campaign against the injustice prevailing in the country. The declaration of the commission stated its aim to be the repeal or amendment of unjust and discriminatory laws based on gender, religion, and sect. Moreover, it also demanded the repeal of the Political Parties Act, and the Press and Publications Ordinance.\textsuperscript{1223} After the Ojhri camp disaster, more than 500 lawyers of Lahore under the ‘Zia-ul-Haq resign

\textsuperscript{1220} Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.16.
\textsuperscript{1221} Viewpoint, XIII (25), 28 January 1988, p.16.
\textsuperscript{1222} Viewpoint, XIII (28), 18 February 1988, p.14.
\textsuperscript{1223} Viewpoint, XIII (35), 7 April 1988, p.5.
Committee’, which was led by the PPP activist Mansoor Ahmed Malik, signed a
document urging Zia to quit.\textsuperscript{1224}

The Pakistan Socialist Party held its workers conference on 28 March
1986, attended by 600 delegates. It had an anti-feudalism and anti-imperialism
agenda. Goodwill messages were received from the Communist Party of the
Soviet Union, the Bulgarian Communist Party, and the Hungarian Socialist
Workers’ Party and read out.\textsuperscript{1225} However, it faced the same fate as the other
political parties by having rifts and groupings in its two years of existence. A
divide opened up between the party chief C.R Aslam and the Secretary General
Abid Hasan Manto, with the latter ending up organising separate meetings of
the party.\textsuperscript{1226}

Political analysts such as Babar Ali and Hasan Askari have claimed that
there was greater freedom for the press after the 1985 election. The
International Press Institute (IPI) expressed satisfaction ‘with the quantum of
Press freedom’ in Pakistan, though this was a view from far away.\textsuperscript{1227} A few
minor steps were indeed taken in lieu of the annulment of martial law. The
Sindh Governor allowed the revival of the Urdu daily \textit{Amn} and weekly \textit{Meyaar}
which had been banned since 1983. However, the Press and Publication
Ordinance was still in existence. CPNE (Council of Pakistan Newspapers’
Editors) and PFUJ voiced their grievances in their meeting in January 1986
against the ordinance and demanded its repeal.\textsuperscript{1228} The abolition of the
National Press Trust was among the demands of the Action Committee of the

\textsuperscript{1224} Viewpoint, XIII (39), 5 May 1988, p.15.
\textsuperscript{1225} Viewpoint, XI (34), 3 April 1986, p.16.
\textsuperscript{1226} Viewpoint, XIII (39), 5 May 1988, p.14.
\textsuperscript{1227} Viewpoint, XIII (22), 7 January 1988, p.8.
\textsuperscript{1228} Viewpoint, XI (26), 6 February 1986, p.6.
MRD.\textsuperscript{1229} Newspapers and magazines – *Time* (USA), *Lahore* (Lahore) – were still proscribed on a charge of publishing objectionable material.\textsuperscript{1230} The Punjab police arrested four ANP workers on the charge of distributing anti-Zia pamphlets.\textsuperscript{1231} The press thus continued to be subservient to the administration in all important respects.

**Cultivating International Support:**

Although US President Reagan welcomed the change in Pakistan, congratulating Prime Minister Junejo, and President Zia-ul-Haq,\textsuperscript{1232} for the first time ever during Zia’s rule the international community broke its long-term silence over the regime’s inhumane reaction to the peaceful demonstrations for democracy. The US State Department Spokesman ‘regretted’ the arrest of political leaders in Pakistan on 14 August 1986, and stated that it was ‘unfortunate’ given the moves towards democracy: ‘the recent arrests negated the principles expounded by the Pakistan Government’.\textsuperscript{1233} Moreover, the protest of Libyan President Col. Moammer Qaddafi, and West German Foreign Minister’s appeal against the arrest of BB showed the that BB’s hard work in cultivating foreign support for her struggle was paying off.\textsuperscript{1234} That was the biggest achievement of her period in exile.

Furthermore, for the first time ever in the Pakistan-USA relationship, the Left circles in the USA requested the release of Jam Saqi from his long imprisonment. Eugene Newport, the Mayor of Berkeley and globally known for

\textsuperscript{1229} *Viewpoint*, XI (26), 6 February 1986, p13.
\textsuperscript{1230} *Viewpoint*, XI (35), 10 April 1986, p.19.
\textsuperscript{1231} *Viewpoint*, XIII (40), 12 May 1988, p.34.
\textsuperscript{1232} *Viewpoint*, XI (22), 9 January 1986, p.22.
\textsuperscript{1234} *Viewpoint*, XII (2), 21 August 1986, p.22.
being a strong critic of the Reagan Administration on its support to dictators all over the world, sent a telegram to Prime Minister Junejo ‘requesting him to release Jam Saqi from imprisonment and any further torture immediately’.1235 Others who demanded his release were Pedro Noguera, the then-President of Berkeley’s Student Union, a Professor of Economics and Computer Sciences of Berkeley University, Line of March – a pro-labour organisation, East Day Women for Peace, the youngest, Iranian People’s Fedaian North California, and Council of Muslim Association. Line of March was particularly vigorous in this campaign for his release, publishing a report on the ‘plight of Jam Saqi’ in their fortnightly newspaper ‘Frontline’.1236

Various international pressure groups made their voices heard as well. Cambridge University Amnesty International Group campaigned against the continuation of imprisonment of political prisoners after the end of martial law.1237 The Organisation of Progressive Pakistanis (OPP) in Berlin (West) condemned the Zia’s regime for its oppressive policies and undemocratic actions and expressed its solidarity with the Pakistan working class.1238 The Federation of German Trade Union (DGB), along with the OPP, distributed pamphlets about the labour unions’ struggle in Pakistan at the May Day celebration in 1988.

Although BB’s trip to Washington DC before returning to Pakistan in 1986 was seen as a betrayal by those in Pakistan who were committed to an

1236 Viewpoint, XII (2), 21 August 1986, pp.24-25.
1237 Steven Russell, Sean Carroll, Rachel Bavidge, Emma Krasinska (President of Cambridge University Amnesty International) were leading persons behind the campaign. Cited in Viewpoint, XIII (31), 10 March 1988, pp.3-4.
anti-imperialist revolution, she succeeded in gaining Reagan’s support for her democratic struggle. He declared that ‘he would now support popular leaders in the Third World rather than corrupt dictators.’\textsuperscript{1239} It was a big shift from his previous policy towards countries like Pakistan, which had until then remained unfavourable to BB and PPP in their opposition to Zia. Despite welcoming the 1985 elections, the US government recognised that BB enjoyed widespread support in Pakistan. Non-alignment and bilateral relationship would be the goal in the foreign policy for BB.\textsuperscript{1240} Before returning to Pakistan, BB visited two other centres of power that were critical for Pakistan at that time. After the USA, she visited Saudi Arabia and briefly Moscow, from 30-31 March, where it was reported that she met with the representatives of Soviet Women’s Committee, the Peace Committee and the Afro-Asian Solidarity Committee.

Once the Geneva Accord on Afghanistan was about to be signed, BB reiterated her appeal to USA to support the restoration of democracy in Pakistan.\textsuperscript{1241} She feared that the USA might ignore this issue once The Soviet Union withdrew from Afghanistan.\textsuperscript{1242} After the dissolution of Junejo’s government, Charles Wilson, an American Democrat, stated in response to Zia’s promise to hold the election in 90 days, ‘I am a very good friend of the President’s and when he says we will hold elections I believe him…There is no question that it will be devastating as far as close Pakistan-US relations such as military programmes, etc. are concerned if the elections are stretched out.’\textsuperscript{1243} Likewise, the US Secretary of State reminded him of the friendship between two

\textsuperscript{1240} \textit{Viewpoint}, XI (36), 17 April 1986, p.13.
\textsuperscript{1242} Benazir Bhutto, 30 April 1988, cited in \textit{Viewpoint}, XIII (39), 5 May 1988, p.34.
countries while hoping not to thwart the political process in the country.\textsuperscript{1244} Clearly, the US government was putting firm pressure on Zia to hold the election.\textsuperscript{1245} Moreover, the approval of Stephen Solarz’s resolution ‘to hold “free and fair party-based elections not later than November 16’’\textsuperscript{1246} that was voted for in the House of Representatives added to this pressure on Zia from the USA. This was all in no small part due to BB’s constant pursuit of the USA to ensure that the election was held.\textsuperscript{1247}

\textbf{The Final Days of General Zia-ul-Haq.:

Following the dissolution of the Junejo government, BB set up an eight-member committee consisting of two members from each province to liaise with the MRD and the other political parties and referred to the PNA-PPP agreement of 1977 for the guidelines for holding a free and fair election. At this juncture, Zia lost a strong pillar of support when the Jama’\textit{t}-i-Islami for the first time joined hands with the MRD in protest at the imprisonment of its political workers.\textsuperscript{1248} The JI \textit{Naib Amir} (vice-Chairman) Prof. Ghafoor Ahmed categorically supported the demand to hold a free and fair election under an autonomous Election Commission within 90 days.\textsuperscript{1249} JI-PPP’s agreement meant that support for Zia was limited to the Pir of Pagara’s faction of Muslim League, whom the General took into the caretaker cabinet to run the government.\textsuperscript{1250}

\textsuperscript{1247} Benazir Bhutto, 21 June 1988, cited in Viewpoint, XIII (47), 30 June 1988, p.34.
\textsuperscript{1248} Viewpoint, XIII (32), 24 March 1988, pp.21-22.
\textsuperscript{1249} Prof. Ghafoor Ahmed, \textit{Zia Ke Akhri Dus saal}.
MRD convener Mairaj Muhammad Khan called a meeting to evaluate the current situation. MRD was the only alliance which had a national image and had all mainstream regional parties as its members. On 6 June, all the party leaders except Wali Khan, who was abroad, met together for three days after a long time to work out a strategy. The biggest challenge was to replace the alliance with an electoral alliance for the upcoming election. MRD decided to take part in the election called by Zia and issued twenty demands, such as holding the election under the 1973 constitution within 90 days, and according to the 1973 rules without registration conditions, and under the supervision of a supervisory council with half of its members nominated by the government and half by the joint political parties. Despite agreeing on the post-election scenario and the required political steps, MRD could not manage to form a united electoral alliance, but ‘decided to contest election almost jointly.’ PPP, however, declared its support for the MRD’s leaders in the coming election by not fielding its candidates against them. Except PPP, JUI, and ANP, the rest of the political parties established electoral alliances mainly against PPP. The reason was said by Wali Khan to be that PPP was assured of landslide victory in three provinces — Punjab, Sindh and Balochistan. However, many of the political leaders such as Wali Khan, Abid Hassan Minto, Mir Ghaus Bakhsh

1251 Viewpoint, XIII (44), 9 June 1988, p.34.
1254 Islami Jamhuri Ittehad(IJI) comprised of nine parties: Pakistan Muslim League, National People’s Party, Jamaat-i-Islami, Jamiat-i-Ulema-i-Islam (Darkhwasti Group), Jamiat-i-Mashaikh, Jamiat-i-Ahle Hadith, Independent Political Group headed by Fakhr Imam (ex-Speaker), Nizam-i-Mustafa Group, and Hizb-i-Jihad. The second alliance called Pakistan People’s Alliance was Tehreek-i-Istaqlal, Jamiat-Ulema Pakistan, Muslim League (Junejo). The Junejo at the eleventh hour moved to IJI, though.
Bizenjo, and Asghar Khan were sceptical about Zia’s promise to hold elections in 90 days.\footnote{Viewpoint, XIII (44), 9 June 1988, pp.12-13.} BB seemed quite optimistic for the election, but raised questions on the selection of the caretaker government, which showed ‘old wine with the new tag’.\footnote{Benazir Bhutto, cited in Viewpoint, XIII (45), 16 June 1988, p.15.} However the rest of the political leadership was still bleak about the prospect of holding the election on time. MRD convener Mairaj Muhammad Khan feared that there would be a ‘presidential form of the government in the country’ after seeing the names in the caretaker government.\footnote{Mairaj Muhammad Khan, cited in Viewpoint, XIII (45), 16 June 1988, p.15.} Despite holding the commitment to the election as per the 18th Amendment of the Constitution, the steps taken by General Zia after the dissolution suggested that it would be held only on certain conditions. These included continuing to promulgate Islamic principles such as Sharia law and Islamic economics. M.B. Naqvi, a political analyst, commented that this emphasis on Islam ‘on the one hand undercuts democracy and on the other, perpetuates the military’s untrammelled and unaccountable power.’\footnote{M.B. Naqvi, ‘After President’s new Initiative’, Viewpoint, XIII (45), p.9.} Also, to adhere to the principle of ‘Accountability First, and Election Later’, the regime issued a list of one hundred forty MNAs of the defunct parliament whose sources of income were to be checked due to allegations against them of corruption.\footnote{Viewpoint, XIII (45), 16 June 1988, p.22.} 

Zia’s track record gives little confidence that he really intended to hold the election on time. Despite the promise to take off his uniform after the abrogation of martial law, Zia had continued to wear it. Political analysts such as Babar Ali and R.B. Raees suspected if the general could not control the
supposedly malleable Prime Minister Junejo how could he accept BB or Wali Khan or Rasul Bakhsh Palijo as his Prime Minister after holding a ‘free and fair election’?\textsuperscript{1261} The prospect for a free and fair election seemed bleak.

As it was, before his promise was put to the test, Zia died in a mysterious air-crash on 17 August 1988. Along with him several high-profile officials of Pakistan and US were also killed, including the US Ambassador Arnold L. Raphel, Brigadier General Herbert Wassom, the head of the military assistance advisory group at the US embassy, and Chairman Joint Chiefs of Staff Committee of Pakistan General Akhter Abur Rehman. The daily newspaper \textit{Dawn} suggested that the death of General Zia-ul-Haq was ‘an “honourable” exit from the political scene of Pakistan.’\textsuperscript{1262} The US and Pakistani agencies both declared after a joint investigation that a mechanical fault was the reason for the accident.

Many refused to accept this, with conspiracy theorists pointing accusing fingers at Mossad, KGB, KHAD, RAW and, in particular, the Pakistan armed forces. US confidential reports indicate that there had been dissatisfaction with Zia in army circles for many years.\textsuperscript{1263} Observing the unsuccessful attempt of MRD during 1981-84 to remove the general, the CIA report concluded ‘if Zia is ousted, this will most likely be done by the army high command.’\textsuperscript{1264} Reports until 1984 forecasted the bloodless ousting of the general by ‘the army high

\textsuperscript{1262} Dawn, Daily (Islamabad), 18 August 1988.
command plus most or all of the seven army corps commanders’,\textsuperscript{1265} and intelligence analysts in South Asia had started to think of the implications if General Zia was to be assassinated in/during 1985. The low turnout in the referendum and the defeat of key players of Zia’s cabinet in the following election indicated to other soldiers the unpopularity of the general. A report had spoken of how the unhappy military was ready to remove the general if ‘Zia’s Constitution’ was unable to mute the political unrest, and if a foreign policy vis-à-vis Afghanistan was not pursued according to the Army’s wishes.\textsuperscript{1266} The signing of the Geneva Accord, and the setting up the judicial inquiry to the Ojhri Camp disaster were both decisions converse to ‘the wishes of the Army’. The removal of Junejo’s government augmented the political instability in Pakistan. Mian Tufail Muhammad of JI had just before Zia’s death tried to provoke the subordinate generals, General K.M. Arif, and General Rahimuddin, into taking control of the country.\textsuperscript{1267} Although no proof has ever been produced of an army conspiracy behind the air crash, it was observed that as soon as he heard of the disaster, the then-vice-Chief of Army Staff General Mirza Aslam Beg flew immediately back to Rawalpindi rather than visiting the site of the crash, even though he was only a few miles from it at the time. This was seen as a suspicious move. Despite all this, the army did not seize power. It would easy to have been used the air crash as a reason to declare martial law


once more. There was strong pressure on the Pakistan Army from the
democratic forces such as MRD and the other power brokers within and outside
of the country to hold the election as Zia had scheduled it.\textsuperscript{1268} Bowing to this
pressure, the generals allowed the elections to go ahead on 16 November 1988,
and for democracy to be fully restored in Pakistan.

**Conclusion:**

A US report of late 1986 summarised the performance of BB and the PPP after
BB’s return to Pakistan in April of that year. It stated that she received a
tumultuous welcome in Punjab and that she reestablished the PPP as the
primary and largest political party in the country.\textsuperscript{1269} The turn-out
demonstrated that the party still held on to its vote bank in urban and rural
areas of the province. Nonetheless, she managed very quickly to fall out with the
MRD, and the failure of the PPP’s civil disobedience movement in August 1986
and the accompanying violence revealed serious weaknesses. The PPP
organisation in Punjab was seen to lack the capacity to sustain a confrontation
in the streets. Despite having a strong student wing – the People’s Student
Federation – the party failed to mobilise the students to any extent. By allying
with the left wing of her party, BB abandoned the middle ground in Pakistani
politics and frightened off the urban middle class in Punjab and Karachi. The
parties in the alliance other than PPP softly protested at various times against
her attitude, but by trying to go it alone, she isolated herself from the wider

\textsuperscript{1268} The meeting in GHQ at 1830 on 17 August – attended by three forces chiefs, Joint chief of Staff
Committee and Chairman Senate – decided to hold the election. Azhar Sohail, *General Zia ke Gyara Saal* (Lahore, year is missing), p. 12.

\textsuperscript{1269} Near Eastern and South Asia Office, “Pakistan People’s Party: Pretenders to the Throne”,
*Directorate of Intelligence*, 21 November 1986, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP05S02029R000300850001-0, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD, pp.35-36.
alliance. Failure had however brought her back to it. BB also failed to keep in check the dissident groups in her party, and the replacement of leaders of her father's generation brought serious divides. The emergence of the National People's Party of Ghulam Mustafa Jatoi in Sindh, and the rift with Ghulam Mustafa Khar in Punjab, were symptomatic of this.

Indeed, MRD in general and PPP in particular let down the people who had suffered for their ongoing support of the opposition. These were the rank-and-file activists who had mobilised votes in elections, and who had put themselves on the line in the struggle against a ruthless dictator. It was they who kept alive the memory of ZAB and revered BB as his rightful heir, with their slogans of 'Zinda hi Bhutto Zinda' (Bhutto is still alive), 'tum Kinte Bhutto maro ge?, hur ghar se Bhutto nikle ga' (How many Bhuttos will you kill? Bhutto would come out from every house of the country). They were badly served by the fractious leadership and damaging divides. In all this, Zia was able to hold onto power until his sudden death.
Dissertation Conclusion

Pakistan is regarded widely today as a country in continuing turmoil, in which multiple centres of political and armed power compete with each other, using violence as much as due democratic processes to settle their differences. It has an unenviable reputation for being a dangerous place to be, especially for foreigners. This impression is reflected in one American commentary:

It has become a violent state, with attacks on its core institutions, notably the police, the army, and the Inter-Services Intelligence Directorate, and assassinations of Benazir Bhutto, its most important politician; Salmaan Taseer, a serving governor; and Shahbaz Bhatti, the only Christian minister in the Cabinet. Moreover, Pakistan has the dubious distinction of being the most unsafe country in the world for journalists and diplomats.\(^\text{1270}\)

U.S. President Barack Obama has argued that Pakistan's border region is “the most dangerous place in the world” for the United States. The head of U.S. Central Command, General David Petraeus, noted that “it is the headquarters of the al Qaeda senior leadership,” which is planning attacks in the West.\(^\text{1271}\)

It is common to trace this state of affairs back to developments during the time of General Zia-ul-Haq's rule. This is the period in which Islamic extremism\(^\text{1272}\), sectarianism\(^\text{1273}\), ethnic violence\(^\text{1274}\), and the problems


associated with hosting Afghan refugees\textsuperscript{1275} came to the fore, and a culture of violence was fostered and non-state actors gained huge power. Ian Talbot has argued that the lack of investment in human capital, coupled with underemployment during a time of a “youth bulge” all helped stoke religious and ethnic extremism in the country during this era and subsequently.\textsuperscript{1276}

In this conclusion we shall start by examining the impact of the military rule of Zia, then go on to look at the reasons for his longevity in power, and then the struggle for democracy in Pakistan.

**The Impact of Zia’s Military Regime**

When seizing power, the Pakistan military has claimed to be the saviour of the people from the venality of elected party politicians and the attacks of external enemies. Every imposition of martial law in Pakistan has been declared valid under the ‘Doctrine of Necessity’ or the Roman doctrine ‘Salus populi suprema est lex’. However, as Justice Aftab Farrukh remarked during the hearing of a murder case this principle should be invoked only to frame but not to break the law.\textsuperscript{1277} As it is, the army has saved neither the people nor territory of Pakistan. The loss of East Pakistan, the failure to repatriate the prisoners of war, and the continuous casualties since 1980s due to sectarian and extremist culture are

\textit{United States Secure an Insecure State?}; Maleeha Lodhi, \textit{Pakistan Beyond the ‘Crisis State’}; Roedad Khan, \textit{Pakistan}, p.82.
\textsuperscript{1274} Talbot, \textit{Pakistan}. Ibne Adil, \textit{General Zia k dus saal}
\textsuperscript{1275} Arif, Working with Zia.
\textsuperscript{1276} Talbot, \textit{Pakistan}, p.117.
\textsuperscript{1277} Justice Aftab Farrukh, Lahore High Court. \textit{Viewpoint}, V (17), 2 December 1979, p.12.
proof of that. Military rule has if anything brought greater insecurity within Pakistan by its fostering of militant extremist movements that have been designed as a counter to political opposition, such as the militant Muhajjir Qaumi Movement (MQM) that was backed by Zia in Sindh after 1983. Unlike Argentina, where the Supreme Court sentenced two generals to life imprisonment on 10 December 1985 on the charges of illegal arrest, and torture to death of thousands of Argentinians, Pakistan has still failed to effectively punish those who have imposed martial law and similarly persecuted, imprisoned, tortured and even killed its opponents. Khwaja Asif, who became Minister for Defence in 2013, whilst speaking in the National Assembly has asked for a thorough inquiry on the Pakistan Army and its legacy since 1947. This has yet to take place.

Although, martial law was imposed supposedly to make politicians accountable for their corruption, the military itself was corrupt. For example, the Zia regime took out eight-nine corruption cases against politicians while at the same time illegally distributing seventy-six plots of land in Islamabad to cronies of the regime. The Punjab Assembly was later informed that 450,000 acres of land were allotted to military officers between 1977 and 1988 for supposed services to the country. While the penetration of the military into the economy of the country had been started during the Ayub era, it was consolidated during Zia’s period. In a book, Ayesha Siddiqa has set out
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1278 Mirza Aslam Beg, Interview, Daily Times (Islamabad), 5 September 2009.
thoroughly the facts and figures of businesses controlled by members of the military, a situation she calls ‘Milbus’. The Army Welfare Trust – established originally in 1971 with a value of Rs.700,000 (US$ 12,000) – became the wealthiest amongst the army’s business ventures – being worth Rs.17.45 billion (US$ 30,086 million) by 2001. The trust has penetrated all three major sectors of the economy with 31 projects in agriculture, manufacturing and the service sector. Mazhar Aziz has argued rightly that the intervention of military into politics was never really aimed at improving governance but ‘for the preservation of institutional control.’ This has all been supported by the external world, particularly the USA. There are many both in Pakistan and abroad who have a vested interest in the maintenance of the economic power of the Pakistan military.

Even civilian rulers, once they have regained power, have hardly dared attack the military as an institution, and instead focus on the misdeeds of individual generals, who they blame for the imposition of martial law. Both BB and Nawaz Sharif individualised such cases, while rewarding other generals. The ISI carries on a continuing public relations exercise designed to boost the popularity of the army by highlighting its achievements. It publicises the hard life of soldiers who secure the borders in miserable conditions such as at Siachen. When 24 Army personnel were killed by NATO helicopters at the Salala Check Post in November 2011 strong action was taken against NATO; however the continuing civilian causalities in such attacks goes largely unregistered.

1281 See, for details, Siddiq, Military Inc.: Inside Pakistan’s Military Economy.
1282 Siddiq, Military Incorporations, p.220.
1283 Aziz, Military Control in Pakistan: A Parallel State, p.66.
Besides the ongoing repression of all opposition – which has been examined in detail in this thesis – the period of Zia’s rule is also considered a ‘dark age’ for Pakistan as this was a period during which a new culture of violence, drug addiction and political venality came to the fore.

There was for example the growth of the so-called ‘Kalashnikov culture’. The tribal peoples of northwest and western Pakistan already had a strong gun culture, but this became much worse at this time. This was brought about almost entirely through the impact of the war in Afghanistan that began with the Soviet invasion of late 1979 and the counter-promotion of an anti-Soviet ‘Jihad’ by the Afghan Mujahidin. $75 million a year flowed from the so-called ‘petro-dollar alliance’ into the purchase of ammunition for this ‘Jihad’ under the cover operation of the CIA and ISI. The tribal areas of Pakistan became an open market for weapons such as rifles, pistols, automatic weapons, hand grenades, and landmines. In 1985, a Kalashnikov rifle could be purchased for the price of Rs. 17000 only. In KPK there are now an estimated 300,000 Kalashnikovs. Andrew Eiva of the Federation for American Afghan Action estimated that eighty per cent of the arms intended for the Afghan Mujahidin sent via Pakistan never reached them, with most slipping into the Pakistani market either with the connivance of the Pakistani authorities or through the selling of the weapons by the Mujahidin themselves. Some drug smugglers,

1285 Arif, Working with Zia, p.344.
as reported by The Washington Post, even had an anti-aircraft gun mounted on
the roof of their house in KPK.\textsuperscript{1288} It was alleged in the US Congress in 1987 that
the ISI supported the manufacture of weapons, with factories in Dara Adamkhel
making copies of Russian and Chinese Kalashnikovs and pistols that sold at
about a third of the price of the originals.\textsuperscript{1289} Shopkeepers even provided a
home delivery service for weapons – long before they ever provided such a
service for groceries. Arms licenses were issued freely,\textsuperscript{1290} leading to growing
numbers being killed or injured by these automatic weapons in various
disputes. There was a general wave of violence that was often attributed to
new forms of sectarianism, extremism and ethnic conflict.\textsuperscript{1291} The sense of panic
was reinforced by rumours about killings inflicted by the so-called Hathora
(hammer) group.\textsuperscript{1292} Young unemployed men found that they could earn big
money through robbery and kidnapping for ransom. According to Ahmed
Rashid, those even with Master’s degrees became involved in such crime. This
author further revealed that in the years 1986 to 1988, there were five
thousand kidnap cases and murder of one thousand civilians and fifty
policemen in dacoit-related violence in Sindh alone.\textsuperscript{1293}

\begin{footnotes}
\item[1289] Cited in Bhola, \textit{Benazir Bhutto}, p.97.
\item[1290] The Government of Punjab issued two hundred thousands arms licence in 1985 alone, under the
scheme allowing legislators monthly quotas of chits that are converted into permits to buy guns –
\item[1292] There was a wave of fear throughout Pakistan over the killing of people with hammers while
they slept at night. Their activities were believed to stretch all over the country. I remember this
from my childhood in the 1980s. At that time, the origin of this group was unknown. In an interview,
one Pakistani intelligence officer informed me off-the-record that the KGB was behind this group.
\end{footnotes}
This was also a period in which drug trafficking and heroin addiction became a major problem for Pakistan. In 1979, there were only two registered heroin addicts, whereas there were over 300,000 regular users by 1984,\textsuperscript{1294} and 700,000 by 1989.\textsuperscript{1295} Most of them were in the 15-35 years age group.\textsuperscript{1296} This was despite the claim of the Pakistan Narcotics Control Board to have reduced the production of opium in Pakistan from 800 tons in 1979 to 40 tons in 1984.\textsuperscript{1297} The notorious history of CIA’s involvement in drug trafficking during the wars in Nicaragua, Laos, and Vietnam was replicated in Pakistan and Afghanistan, with the anti-communist war being funded in part through opium smuggling.\textsuperscript{1298} The tribal areas of Pakistan became a major area for the refinement of opium into heroin. The region as a whole, which included Iran, became known as “the Golden Crescent”. About 75 per cent of heroin in Western Europe and 50 per cent in the USA was smuggled from this region.\textsuperscript{1299} In 1983, 4.5 tons of heroin valued at $9 billion was shipped to the USA.\textsuperscript{1300} The closure of the Iranian and Russian borders with Afghanistan made Pakistan the


\textsuperscript{1296}BBC in its report of January 1986 stated that Pakistan had 40000 large-scale smugglers, and about 5000 heroin smugglers were in jail. Viewpoint, XI (26), 6 February 1986, p.34; Viewpoint, XI (24), 23 January 1986, p.6.

\textsuperscript{1297}Viewpoint, XI (22), 9 January 1986, p.3.

\textsuperscript{1298}For details, see Vince Bielski and Dennis Bernstein, ‘The Cocaine Connection’, Covert Action Information Bulletin, 28 (Summer 1987); Alfred McCoy, The Politics of Heroin: CIA Complicity in the Global Drug Trade Afghanistan, Southeast Asia, Central America, and Columbia (Chicago: 2003).


\textsuperscript{1300}United Press International, 15 December 1983.
main route for this illegal trade. Drug enforcement authorities in the USA admitted that CIA-backed Afghan rebels were responsible for the heroin trafficking from Pakistan and Afghanistan. This all had political implications. It was observed in 1987 that ‘it is really very simple, if you control the poppy fields, you will be so rich that you will control Pakistan.’ Once the Soviets were about to withdraw from Afghanistan, the USA changed its stance, threatening to halve aid to Pakistan worth of $650 million a year unless the country cracked down on the growing of opium and export of heroin.

The Pakistani army encouraged this trade as well as the CIA. General Hamid Gul, the Director of ISI, asked permission from BB – once she came into the power in 1988 – to permit him to smuggle drugs to finance military expenditure. Viewpoint claimed that ‘several Pakistani army officers had become millionaires overnight.’ Lawrence Lifschultz, an American journalist working for The Nation of New York exposed in a sensational story the links between heroin smugglers and the army high command in Pakistan. He revealed that Hamid Hasnain, an adopted son of General Zia-ul-Haq and his wife Shafiq, was arrested on a charge of heroin smuggling in a raid by the Federal Investigation Agency of Pakistan with the Norwegian police on 27 October 1985 in Islamabad. Hamid Hasnain was one of the vice-Presidents of the Habib Bank, and was posted during the early eighties at the Army GHQ Branch of the
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1301 Sohail, General Zia ke Gyara Saal, p.16.
1306 His name was disclosed by Raza Qureshi who was arrested at Oslo airport in December 1983.
Investigators even went into the house of the Governor of KPK, according to the Norwegian police. Likewise, one Pakistan Air Force officer along with nine others was caught at Heathrow airport trying to smuggle heroin into the UK. Although the Zia government claimed that the problem was greatly exaggerated by the enemies of Pakistan, it made a show of taking some measure by targeting a few drug dealers and heroin processors in areas such as the Kyber Agency and Karachi City. This was all largely cosmetic, as the industry was in fact being backed by Lt. General Fazal-i-Haq, the Governor of KPK. It was estimated in 1986 that 'three-fourths of the anti-smuggling staff has been corrupted by the drug peddlers.' This all contributed to a deterioration in law and order throughout the country.

The effect was to greatly add to levels of corruption in the politics in Pakistan. Although foreign aid to pursue the war in Afghanistan brought a six percent growth in the economy of the country, much of this money was used to purchase political support and win elections. In 1985, large sums were deployed to buy support for the regime from politicians, with housing plots, government contracts, lucrative licenses and bank loans being offered to those

---

1308 Viewpoint, XIII (40), 12 May 1988, p.34.
1309 The Interior Minister of Pakistan from 1978 to 1984, Mahmood Haroon, claimed that such reports were aimed by its enemies to give a “bad name to Pakistan”. Mahmood Haroon, cited in Bhola, Benazir Bhutto, p.96.
1311 Jang, 29 January 1986.
1312 Arif, Working with Zia, p.344.
1313 Khan, Pakistan, A Personal History, p.90, Javed Hashmi, interview.
who sided with Zia. Fifty lac rupees (Rs. 5 million) was said to be the rate of purchase for a member of the Upper House of Parliament. The Chief Minister of Punjab distributed Rs. 340 million amongst 177 members of the Provincial Assembly for their services to the government. Tehreek-i-Ittehad-Qabail (The Tribal Unity Movement) accused wealthy smugglers of buying the vote of members of the National Assembly from the tribal areas. While the Pir of Pagara estimated that the cost for a Senate seat in Sindh would be Rs. 700,000, the cost in the end turned out to be Rs. 10,500,000.

In 1970, the elections had been contested on the basis of popularity – it was said at the time that a street light booth of ZAB would have won. Money was not then a part-and-parcel of participating in the election. Now, it is impossible to think of an election in Pakistan in which money is not to the fore. Khan Abdul Wali Khan said in 1990 that with 200 crore (Rs. 2000 million) a person could become the owner of Pakistan. During the Senate election of 2012, millions of rupees were paid to the members of Electoral College to buy their votes. Electoral corruption on such a scale is widely held to have started with the efforts made in 1985 by Zia to get his non-party based supporters elected.

---

1316 Quoted in Nadeem Akhtar and Athar Abbas, Jamhoriyat, Army and Awam, p. 66.
Causes for the longevity of Zia’s Regime

Although it is the argument of this thesis that the MRD realised its aim of restoring democracy in the long term in 1988, the struggle took much longer than hoped for, and at times appeared as if it would fail. This was in contrast to 1969 when the first dictator General Ayub Khan was removed quickly from office through nonviolent resistance, and 2009 when the same fate befell General Musharraf as a consequence of the nonviolent Lawyers’ Movement. Ex-Prime Minister of Pakistan Syed Yousaf Raza Gilani told the researcher that the blame for the longevity of martial law in Pakistan under Zia can be attributed largely to the failures of his political opponents. It is this issue that will be addressed in this section.

As I hope that this thesis has brought out, a lack of unity and mutual mistrust among politicians and their parties was a major reason for the lack of success of the anti-Zia movement. Scholars who have written on civil resistance have emphasised the great importance of strong and effective leadership. This was lacking in Pakistan. The nine parties of the MRD spent more time fighting among themselves than in working on a comprehensive strategy to force Zia’s removal and new elections. The return of BB only widened the cleavages within the MRD by reinforcing the PPP’s dominance. It was a widespread complaint by the smaller parties of the alliance that the PPP, by far the largest of its parties, tended to ignore the opinions of the other leaders and were interested primarily in their own power. Almost every other

---

1319 For the latter movement, see Malik Hammad Ahmad, ‘Quest for Peace and Justice in Pakistan: Lawyers’ Non-violent Resistance’, in Priyankar Upadhyaya, and Samrat Schmiem Kumar (eds.), Peace and Conflict: The South Asian Experience.
1320 Syed Yousaf Raza Gilani, interview.
1321 Ackerman, and Kruegler, Strategic Nonviolent Conflict, pp.26-27
party in the alliance registered their complaints against its dominance. Moreover, the political parties lack solidarity within and outside of their parties. The fourteenth-century Arab scholar Ibn-e-Khaldun stated that a lack of _asabiyyah_ (the spirit of kinship) can lead to the defeat of a large and wealthy group by a poorer and smaller group. While the PPP and its _jyalas_ (partisans) struggled hard against the regime and were in large numbers, the sort of cohesion and solidarity that Ibn-i-Khaldun was talking about was conspicuously lacking. There was too much individualism and not enough collectivism. The unity amongst the leadership was the key in the success of other civil society movements in Pakistan such as the Lawyers’ Movement and the Students Movement in Pakistan, and elsewhere in the case of the mothers of the ‘disappeared’ in the Plaza de Mayo in Argentina, the students movement against Pinochet in Chile, the Solidarity Movement in Poland, the Otpor movement against Milosevic in Serbia, the Colour Revolutions in Eastern Europe, and the youth movement of the Arab Spring in Egypt and Tunis. Nevertheless, the MRD survived the demise of its opponent General Zia. The most persistent problem in MRD since its establishment was the complaint of the smaller parties that the PPP – being the largest party in the alliance – often ignored them.

Ackerman and Kruegler have emphasised the importance in nonviolent struggle of the existence of what they call an ‘operational corps’. By this, they mean a group analogous to the officers of an army who provide leadership in depth under the command of the overall leaders. They state that this group ‘…must instruct, nurture, and support the general population in the performance of nonviolent conflict’, and that it is ‘the organisational glue that
holds a nonviolent movement or organisation together’.1322 In the case of the MRD, this sort of leadership-in-depth was generally lacking. Because this was the case, it was relatively easy for Zia to subdue the opposition by arresting the main leaders. Zia’s undeniable comment on the parties-on-struggle whose leaders never have held elections in their own parties based on the bitter truth, which he exploited quite well. In part, this was because local democratic government had not been nurtured in Pakistan, with the result that local leaders could not cut their teeth in local affairs. Instead, they derived their power from direct relationships with the top leaders. Although Zia held elections to local bodies in 1979 and 1983, those who were elected tended to be sycophants – so that this was not a forum for the growth of any dynamic opposition movement.1323 In this respect, we may attribute the success of the more recent Lawyers’ Movement in part to its internal democracy, with annual bar council elections generating a multi-tiered structure of leadership.1324 This sort of internal democracy was conspicuously lacking with the MRD. And local bodies leaders can be a vanguard of democracy. It, however, remains a problem with party politics in Pakistan to this day.

Instead, parties were highly centralised; often revolving around a dominant family. This was the case with the PPP, which was controlled, and indeed still is controlled, by the Bhutto family or after his name. Benazir Bhutto thus willed that her son Bilawal Bhutto Zardari should follow her as party leader after her death. The party revolved around the idea of the jyala

1322 Ackerman and Kruegler, Strategic Nonviolent Conflict, p.28.
1323 Stephen P. Cohen, Interview.
1324 Hammad Ahmad, ‘Quest for Peace and Justice in Pakistan’, pp.166-67.
(partisan) whose allegiance to the party is absolute. ZAB had projected himself as the saviour of the common people. When I questioned lower level party workers on the reason for their great loyalty to the party, they replied that ZAB had made us regard ourselves as human beings; he had taught us what humanity means and being human is. Otherwise, they said, we were kohlu k bail (blind-followers) of our masters.\footnote{Shahida Jabeen, Shahid Sheikh, interviews.} In promising the three basic necessities of ‘Roti, Kapra aur Makan (bread, clothing and housing) and in recognising humanity of his followers ZAB instilled a cult of Bhuttoism. This was especially true after the disclosures about the way that twenty-two families had enriched themselves at the expense of the people under the rule of Ayub Khan. Such loyalty ensured that the jyalas were able to withstand extreme forms of repression by Zia. This mentality underpinned the dynastic politics of the Bhutto family. Unfortunately, the PPP has so far failed to provide the basic three necessities, despite it being in power for sixteen years in all between 1971 and 2013.

Because of its over-dependence on the leadership of members of the Bhutto family, it was hard for the PPP to carry on the struggle after they were arrested or went into exile.\footnote{Musthaq Lasharie, interview.} Realising this fact during her period of exile, BB instructed the party to hold the long-awaited election for the party offices\footnote{Directorate of Intelligence, ‘Pakistan: The Opposition since the Election’, \textit{Near East and South Asia Review}, 7 June 1985, 24 November 2013, CIA-RDP85T01184R000301490002-8, p.11.} – which had never been conducted during the entire existence of the organisation – after seeing the dismemberment of the party through serious internal strife due to the boycott of the 1985 election. However, such an election has never
been held, even to this day. Once she gained power, BB employed thirty-six thousand *jyalas* during her first twenty months of government. This has all led party workers towards bribery (financial, moral), buttering-up, backbiting against intra-party rivals, and claiming devotion to the leader so as to obtain political rewards. The biographies of party workers and leaders provide a way to eulogise their leader and swear allegiance to whoever heads the family. In this way, sycophants are selected for party offices at all levels, rather than people who have vigorous local support. As it is, the 18th Amendment to the 1973 Constitution that was passed in 2010, and which was designed to strengthen the power of parliamentary government in Pakistan and to prevent any future military dictatorship, also strengthened the power of party heads. Opposing a party leader and casting a vote in the legislative assembly against the party's wish can lead to the disqualification of an elected member from the Assembly as well as the party. This has consolidated the cult of party leadership with all of its drawbacks.

Democratic government is based on a social contract between ruler and people, in which the people confer power on the ruler but expect to receive in return the basic necessities of life. The continuing failure of the PPP to fulfil their promise to provide ‘Roti, Kapra aur Makan’ when in power has seen the wider society turn against it, paving the way for fresh periods of military rule. This was the case in 1990, when the then President of Pakistan Ghulam Ishaque Khan – whom BB had thanked on her maiden speech as a Prime Minister –

---


removed her from power. Stating the reason for martial law in country, Raza Kazim metaphorically argued that democracy is like a “political contract” between a King and his people, and that after the civilian rulers failed to fulfill their side of the contract, military rulers stepped in with promises to do so instead, and that they have at the time enjoyed considerable public support. Of course, the same has applied to military rulers as they have in turn failed in this task, leading to campaigns for their removal from power.

Strategically, it is important for civil resistance campaigns to maintain strict nonviolence. This is another area in which the opposition to Zia failed. The hijacking of the PIA aircraft in March 1981 by Al-Zulfiqar just two weeks after the establishment of the eleven parties’ alliance of the MRD brought a split when Sardar Abdul Qayyum of the Muslim League (Qayum) quit in protest. It also, predictably, legitimised a ruthless crackdown on the nonviolent movement. Despite BB publicly denounced the hijacking, all the political leaders including her were rounded up, and the workers were tortured. The regime made international capital from the fact that three Americans, one Canadian and one Swede were among the passengers. This single incidence of violence badly undermined the MRD. This was followed two years later in 1983 by violence related to the movement in Sindh – such as the burning of bank buildings, the killing of law enforcement agency members, and bomb explosions at railway stations – which furthered this process of decline.

The literature on the strategy of civil resistance has discussed in depth the issue of international assistance for campaigns for democracy and human

---

rights in authoritarian and oppressive societies. In general, it seen as being extremely important for movements to cultivate such support both in terms of bringing external pressure to bear on a regime in a diplomatic sense, as well as in the provision of material help through either financial assistance or advice on good strategy. The opposition to Zia, whether by the PPP or the MRD, sought to obtain such external support for its cause. They received strongest backing from left-wing organisations in the west as well as from the Soviet Union. This was not however adequate, as neither were able to have much influence on the Zia regime. Realising this, BB astutely stopped blaming the USA and CIA of complicity in her father’s execution and instead sought Western assistance for her cause. She had some limited success in this, through the US administration continued to have a vested interest in keeping in the good books of the Pakistan military and in the continuation of Zia’s rule. They were prepared on the whole to overlook the violation of human rights by the regime and the lack of any real democracy.

As elsewhere in the world, the USA was prepared to support authoritarian regimes and military dictators so long as they were seen as a bulwark against its numerous enemies – whether left-wing and communist groups within such countries who represented a threat to US capitalist interests or to its international rivals who threatened US security. In Pakistan, according to Iftikhar Malik: ‘...the army’s dominance, buoyed up by US support, has become stronger over the decades’.1331 The USA remained the highest aid-giver – providing approximately US$30 billion to Pakistan since its creation. Fifty

---

percent of this aid was for military purposes or given in periods of military rule.\textsuperscript{1332} During General Zia’s period Saudi Arabia increased its aid from US$100 million dollar in 1979 to US$300 million in 1980. But in 1985, when a controlled democracy was restored in Pakistan, it reduced this to less than 40 million dollar.\textsuperscript{1333} However, the kingdom deposited $250 million in 1985 and $275 million in 1986 in a secret Swiss account on the request of the CIA to use it for Afghan mujahidin, as the doubled amount of aid given by the Reagan administration to Afghanistan was not enough.\textsuperscript{1334} In 1988, by contrast, the Pressler Amendment was imposed in a way that cut aid to the civilian governments in the following years.

Moreover, under National Security Decision Directives number 166 of 1985, which was titled ‘US Policy, Programs, and Strategy in Afghanistan’, the Reagan administration provided support for the publication of textbooks from the University of Nebraska at Omaha for students of jihadi schools that emphasised the supposed Islamic justification basis to such warfare.\textsuperscript{1335} A team of three or four agents was financed to the tune of between $300,000 to more than $1 million to train Islamic insurgents. During the Afghan war, Islamabad had the largest CIA station in the world. It funneled growing amounts of paramilitary support to the rebels in Afghanistan fighting against the Soviet-dominated government.\textsuperscript{1336} America therefore contributed significantly, if not

\textsuperscript{1333} Directorate of Intelligence, “Saudi Economic and Military Bilateral Aid”, 29 August 1986, 24 November 2013. CIA-RDP05502029R000300820001-3, CREST, NARA, College Park, MD.
\textsuperscript{1335} Khan, \textit{Pakistan: A Personal History}, p.89.
\textsuperscript{1336} For details, See Bob Woodward, \textit{Veil: The Secret Wars of CIA, 1981-87}, (Simon and Schuster
wholly, to a state of affairs that undermined democracy in Pakistan. Interestingly, CIA reports between 1977 and 1988 invariably accused the Soviet Union and India for the domestic unrest in Pakistan, while ignoring US culpability.

**The Struggle for Democracy in Pakistan**

In contrast to many anti-imperial struggles in different parts of the world in the twentieth century, the nationalist movements of pre-1947 South Asia were largely nonviolent and democratic in nature, even though there were times during which violence came to the fore, as in the mass killings of 1947. This has left a legacy in which there has been a firm relationship between the building and maintaining of democratic rights and freedom and ongoing traditions of civil resistance. This has been the case in Pakistan. Democracy in Pakistan, though often challenged, has reasserted itself time and again through the struggle of its people in campaigns of civil protest. Many have sacrificed themselves for this ideal.\(^{1337}\) Because of this legacy, every military dictator in Pakistan has had to pay lip service to democracy. As Cohen has stated, it has been either General Ayub’s ‘basic democracy’, General Zia’s formation of *Majlis-i-shura* in disguise a ‘death knell’\(^{1338}\) to democracy, or Pervez Musharraf’s

---

\(^{1337}\) Unfortunately, not a single memorial or monument has been erected in Pakistan to those who have suffered in the struggle for democracy, nor is there any day of commemoration for those killed for the cause.

controlled democracy; each have tried to legitimize their rule in this way before
the public.\textsuperscript{1339}

In the case of the movement against Zia, there were many serious
problems with the campaign for democracy. There was disunity amongst the
political leaders, a failure to build an organised operational corps, and a lack of
effective external support. Despite this, Benazir Bhutto demonstrated a
commendable courage and firmness in the struggle. Over time, and with
persistence, she gradually removed the major barriers, which included forging
alliances with other political parties, reorganising her own party, and cultivating
international support for the restoration of democracy in Pakistan. Her
continuous engagement with civil society groups in Pakistan as well as abroad
helped to increase pressure on the regime. Eventually, after the death of General
Zia-ul-Haq, the army could not replicate General Yahya's formula, and agreed to
hand over power to the victors in the elections of 1988, the Pakistan People's
Party. America's outward approval of this change came in a letter from
President George H.W. Bush that stated: 'We are following with great interest
your bold moves in restructuring your government, and are confident you will
build a solid working relations on which democracy depends.'\textsuperscript{1340} The victory of
BB was not only a victory for democracy but also for the women of Pakistan.

This was in a country in which the evidence of a woman in a court of law was

\textsuperscript{1339} Cohen, The Future of Pakistan, p.4.
\textsuperscript{1340} Letter to Pakistani Prime Minister Benazir Bhutto from President George H.W. Bush thanking her
for her desire to seek a replenishment of funds for the World Bank's International Development
Association (IDA). Bush assures Bhutto that the U.S. will assist Pakistan and other developing
countries to strengthen their economies. Letter. WHITE HOUSE. OMITTED. Issue Date: Nov 27, 1989.
Complete. 1 page(s)
deemed to be worth only half that of a man. Some political parties, such as JUI that was one part of the MRD, questioned whether a woman should be allowed to lead. In this, her victory was two-fold.

Nonetheless, instead of accepting the conditions imposed by the Pakistan military after winning the election of 1988, Benazir Bhutto should have looked to public support to impose her own terms and run the country without having to constantly look over her shoulder towards the military. Rather than focus on the structural evils of military rule, she treated Zia as an aberration. This was a let-down for her supporters in the pro-democracy movement. She also failed to curb corruption and vote-rigging.

Despite the many failings of its democratic leaders, leading to the reassertion of military rule by General Musharraf in 1999, this tradition of civil resistance continued. The Lawyers’ Movement that started in 2007 culminated in Musharraf’s fall from power in 2008 and the restoration of a democracy that lasts to this day. Since then, there have been ongoing civil protests against various controversial actions of the elected governments. Although Pakistan faces some extremely difficult and seemingly intractable problems, we may hope that the spirit that has come to the fore time and again in such struggles will provide a way forward in time towards a more equitable and less violent future for the country.
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Political Leaders

Jahangir Badar, 5 May 2012, Islamabad. Lahore (Punjab). He was a student leader during MRD, and was the first who was flogged in Lahore in 1978. Currently, he is a General Secretary of PPP.

Honey Begum, 1 February 2012, Peshawar. Peshawar. She was a Senior Vice-President of ANP and President of its Women’s Wing. Now retired

Haji Ghulam Ahmed Bilour, 24 April 2012, Islamabad. Peshawar, a very senior politician. He is a senior Vice-President of ANP, and former Federal Minister (Railways). He was associated with Bacha Khan’s anti-MRD group.

Malik Sikander Hayat Khan Bosan, 18 February 2012, Multan. Multan, He was a Local Body member Multan in 1983, and MPA during Junejo’s tenure. Currently, Federal Minister for Food Security and Research 2013 to date.

Syed Yousaf Raza Gilani, 26 July 2014, Multan. Multan (South Punjab) a senior politician. He was the youngest member of Junejo’s Federal Cabinet in 1985. In 1990, joined PPP, and was made Prime Minister 2008-2011. Still associated with PPP.

Dr. Mubashar Hassan, 28 May 2012, Lahore. Lahore (Punjab), a senior politician. Founding member of PPP. Ex-Federal Minister, and General Secretary of PPP. He resigned from the party in 1977. Currently, he is General Secretary of PPP Shaheed Bhutto, the party named after Murtaza Bhutto.

Javed Hashmi, 18 February 2012, Multan. From Multan (South Punjab), a senior politician. A student leader, who was in the federal cabinet of General Zia-ul-Haq from Jama’at-i-Islami platform. Latterly, he was the President of PTI.

Taj Haider, 14 March 2012, Karachi. From Karachi (Sindh), a senior politician, Founding member of PPP. During the movement, he helped many party workers find safe places, and helped them into exile. Currently, he is a PPP Senator.

Begum Nasim Wali Khan, February 2012, Charuddah. Charuddah (KPK), a senior politician. She was wife of Khan Abdul Wali Khan, and daughter-in-law of Khan Abdul Ghaffar Khan (Baacha Khan), General Secretary ANP 1977-4, and one of
the major players in the downfall of ZAB’s government. Remained active during MRD against Zia later on. Currently, she is living in retirement in her hometown.

Shazia Aurangzeb Khan, 2 February 2012, Peshawar. Peshawar, ex-MPA (KPK) and General Secretary Pakistan Muslim League (Nawaz) Women Wing.

Mairaj M. Khan, 15 March 2012, Karachi. Karachi (Sindh), a senior politician. He was the founding member of PPP, and Pakistan Tehreek-i-Insaf (PTI). He resigned from PPP in 1974.

Altaf Ali Khokar, 14 May 2012, Multan. Multan (South Punjab), member of PPP, and imprisoned during Zia’s period.

Mian Iqbal Mohammad, 25 January 2013, London. Faisalabad (Punjab), He was a PPP MPA from Faisalabad. During MRD, Zia’s actions forced him to leave the country for UK.

Dr. Aslam Naro, 22 March 2012, Rahim Yar Khan. Rahim Yar Khan (South Punjab), He held a doctorate in Chemistry from USA, and was a very close associate of ZAB due to his expertise in Science. He was the longest-serving prisoner of Shahi Qila, Lahore during MRD. Currently, he is a philanthropist.

Qayum Nizami, 28 February 2012, Lahore (Punjab). From Lahore, Ex-Central Information Secretary-PPP, and elected MPA from Lahore in 1977. He went into exile in Canada due to the General Zia’s atrocities. Currently, he is a columnist.

Mehnaz Rafi, 28 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore. She was a part of Women Action Forum Lahore who struggled against the discriminatory laws against Women during the Zia period.

Mehtab Akber Rashidi, 16 March 2012, Karachi. Hyderabad (Sindh). She was a conscientious objector against Zia’s policy that women on television must cover heads. Currently, she is MPA in Sindh from Pakistan Muslim League-Pagara group, and head one of the private TV channels.

Syed Ali Nawaz Shah, 16 March 2012, Karachi. From Sukker (Sindh), He was Minister of Agriculture 2008-2012, and participated in MRD as PPP worker from interior Sindh. Still associated with PPP.

Shahid Sheikh, 17 April 2012, Islamabad. Lahore (Punjab). Son of a PPP MPA in 1977 election. Struggled during MRD as a core member of the Lahore branch of
PPP, and had to go into exile to UK. Still associated with PPP.


**Political Workers.**

*Jan-i-Alam*, 13 March 2012, Karachi. Karachi, one of the prisoners exchanged after the PIA hijacking. However, he was not active in Al-Zulfiqar group before.

*Asif Butt*, 26 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore PPP student leader of Punjab University. One of the prisoners exchanged after the PIA hijacking against his wishes.

*Farkhanda Bukhari*, 27 February 2012, Lahore. A Lahore PPP jylla since the arrest of ZAB in September 1977. She was part of the Libya training group, and imprisoned in *Shahi Qila*. She was the only woman who was exchanged with the PIA passengers in March 1981.

*Shahid Chohan*, 17 April 2012, Islamabad. Rahim Yar Khan. He was a PPP worker, and imprisoned.


*Sadrud Din*, 2 February 2012, Peshawar. President ANP Lakki Marwat District, President Pukhtoon Student Federation 1981-85.

*Aslam Gordaspuri*, 6 May 2013, Lahore. Lahore. He was the President of Punjab-PPP chapter during MRD. Imprisoned many times.

*Dr. Khadim Hussain*, 3 February 2012, Peshawar. Peshawar, ANP and Director Bacha Khan Trust and Educational Foundation.

*Shahida Jabeen*, 26 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore (PPP). Worker and elected local councillor in 1979 election from Lahore. She was imprisoned in *Shahi Qila*.


*Mushtaq Lashari*, 12 January 2013 London. Jhang, He was an employee of Pakistan International Airline, and was the one of the intra-city messengers for
PPP leaders and workers.

_Muqtidda Masood_ 12 March 2012, Karachi. Jamshoro (Sindh)

_Javed Iqbal Moazzam,_ 23 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore, he was a member of Al-Zulfiqar, and PPP_jyala_. Nawaz Sharif government released him since BB had disowned the Al-Zulfiqar members.

_Dr. Mushtaq,_ 3 February 2012, Peshawar. Peshawar, ANP and former Khudai Khidmatgar member.

_israr Shah,_ 19 April 2012, Islamabad. Rawalpindi, MRD Joint Secretary Student Affairs-Punjab from PPP. Currently, he is a central executive committee member of Pakistan Tehreek-i-Insaf.

_Qazi Sultan,_ 17 April 2012, Rawalpindi. Rawalpindi, A hotel porter turned politician. He was hardly 76 centimeters tall, and was imprisoned in _Shahi Qila_. Currently, he is a Central Executive Member of PPP.

_Mustafa Talpur,_ 1 June 2012, Islamabad. (Badin), the youngest MRD arrested during the 1983 movement from Badin.

_Hidayat Ullah,_ 1 February 2012, Peshawar. Dir (KPK), ANP member who was Provincial Minister for Livestock 2008-2013.

_Muhammad Agha Waseem,_ 25 February 2012, Lahore, Stockholm. Lahore, served in Al-Zulfiqar with Murtaza Bhutto and Shahnwaz Bhutto through the life of the organisation.

_Academics_

_Dr. Rafique Ahmed,_ 27 February 2012, Lahore. He was the President of Nazria-i-Pakistan Center (Pakistan Ideology Center) Lahore.

_Dr. Mubarak Ali,_ 22 February 2012, Lahore. Hyderabad, He is a very renowned historian of Pakistan.

_Prof. Stephen P. Cohen,_ 20 November 2013, Washington DC. USA. He worked on the State Department, South Asia desk in the late 1980s. He is a Security Advisor on South Asia. Currently, he is a Fellow of Brooklyn Institute, Washington DC.

_Dr. Mehdii Hasan,_ 3 March 2013, Lahore. He is a professor of Journalism at
Beaconhouse University, Lahore. He is also a brother of Dr. Mubashar Hasan.

**Rasheed Khalid**, 21 April 2012, Islamabad. Islamabad, Associate Professor at Defence and Strategic Studies of Quaid-i-Azam University, who had political affiliation with PPP. Three lecturers of the university were flogged under the Zia regime.

**Dr. Fazal Rahim Marwat**, 1 February 2012, Peshawar. Lucky Marwat (KPK). He was the Chairman KPK Text board, and currently a vice-Chancellor of Bacha Khan University, Mardan (KPK).

**Ashfaq Saleem Mirza**, 8 February 2012, Islamabad. Islamabad, he is a President of Islamabad Cultural Forum.

**Prof. Muzaffar Mirza**, 27 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore, he was the head of Allama Iqbal Academy, Lahore.

**Dr. Aparna Pande**, 20 November 2013, Washington DC. (India/USA), She is a fellow at Hudson Institute, Washington DC, and worked under Husain Naqi.

**Dr. Hafeez-ur-Rehman**, 19 April 2012, Islamabad. Lodhran (South Punjab) Head of the Anthropology Department of Quaid-i-Azam University, who had political affiliation with Jama`at-i-Islami. Three lecturers of the university were flogged under the Zia regime.

**Dr. Riaz Shaikh**, 12 March 2012, Karachi. He is a head of Social Science department of Shaheed Zulfiqar Ali Bhutto Institute of Science and Technology.

**Prof. Ian Talbot**, 9 July 2013, Coventry (UK). Coventry, he is a professor at the University of Southampton and a renowned historian of South Asia, especially Pakistan.

**Moeed Yousaf**, 22 November 2013, Washington DC. Pakistan/USA, he looks after South Asian Desk at United Institute of Peace Studies (USIP), Washington DC.

**Lawyers.**

**Haddi Butt** (Sukker), 21 March 2012, Sukker. He was the General Secretary of MRD-Sukker in 1983.

**Asma Jahangir**, 27 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore, she is a renowned human
rights activist and a lawyer. She is the founding member of Human Rights Commission of Pakistan, and Women Action Forum. She was the leading woman protesting for judicial freedom as well as women’s rights.

*Hafiz Allah Ditta Kashif*, 12 February 2012, Multan. Multan, he is an advocate and President of Multan District Bar Council.

**Human Rights Activists.**


*Jami Chandio*, 19 March 2012, Hyderabad. Hyderabad. He is a philanthropist, academic, as well as human rights activist working for democratic rights in Pakistan.

*Zafarullah Khan*, 23 April 2012, Islamabad. Jhang (South Punjab), he was the Jyala of PPP, and currently he heads the Centre for Civic Education. He has established Pakistan’s first Museum of Democracy in Islamabad.

*Fateh Marri*, 11 March 2012, Karachi. Hyderabad, he was one of the political workers from his city.

*I.A.Rehman*, 22 February 2012, Lahore. Multan. He is head of the Human Rights Commission of Pakistan, and a very renowned human rights activist.

**Journalists**


*Khalid Chaudhry* 24 February 2012, Lahore. He was an employee of daily *Musawat*, and was arrested by the regime. AI declared him a Prisoner of Conscience in 1979.

*Saeed Khan*, 23 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore, He was an activist in the journalist’s movement throughout the Zia period. Currently, he works with daily *Mashriq* (Urdu).

Nasir Zaidi, 30 April 2012, Rawalpindi. He was one of the journalists among four who was flogged in 1978.

Civil Servants/Military Officials

Dr. Safdar Mahmood, 26 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore, He was a civil servant during the Zia era. Now in retirement.

Fazal-Ullah Qureshi, 25 April 2012, Islamabad. Sukker (Sindh). He was a civil servant during Zia era. Now in retirement.

F.M. Shahzad, 24 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore. He was court martialed due to his involvement with the anti-Zia movement in the armed forces. He was a Squadron Leader in the Pakistan Air Force.

Artist

Shahid Nadeem, 25 February 2012, Lahore. Lahore, he was an employee of Pakistan Television, and was involved in occupying the TV building in Lahore in 1978. He had to go into exile. Currently, he runs the theatre group Ajoka in Lahore.

Periodicals

Dailies

Jang (Rawalpindi) 1977-88
Musawat (Lahore) 1977-79
Nawai-Waqt (Islamabad) 1977-79
The Muslim (Islamabad) 1980-83
The New York Times (New York) Occasionally

Weeklies

Viewpoint (Lahore) 1977-1988
Al-Fatah (Karachi) 1977-81
Economic and Political Weekly 1977-88
Me’yar 1977-79.
Takbeer occasional issues
Zulfiqar (Ghotki), occasional issues
Fortnightlies

*Amal* (London) 1984-86
*Bazgasht* (Berlin) occasional issues
*Jidojehad* (London) 1982-88
*Nusrat* (London) occasional issues

Online Media Sites

*BBC* (UK) [www.bbc.co.uk](http://www.bbc.co.uk) occasional entries
*The Pakistan Spectator* [http://www.pkhope.com](http://www.pkhope.com) occasional issues
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